

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., EVENTBRITE INC., STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS
WORLDWIDE, INC., EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC,
HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC.,
KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA
JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE
NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELCITY.COM LP,
WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC.,
DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION,
HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO
SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC.,
and USABLENET, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00082¹
Patent No. 6,871,325

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction.....1
- II. Certain Paragraphs Of Exhibit 1070 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Not Cited To In Petitioner's Reply Brief And Are Therefore Irrelevant. The Paragraphs Are Also Improper Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....2
- III. Exhibits 1071-1073 Should Be Excluded On The Grounds That They Are Unauthenticated And Inadmissible Hearsay.....5
 - A. Apple’s Webpage Exhibits Should Be Excluded Because They Have Not Been Authenticated.....5
 - B. Apple’s Webpage Exhibits Should Be Excluded Because They Are Inadmissible Hearsay.....7
- IV. Exhibits 1078 And 1079 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Irrelevant And Are Improper Attempts At Joinder.....8
 - A. Exhibits 1078 and 1079 Are Irrelevant Because Apple Does Not Cite To Them In The Reply Brief.....8
 - B. Exhibits 1078 and 1079 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Improper Attempts At Joinder.....9
- V. Exhibits 1080, 1081 And 1082 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Irrelevant, Unauthenticated And Constitute Inadmissible Hearsay.....10
 - A. Exhibits 1080, 1081 And 1082 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Not Even Cited To In The Reply Brief And Are Therefore Irrelevant.....10
 - B. Exhibits 1080, 1081 And 1082 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Not Authenticated.....11
 - C. Exhibits 1080, 1081 And 1082 Should Be Excluded Because They Are Inadmissible Hearsay.....12
- VI. Conclusion.....13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page****Cases**

<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12.....	5
<i>Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Company</i> , IPR2013-00510, Paper 9.....	4, 5
<i>DeSilva v. DiLeonardi</i> , 181 F.3d 865, (7th Cir. 1999).....	3, 4
<i>Hilgraeve, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 271 F. Supp. 2d 964 (E.D. Mich. 2003).....	7, 12, 13
<i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. Brixham Solutions, Ltd.</i> , IPR2014-00425, Paper 16.....	5
<i>Standard Innovation Corp. v. Lelo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00148, Paper 41.....	6, 11, 12
<i>United States v. Harrington</i> , 923 F.2d 1371, 1374 (9th Cir. 1991).....	5, 11
<i>United States v. Hernandez-Herrera</i> , 952 F.2d 342, 343 (10th Cir. 1991).....	5, 11
<i>U.S. v. Tank</i> , 200 F.3d 627, 630 (9th Cir. 2000).....	5, 11

Statutes and Rules

FRE 401.....	2, 8, 10, 13
FRE 402.....	2, 8, 10, 13
FRE 403.....	3, 8, 10, 13

FRE 802.....7, 12, 13

FRE 803.....13

FRE 804.....13

FRE 901.....6, 11, 12

FRE 901(a).....5, 11

FRE 902.....7, 12

37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b).....3

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....1, 2, 4, 5

37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a).....2

37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a).....1

TABLE OF EXHIBITS**Exhibits Previously Filed by Petitioner and Patent Owner's Grounds for Exclusion:**

Exhibit No.	Patent Owner's Grounds for Exclusion:
1070	Paragraphs 1-4, 18, 19, 22-24, 33, 37, 38, 41-51, 55, 60-63, 65, 67-70, 78-93 and 95 are irrelevant and improper under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).
1071	Unauthenticated and hearsay.
1072	Unauthenticated and hearsay.
1073	Unauthenticated and hearsay.
1078	Irrelevant and improper attempt at joinder.
1079	Irrelevant and improper attempt at joinder.
1080	Irrelevant, unauthenticated and hearsay.
1081	Irrelevant, unauthenticated and hearsay.
1082	Irrelevant, unauthenticated and hearsay.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.