

Petition for Covered Business Method (CBM)
Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ServiceNow, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Hewlett-Packard Company
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981
Filing Date: May 14, 2003
Issue Date: April 12, 2011

TITLE: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR MANAGING
WEB SERVICES VIA A FRAMEWORK OF INTERFACES

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD (CBM) PATENT
REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,925,981**

CBM Review No. 2015-00077

Table of Contents

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	1
D. Service Information	2
E. Power of Attorney	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.203	2
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304	3
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a).....	3
1. The Petitioner Has Been Sued For Alleged Infringement of the '981 Patent In Pending Litigation and Is Not Estopped.....	3
2. The '981 Patent is a "Covered Business Method Patent"	4
a. The '981 Patent is a "Covered Business Method Patent"	5
b. The '981 Patent is Not a "Technological Invention"	9
B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	11
C. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(3).....	12
IV. BRIEF BACKGROUND OF THE UNDERLYING TECHNOLOGY.....	12
A. Early History of Conducting Business over the Web.....	12
B. Conducting Business Using "Web Services" and XML	13
V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	14
A. The Specification of the '981 Patent.....	14
B. The Challenged Claims of the '981 Patent.....	16
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(B)(3).....	17

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
A. “Web service”	18
B. “managed object” and “service managed object”	19
1. “managed object”	19
2. “service managed object”	20
C. “manager”	22
D. “Interface,” “Managed Object Interface,” “Service Interface”	24
1. “interface”	24
2. “managed object interface”	26
3. “service interface”	26
E. “conversation”	27
VII. CLAIMS 1, 22 AND 23 OF THE '981 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	27
A. Claims 1, 22 and 23 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101.....	28
1. Claims 1, 22 and 23 Are Directed to an Abstract Idea	29
2. Claims 1, 22 and 23 Lack an “Inventive Concept”	32
B. Ground 2 – Claims 1, 22 and 23 Are Invalid Obvious Over Hofmann in view of eBay for Dummies	39
1. Prior Art and Date Qualification for Ground 2.....	39
2. Brief Summary of the Prior Art Applied in Ground 2.....	39
3. Claim 1 is Obvious	42
4. Claim 22 is Obvious	58
5. Claim 23 is Obvious	63
VIII. CONCLUSION	65

Petition for Covered Business Method (CBM)

Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981

List of Exhibits

Ex. No	Description of Document
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981 to M. Homayoun Pourheidari et al.
1002	Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D.
1003	U.S. Patent No. 7,945,860 to Guillaume N. Vambenepe et al.
1004	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0049664 A1 to Kenneth E. Hoffman et al., published on April 25, 2002
1005	Excerpts from <i>eBay for Dummies</i> (2d ed. 2001)
1006	<i>Excerpts from Java Web Services</i> , David A. Chappell & Tyler Jewell, March 2002
1007	<i>Excerpts from Applied SOAP: Implementing .NET XML Web Services</i> , Kenn Scribner & Mark Stiver, 2001
1008	<i>Excerpts from XML in a Nutshell</i> , Elliotte Rusty Harold et al., 2001
1009	<i>Excerpts from Microsoft Computer Dictionary</i> , Fifth Edition, 2002
1010	Complaint for Patent Infringement in Case No. 14-CV-00570 BLF (filed February 6, 2014)

Petition for Covered Business Method (CBM)
Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981

Petitioner ServiceNow, Inc. ("Petitioner") respectfully submits this Petition for Covered Business Patent Review of claims 1, 22 and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,925,981 [Ex. 1001] ("981 patent").

I. **MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)**

A. **Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

The Petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc. is the real party-in-interest.

B. **Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)**

The Petitioner is aware of two related matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2). First, on February 5, 2015, the Petitioner filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the '981 patent, IPR2015-00707, challenging claims 1, 22 and 23 based on grounds different from those set forth in this Petition.

Second, the Petitioner was sued for alleged infringement of the '981 patent in Hewlett-Packard Co. v. ServiceNow, Inc., Case No. 14-CV-00570 BLF (N.D. Cal. filed Feb. 6, 2014). The patent owner in that litigation contends that the Petitioner infringes the three claims of the '981 patent challenged in this Petition. The Petitioner has denied infringement and contends the patents are invalid.

C. **Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)**

Petitioner provides the following designation of counsel.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.