

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re *Post-Grant Review* of: )  
)  
U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930 C1 ) U.S. Class: 340/310.01  
)  
Issued: April 17, 2001 )  
Reexam. Cert. Issued: October 14, 2014 )  
)  
Inventors: Boris Katzenberg et al. )  
)  
Application No. 09/520,350 )  
)  
Filed: March 7, 2000 ) FILED ELECTRONICALLY  
) PER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(1)  
For: APPARATUS AND METHOD )  
FOR REMOTELY POWERING )  
ACCESS EQUIPMENT OVER A )  
10/100 SWITCHED ETHERNET )  
NETWORK )

**Mail Stop *Patent Board***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S.P.T.O.  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND  
§ 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”) and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.300 et seq., Sony Corporation of America (“Petitioner”) hereby requests post-grant review of claims 6 and 8–23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930 (“the ’930 patent,” attached as Petition Exhibit 1001), now purportedly assigned to Network-1 Technologies, Inc. (“Network-1”).

An electronic payment in the amount of \$31,100.00 for the post-grant review fee specified by 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(b)(1), 42.15(b)(2), 42.15(b)(4)—comprising the \$12,000.00 request fee and \$19,100.00 post-institution fee (including \$1100.00 for two claim in excess of 15)—is being paid at the time of filing this petition. If there are any additional fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge the required fees to our deposit account no. 06-0916.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	2
	A. Real Party-in-Interest .....	2
	B. Related Matters.....	2
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Information.....	3
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '930 PATENT AND ITS HISTORY .....	4
	A. Priority Claim.....	4
	B. Specification.....	4
	1. Conventional data node, access device, data signaling pair, main power source, and secondary power source .....	5
	2. Delivering a low level current, sensing a voltage level, controlling power supplied .....	6
	C. Prosecution History.....	7
	1. Original Prosecution History .....	7
	2. Prosecution History of the '401 Proceeding.....	8
	3. Inter Partes Review.....	8
	D. Challenged Claims.....	9
	1. Originally issued claims .....	9
	2. Amended claims .....	10
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	11
	A. At Least One Challenged Claim Is Unpatentable.....	11
	B. The '930 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	11

1.	The illustrative claim is broad enough to cover a financial product or service .....	13
2.	The illustrative claim covers a large number of PoE devices used in retail services .....	14
3.	The illustrative claim covers a large number of PoE devices used in banking and financial services .....	18
C.	The '930 Patent Is Not Directed To a "Technological Invention" .....	19
1.	At least one claim of the '930 patent fails to recite a novel and nonobvious technological feature .....	20
2.	At least one claim of the '930 patent does not solve a technical problem using a technical solution .....	23
D.	Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement and Is Not Estopped .....	23
1.	Estoppel applies on a claim-by-claim basis and new claims 10-23 were not challenged in any prior proceeding.....	24
2.	Grounds based on <i>Hunter</i> could not reasonably have been raised because it was only recently discovered based on amendments in the reexamination that occurred after the prior petitions were filed.....	24
3.	Grounds raised in this Petition could not have reasonably been raised before because the Board would not permit them to be raised.....	25
V.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED.....	27
A.	Claims for Which Review Is Requested .....	27
B.	Statutory Grounds of Challenge.....	27
C.	Claim Construction.....	27
1.	Broadest Reasonable Construction .....	27
VI.	CLAIMS 6 AND 8–23 OF THE '930 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	30

A.	Claims 6, 8, 9, 12–17, 19, and 22 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as Anticipated by <i>Woodmas</i> .....	30
1.	A data node adapted for data switching .....	30
2.	An access device.....	31
3.	At least one data signaling pair.....	32
4.	Main power source and secondary power source .....	33
5.	Delivering a low level current .....	34
6.	Sensing a voltage level in response to a low level current .....	35
7.	Controlling power supplied.....	35
8.	Claim Chart .....	36
B.	Claims 6 and 8–23 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as Rendered Obvious by <i>Woodmas</i> and <i>Hunter</i> .....	55
1.	A data node adapted for data switching and an access device adapted for data transmission.....	56
2.	At least one data signaling pair.....	57
3.	<i>Woodmas</i> discloses the steps of delivering a low level current, sensing a voltage level on the data signaling pair, and controlling power supplied .....	57
4.	<i>Woodmas</i> and <i>Hunter</i> each relates to the same field of endeavor, thus a person skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine <i>Woodmas</i> and <i>Hunter</i> .....	58
C.	Claims 6, 8, 9, 12–17, 19, and 22 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as Rendered Obvious by <i>Woodmas</i> and TELEVISION PRODUCTION .....	69
1.	Overview of <i>Woodmas</i> .....	69
2.	A data node adapted for data switching .....	70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.