UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., EVENTBRITE INC., STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS WORLDWIDE, INC., EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC., KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP, WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION, HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC., and USABLENET, INC.,

Petitioner

V.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00080¹ Patent No. 6,384,850

Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

CORRECTED PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

¹ CBM2015-00096 has been consolidated with this proceeding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|--------------|
| I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED | 1 |
| II. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 3 |
| 1. "wireless handheld computing device" | 4 |
| 2. "central database" | 4 |
| 3. "web page" | 4 |
| 4. "web server" | 5 |
| 5. "communications control module" | 5 |
| 6. "synchronized" | 6 |
| 7. "hospitality applications" | 6 |
| 8. "application program interface" | 7 |
| 9. "outside applications" | |
| 10. "integration" | 7 |
| 11. "single point of entry for all hospitality applications" | 7 |
| 12. "automatic" | 8 |
| 13. "Wherein the communications control module is an interface b | etween the |
| hospitality applications and any other communications protocol" | 9 |
| IV. THERE HAS BEEN NO SHOWING OF OBVIOUSNESS | 12 |
| A. DeLorme Does Not Disclose Claim 12 First Wherein Clause | 13 |
| B. DeLorme Does Not Disclose Claim 12 Element "b" | 27 |
| C. DeLorme Does Not Disclose The Claimed "Application Program | ı Interface" |
| That "Enables Integration of Outside Applications with the Hospital: | ity |
| Applications" | |
| D. DeLorme Does Not Disclose The Claimed "Communications Co | ontrol |
| Module" Nor 'Wherein The Communications Control Module Is An | Interface |
| Between The Hospitality Applications And Any Other Communication | ions |
| Protocol" | 42 |
| E. Claim As A Whole | |
| F. Dependent Claims | 46 |
| G Objective Evidence Of Non-Obviousness | 50 |



| 1. There is a very strong nexus between the evidence of "secondary | |
|--|--------|
| considerations" and the challenged claims | 53 |
| 2. The Ameranth patents in this family, including the challenged claims, h | nave |
| been successfully and extensively licensed. | 63 |
| 3. Ameranth's products enjoyed substantial, widespread commercial succe | ess.65 |
| 4. Ameranth's 21 st Century Restaurant received numerous technology awa | ırds |
| and industry acclaim after its introduction. | 68 |
| 5. Ameranth received overwhelming industry praise for the 21 st Century | |
| Restaurant technology. | 70 |
| 6. Starbucks and numerous other companies copied the Ameranth technol | ogy |
| reflected in the challenged claims. | 72 |
| 7. Other companies in the industry tried and failed to develop the integrate | ed, |
| synchronized innovation of the Ameranth technology and patent claims | 78 |
| 8. Objective Evidence Conclusion | 80 |
| V CONCLUSION | 80 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Cases | |
| Allen Archery, Inc. v. Browning Mfg. Co., 819 F.2d 1087 (Fed. Cir. 1987) | 70 |
| Ameranth v. Pizza Hut et al., Case No. 3-11-cv-01810 (S.D. Cal. 2013), Dkt. No. 27 at p. 3 | 55 |
| Berk-Tek LLC. v. Belden Techs., Inc., IPR2013-00059, FWD 34 (PTAB April 28, 2014) | 22 |
| Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997) | 54, 70 |
| CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int'l. Corp., 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) | 16 |
| Crocs, Inc. v. ITC, 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010) | 73 |
| Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc., 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 22 |
| Eli Lilly & Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 471 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006) | 68 |
| Free Motion Fitness, Inc. v. Cybex Int'l, Inc., 423 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2005)) | 47 |
| Grain Processing Corp. v. Am. Maize-Products Co., 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 33 |



| Heidelberger v. Hantscho Prods., 21 F.3d 1068 (Fed. Cir. 1994) | 73 |
|---|--------|
| HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC, | |
| 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 23 |
| In re GPAC Inc., | |
| 57 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995) | 54, 65 |
| In re Roufett, | |
| 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998) | 64 |
| In re Warner, | |
| 379 F.2d 1011 (CCPA 1967) | 16 |
| In re Wesslau, 147 USPQ 391,393 (CCPA 1965) | 26 |
| Kurtz v. Belle Hat Lining Co., Inc., | |
| 280 F. 277, 281 (2 nd Cir. 1922) | 72 |
| Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., | |
| 789 F.3d 1292, 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 3 |
| Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc., | |
| 711 F.3d 1348, 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2013) | 56 |
| Power-One v. Artesyn Techs, Inc., | |
| 599 F.3d 1343, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2010) | 70 |
| Rambus Inc. v. Rea, | |
| 731 F.3d 1248, 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2013) | 51, 70 |
| Teva Pharm., Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., | |
| 723 F.3d 1363, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2013) | 53 |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

