

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Trading Technologies International, Inc. |) | |
| |) | Civil Action No. 05-4811 |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman |
| v. |) | |
| |) | Magistrate Sidney I. Schenkier |
| CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

**TRADING TECHNOLOGIES' CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT THAT THE THAT THE "STATIC" LIMITATIONS MEET THE
WRITTEN DESCRIPTION REQUIREMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Trading Technologies, International, Inc., hereby cross-moves for partial summary judgment that:

1. The term "static display of prices" as set forth in the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,772,132 ("132") meets the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112; and
2. The term "common static price axis" as set forth in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,776,304 ("304") meets the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

In support of its motion, TT is submitting a memorandum, a statement of undisputed material facts under Local Rule 56.1, and exhibits. TT's undisputed facts, set forth in TT's Additional Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in support of its Cross Motion for Summary Judgment, establish that there is more than enough written description support for the "static display of prices" and "common static price axis" terms in the provisional application (which is mirrored by the specification of the patents-in-suit).

finding that the terms “common static price axis” and “static display of prices” as found in the claims of the patents-in-suit meet the written description requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 16, 2014

By: s/ Jennifer M. Kurcz
Leif R. Sigmund, Jr. (ID No. 6204980)
(sigmond@mbhb.com)
Matthew J. Sampson (ID No. 6207606)
(sampson@mbhb.com)
Michael D. Gannon (ID No. 6206940)
(gannon@mbhb.com)
S. Richard Carden (ID No. 6269504)
(carden@mbhb.com)
Jennifer M. Kurcz (ID No. 6279893)
(kurcz@mbhb.com)
Andrea K. Orth (ID No. 6301900)
(orth@mbhb.com)
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Tel.: (312) 913-0001
Fax: (312) 913-0002

Steven F. Borsand (ID No. 6206597)
(Steve.Borsand@tradingtechnologies.com)
Trading Technologies International, Inc.
222 South Riverside
Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 476-1000
Fax: (312) 476-1182
Attorneys for Plaintiff, TRADING
TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing **TRADING TECHNOLOGIES' CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT THE "STATIC" LIMITATIONS MEET THE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION REQUIREMENT** was served on May 16, 2014 as follows:

Via Filing Via this Court's CM-ECF System, which caused a copy to be served on all registered users by E-mail:

Counsel for CQG, Inc., and CQGT, LLC:

Adam G. Kelly
Loeb & Loeb LLP
321 N. Clark Street,
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60654
akelly@loeb.com

Johnnet Simone Jones
Loeb & Loeb LLP
321 N. Clark Street,
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60654
sjones@loeb.com

William Joshua Voller
Loeb & Loeb LLP
321 N. Clark Street,
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60654
wvoller@loeb.com

s/ Jennifer M. Kurcz

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES
INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

CQG, INC. AND CQGT, LLC.

Defendants.

)
) Civil Action No. 05-4811

)
) Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman

)
) Magistrate Sidney I. Schenkier

)
) **FILED UNDER SEAL**

**TT'S COMBINED MEMORANDUM 1) IN OPPOSITION TO CQG'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT ARE INVALID UNDER 35
U.S.C. §112 FOR LACK OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION; AND 2) IN SUPPORT OF ITS
CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT THE "STATIC"
LIMITATIONS MEET THE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION REQUIREMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. ARGUMENT.....2

 A. Legal Standards2

 B. The “Static” Terms As Construed.....4

 C. TT’s Motion Should Be Granted Because The Static Terms Are Fully Supported By The Written Description Of The Patents-In-Suit.....5

 D. CQG’S Motion That There Is No Written Description Support for “Static” Is Based On A Legally Flawed Argument and Must Be Denied.....9

 1. The Written Description Requirement Mandates Support For What Is *Claimed*, Not Support For All Features In The Accused Products12

 2. Nothing in The Written Description or File History Requires “Static” To Include Non-Static Zones/All Price Levels Displayed15

III. CONCLUSION20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.