IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Trading Technologies International, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 05-CV-4811

Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman

CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC,

v.

Magistrate Judge Sidney I. Schenkier

Defendants.

NOTICE OF INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL

CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC (collectively, CQG) appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit the Court's Order denying Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending Covered Business Method Review by the United States Patent and Trademark Office entered in this action on January 13, 2015 (ECF No. 876) (Exhibit 1).

Appellate jurisdiction arises from the statute permitting interlocutory appeals from orders pertaining to motions to stay under Section 18(b) of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (AIA). 35 U.S.C. § 321 note; AIA § 18(b)(2), Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284, 331 (2011) ("A party may take an immediate interlocutory appeal from a district court's decision [on a motion to stay]). The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall review the district court's decision to ensure consistent application of established precedent, and such review may be de novo.").

Because the Court has not entered final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54, this is not an appeal from final judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291-1292, 1295.



By: /s/ Adam G. Kelly

Adam G. Kelly William J. Voller III J. Simone Jones LOEB & LOEB LLP 321 North Clark, Suite 2300 Chicago, Illinois 60654 (312) 464-3100 Telephone (312) 464-3111 Facsimile

Laura A. Wytsma (pro hac vice) LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Suite 2200 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 282-2000 Telephone (310) 282-2200 Facsimile

Attorneys for Defendants CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Adam G. Kelly, certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served via the ECF

filing system upon the following on the 13th day of January 2015:

Matthew J. Sampson
(sampson@mbhb.com)
S. Richard Carden
(carden@mbhb.com)
Jennifer M. Kurcz
(kurcz@mbhb.com)
Andrea K. Orth
(orth@mbhb.com)
Brandon J. Kennedy
(kennedy@mbhb.com)
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Steven F. Borsand (Steve.Borsand@tradingtechnologies.com) Trading Technologies International, Inc. 222 South Riverside Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60606

January 13, 2015

By:

/s/ Adam G. Kelly

LOEB & LOEB LLP 321 North Clark Street, Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60654

Telephone: (312) 464-3100

Attorneys for CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC



EXHIBIT 1



Case: 1:05-cv-04811 Document #: 876 Filed: 01/13/15 Page 1 of 7 PageID #:26879

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES	
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.)))
CQG, INC., and CQGT, LLC,) Case No. 05-cv-4811
Defendants.) Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman
	1

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On December 12, 2014, CQG filed a Motion to Stay the case pending Covered Business Method Review by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office [845]. The Court allowed Trading Technologies until December 29, 2014, to respond. No reply was allowed, but one was filed. For the reasons stated below, the motion is denied.

Background

The instant motion stems from a petition filed by TD Ameritrade Holding Corp. et al. on May 19, 2014, with the USPTO requesting review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132 B1 (one of the patents-in-suit in our case – the '132 patent). TD Ameritrade challenged the patentability of claims 1-56 of the '132 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 101 (among other things). On December 4, 2014, the USPTO determined that the petition demonstrates that it is more likely than not that the challenged claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101, and therefore instituted a covered business method patent review of claims



¹ The Court subsequently granted TT's Motion to Strike the Reply [872].

² Notably, TD Ameritrade is a defendant in a lawsuit filed by TT currently pending before Judge Virginia Kendall, 10 C 715. In that case, TD Ameritrade filed the motion to stay on May 22, 2014. Judge Kendall has not yet ruled on that motion. It is not uncommon for courts to wait to rule on a motion to stay until after the USPTO has issued its decision whether to grant review. TT filed its response in opposition to the stay in that case on December 16, 2014.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

