

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE, INC., EVENTBRITE INC., STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS  
WORLDWIDE, INC., EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC,  
HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC.,  
KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA  
JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE  
NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP,  
WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC.,  
DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION,  
HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO  
SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC.,  
and USABLENET, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2015-00082<sup>1</sup>  
Patent No. 6,871,325

---

*Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System*

**CORRECTED PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

---

<sup>1</sup> CBM2015-00097 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>Contents</b>	
I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....	1
II. INTRODUCTION.....	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	3
1. “ <i>wireless handheld computing device</i> ” .....	4
2. “ <i>central database</i> ” .....	2
3. “ <i>web page</i> ” .....	5
4. “ <i>web server</i> ” .....	5
5. “ <i>communications control module</i> ” .....	6
6. “ <i>synchronized</i> ” .....	6
7. “ <i>hospitality applications</i> ” .....	7
8. “ <i>application program interface</i> ” .....	7
9. “ <i>outside applications</i> ” .....	7
10. “ <i>integration</i> ” .....	7
11. “ <i>Wherein the communications control module is an interface between the hospitality applications and any other communications protocol</i> ” .....	8
12. “ <i>wherein the synchronized data relates to ‘orders,’ ‘waitlists’ and ‘reservations’</i> ” respectively as to claims 11, 12 and 13 .....	11
IV. THERE HAS BEEN NO SHOWING OF OBVIOUSNESS.....	14
A. DeLorme Does Not Disclose Claims 11-13 First Wherein Clause .....	16
B. DeLorme Does Not Disclose Claims 11-13 Element “b” .....	30
C. DeLorme Does Not Disclose The Claimed “Application Program Interface” That “Enables Integration of Outside Applications with the Hospitality Applications” .....	43
D. DeLorme Does Not Disclose The Claimed “Communications Control	

Module” Nor ‘Wherein The Communications Control Module Is An Interface Between The Hospitality Applications And Any Other Communications Protocol” .....	45
E. Claims As A Whole .....	48
F. DeLorme Does Not Disclose The Fourth Wherein Clause Of ‘325 Claims 11-13 .....	49
G. Objective Evidence Of Non-Obviousness.....	51
1. There is a very strong nexus between the evidence of “secondary considerations” and the challenged claims .....	54
2. The Ameranth patents in this family, including the challenged claims, have been successfully and extensively licensed.....	64
3. Ameranth's products enjoyed substantial, widespread commercial success. ....	66
4. Ameranth's 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Restaurant received numerous technology awards and industry acclaim after its introduction. ....	68
5. Ameranth received overwhelming industry praise for the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Restaurant technology. ....	70
6. Starbucks and numerous other companies copied the Ameranth technology reflected in the challenged claims. ....	72
7. Other companies tried and failed to develop the integrated, synchronized Ameranth technology. ....	78
8. Objective Evidence Conclusion.....	80
V. CONCLUSION .....	80

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Allen Archery, Inc. v. Browning Mfg. Co.</i> , 819 F.2d 1087 (Fed. Cir. 1987) .....	70
<i>Ameranth v. Pizza Hut et al.</i> , Case No. 3-11-cv-01810 (S.D. Cal. 2013) .....	56
<i>Apple Inc. v. International Trade Commission</i> , 725 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	52
<i>Berk-Tek LLC v. Belden Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00059, FWD 34 (PTAB April 28, 2014) .....	25
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l. Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	19
<i>Crocs, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	72
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	25
<i>Eli Lilly &amp; Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 471 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	68
<i>Gambro Lunda AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> , 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	55,70
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. Am. Maize-Products Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	36

<i>Heidelberger v. Hantscho Prods.</i> , 21 F.3d 1068 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	73
<i>HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	26
<i>In re GPAC Inc.</i> , 57 F.3d 1573, 1580 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	66
<i>In re Roufett</i> , 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	65
<i>In re Warner</i> , 379 F.2d 1011 (CCPA 1967).....	19
<i>In re Zurko</i> , 258 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	25
<i>In re Wesslau</i> , 147 USPQ 391,393 (CCPA 1965) .....	29
<i>Kurtz v. Belle Hat Lining Co., Inc.</i> , 280 F. 277 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir. 1922) .....	73
<i>Lantech, Inc. v. Keip Machine Co.</i> , 32 F.3d 542 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	51
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	57
<i>Power-One v. Artesyn Techs, Inc.</i> , 599 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	70
<i>Rambus Inc. v. Rea</i> , 731 F.3d 1248 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.