

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., ET AL.
Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00080
Patent No. 6,384,850

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. INTRODUCTION.....	1
III. OVERVIEW.....	8
A. 35 U.S.C. §103 Overview	8
B. Overview Of Turnbull Declaration Errors And Omissions.....	13
C. Overview Of Petition Errors And Omissions.....	19
IV. THE PETITION SHOULD BE REJECTED FOR IMPROPER INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE.....	23
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	24
A. PO's Proposals In Juxtaposition To Petitioner's Flawed Proposals And Non-Proposals	27
1. “wireless handheld computing device”	28
2. “central database”	28
3. “web page”.....	28
4. “communications control module”	29
5. “synchronized”	30
6. “applications and data are synchronized between the central database, at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one web server and at least one web page”	30
7. “wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality applications and data are stored”	32
8. “hospitality applications”	35
9. “API,” “outside applications” and “integration”	36
10.“single point of entry for all hospitality applications”	38

11. “automatic”	39
12. “digital data transmission”	39
B. Claim Construction Analysis Summary.....	40
VI. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN THAT ANY OF CLAIMS 12-16 ARE MORE LIKELY THAN NOT OBVIOUS	41
A. Overview	41
B. Challenge 1: Inkpen/Digestor/Nokia.....	43
1. Overview of References.....	43
2. Synchronization, “applications and data”	45
3. Integration/API/Outside Applications.....	46
4. CCM, Protocols.....	47
5. Wireless Handheld (Element “b”) vs. “Web Page” (Element “d”).....	48
6. Claim as a whole	50
C. Challenge 2: DeLorme	51
1. Overview of Reference	51
2. Synchronization, “Applications and Data”	52
3. Integration/API/Outside Applications.....	53
4. CCM/Protocol	54
5. Handheld/Web page	55
6. Claim as a whole	57
D. Challenge 3: Blinn/Inkpen	58
1. Overview of References.....	58
2. Synchronization, “applications and data”	60
3. Integration/API/Outside Applications.....	62

4. CCM-Protocols	63
5. “Wireless Handheld,” “Web Page”.....	63
6. Summary as to Blinn/Inkpen.....	64
E. Dependent Claims	65
F. Objective Evidence Of Non-Obviousness.....	69
VII. CONCLUSION	80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Allen Archery, Inc. v. Browning Mfg. Co.</i> 819 F.2d 1087 (Fed. Cir. 1987).....	75
<i>Apple v. ContentGuard, Inc.</i> CBM2015-00046, Paper 12.....	1
<i>Apple v. Smartflash LLC</i> CBM2015-00033, Paper 11 at 15-18 (PTAB May 28, 2015)	42
<i>Bloomberg L.P. v. Quest Corp.</i> CBM2014-00205, Paper No. 16.....	1
<i>CBS v. Sylvania., Inc.</i> 415 F.2d 719 (1st Cir. 1969), <i>cert. denied</i> , 396 U.S. 1061 (1970).....	72
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int'l. Corp.</i> 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	41
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 at 10 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014).....	24
<i>Continental Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	11
<i>DeSilva v. DiLeonardi</i> 181 F.3d 865 (7th Cir. 1999).....	24
<i>Epos Tech. Ltd. v. Pegasus Tech. Ltd.</i> 766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	26
<i>Ex parte Brud,</i> BPAI Appeal 2009-011707 at 3, 4 (Exh. 2013).....	34

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.