```
Page 240
 1
           UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2
                BEFORE THE TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
     AVAYA, INC.,
 5
            Petitioner,
                      ) No. IPR2013-00071
 6
              vs.
 7
     NETWORK-1 SECURITY ) Patent 6,218,930
     SOLUTIONS, INC.,
 8
                           ) Volume II
            Patent Owner. )
                          ) Pages 240 - 530
10
11
              DEPOSITION OF JAMES M. KNOX, PH.D.
12
13
                    Santa Monica, California
14
                   Tuesday, December 3, 2013
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported By:
24
     SUSAN A. SULLIVAN, CSR #3522, RPR, CRR
25
     JOB NO. 68564
```



```
Page 241
             December 3, 2013
 1
 2
                   8:59 a.m.
 3
     DEPOSITION OF JAMES M. KNOX, PH.D., taken
 4
     by Petitioner, at the offices of Dovel &
 5
     Luner, 201 Santa Monica Boulevard, Santa
 6
 7
     Monica, California, before Susan A.
     Sullivan, CSR, RPR, CRR, State of
 8
     California.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 242
 1
                    APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
     CROWELL & MORING
 4
          For Petitioner Avaya, Inc.
 5
          3 Park Plaza
          Irvine, California 92614
 6
 7
     BY: JONATHAN LINDSAY, ESQ.
 8
 9
     DOVEL & LUNER
10
          For Patent Owner Network-1 Security Solutions,
11
          Inc.
          201 Santa Monica Boulevard
12
          Santa Monica, California 90401
13
14
     BY: SEAN LUNER, ESQ.
15
     WINSTON & STRAWN
16
17
          For Dell, Inc.
18
          200 Park Avenue
19
         New York, New York 10166
20
     BY: MICHAEL SCHEER, ESQ.
21
22
23
24
25
```



1 JAMES M. KNOX, PH.D., 2. called as a witness, having been duly sworn by 3 the court reporter, was examined and testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. LINDSAY: 7 8 Q I'm going to introduce as Exhibit 14 a copy 9 of your second declaration. I understand that last night there was a replacement declaration that was 10 11 We can get to that a little bit later. the extent you think what we're talking about in 12 this declaration is different in your replacement 13 declaration, feel free to indicate that. I don't 14 15 think that any of the questions I'm going to ask you for the first part of the day relate to the new 16 information but we'll get to the new information a 17 little bit later, okay? 18 19 Α Certainly. 20 (Knox Exhibit 14, a document, marked for identification, as of this date.) 21 22 BY MR. LINDSAY: So in your second 23 declaration you describe Matsuno and De Nicolo as being incompatible I believe is the word that you 24

25

used to describe them and it is your opinion that

- 1 they should not be combined?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Now in Dr. Zimmerman's second declaration he
- 4 describes at the time that the '930 patent was filed
- 5 that there were actual commercial products that
- 6 incorporate both ISDN and ethernet functionality
- 7 into the same product; is that correct?
- 8 A He does state that, yes.
- 9 Q The example he gives is a router that was
- 10 manufactured by TrendNet; is that correct?
- 11 A I believe that's correct.
- 12 Q Did you study the user guide that was
- included as an exhibit to Dr. Zimmerman's second
- 14 declaration to ascertain the functionality of that
- 15 device?
- 16 A I'm familiar with, in a general sense, with
- 17 that device. I did also look at the -- at the
- 18 attachment that came with it.
- 19 Q Now I don't see that you mentioned or
- 20 discussed that router anywhere in your declaration.
- 21 Is that accurate?
- 22 A In the second declaration that is correct.
- 23 Q And it wouldn't have been mentioned in the
- 24 first declaration either?
- 25 A That's correct.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

