

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,  
Petitioner

v.

YYZ, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

CBM No. Unassigned

---

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

**U.S. PATENT NO. 7,062,749**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. Overview of the '749 Patent.....2

III. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....3

IV. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)).....4

    A. The '749 Patent is Directed to a Covered Business Method.....4

    B. The '749 Patent Does Not Claim a “Technological Invention” .....7

        1. There are no novel and unobvious technological features.....9

        2. The claims do not solve a technical problem using a technical solution.....11

        3. The claims do nothing more than use claim drafting techniques that do not render the claims a “technological invention” .....12

    C. Petitioner has Standing and is Not Estopped (37 C.F.R. § 42.302)....14

V. Prosecution History of the '749 Patent.....14

    A. Original Prosecution.....14

    B. Reexamination Prosecution.....14

VI. Claim Construction.....17

    A. Asynchronous Messaging Environment .....18

    B. Process.....18

    C. Sub-Process .....18

    D. Original Message.....19

    E. Monitoring Message.....19

    F. Central Message Repository.....20

    G. Transaction .....21

    H. Transaction Record.....21

VII. Statement of Precise Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)).....21

VIII. Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)) .....22

IX. Ground 1: Claims 22-23 and 27-29 are Unpatentable under §101 .....22

    A. Claims 22-23 and 27-29 Cover an Abstract Idea.....24

B.	Elements of the Claims Do Not Amount to “Significantly More” than the Abstract Idea ( <i>i.e.</i> , No Inventive Concept).....	30
X.	Grounds of Unpatentability Based on eSleuth .....	32
A.	Ground 2: Claims 22-23, and 27-29 are Anticipated by the eSleuth Product.....	33
1.	The eSleuth product is prior art under § 102(a) because it was “known or used by others” .....	34
2.	The eSleuth product discloses all elements of 22-23 and 27-29 .....	38
B.	Ground 3: Claims 22-23 and 27-29 are Rendered Obvious by the eSleuth Materials .....	62
1.	The eSleuth Materials qualify independently as prior art references .....	62
2.	Claims 22-23 and 27-29 are obvious over the eSleuth Materials based on the <i>Graham</i> factors .....	64
XI.	Ground 4: Claims 22-23 and 27-29 are Rendered Obvious by the MQSeries Materials in view of Linthicum .....	67
A.	Prior Art Relied Upon .....	67
B.	Claims 22-23 and 27-29 are Obvious over the MQSeries Materials in view of Linthicum Based on the <i>Graham</i> Factors .....	69
XII.	Conclusion .....	80

## EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,062,749
Ex. 1002	Publicly Available File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,062,749
Ex. 1003	Publicly Available File History of Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,062,749
Ex. 1004	eSleuth History Document
Ex. 1005	Scanned Copy of First eSleuth Demonstration Disc
Ex. 1006	Whitepaper entitled “eSleuth – eBusiness Transaction Analysis Software that Simplifies the Development of Reliable, High-Quality eBusiness Systems,” January 28, 2000 (“First Whitepaper”)
Ex. 1007	Scanned Copy of Second eSleuth Demonstration Disc
Ex. 1008	Whitepaper entitled “eSleuth – eBusiness Transaction Analysis Software that Improves Reliability, Performance, and Quality,” February 22, 2000 (“Second Whitepaper”)
Ex. 1009	Bristol Website Pages Archived on Feb. 29, 2000 and May 28, 2000
Ex. 1010	April 2000 Business Wire Press Release
Ex. 1011	eSleuth 1.0 User’s Guide, April 13, 2000 (“User’s Guide”)
Ex. 1012	eSleuth 1.0 Administrator’s Guide, April 10, 2000 (“Administrator’s Guide”)
Ex. 1013	eSleuth 1.0 Release Notes, April 2000 (“Release Notes”)
Ex. 1014	June 2000 Business Wire Press Release
Ex. 1015	Chane Cullens Affidavit for Bristol’s Application for the Trademark “eSleuth” signed April 2, 2001
Ex. 1016	Chane Cullens Affidavit for Bristol’s Application for the

	Trademark “eSleuth” signed May 16, 2001
Ex. 1017	MQSeries Primer, IBM MQSeries EAI Center, October 1999 (“MQSeries Primer”)
Ex. 1018	Using the IBM MQSeries Integrator Version 1.0, IBM International Technical Support Organization, SG24-5386-00, May 1999 (“MQSeries Integrator 1.0 Reference”)
Ex. 1019	Oracle8i, Application Developer’s Guide - Advanced Queuing, Release 8.1.5, February 1999 (“Oracle 8i Guide”)
Ex. 1020	D. Linthicum, <u>Enterprise Application Integration</u> , Addison-Wesley Longman, Copyright 2000 (“Linthicum”)
Ex. 1021	Y. Hoffner, Monitoring in Distributed Systems, ANSA Architecture Report, October 25, 1994 (“Hoffner”)
Ex. 1022	MQSeries Integrator, Using the Control Center, Version 2 Release 0, SC34-5602-00, First edition, March 2000 (“MQSeries Control Center”)
Ex. 1023	MQSeries Integrator, Introduction and Planning, Version 2.0, GC34-5599-00, First edition, April 2000 (“MQSeries Planning”)
Ex. 1024	MQSeries Integrator, Programming Guide, Version 2.0, SC34-5603-00, First edition, April 2000 (“MQSeries Programming”)
Ex. 1025	MQSeries Integrator, Messages, Version 2, GC34-5601-00, First edition, April 2000 (“MQSeries Messages”)
Ex. 1026	MQSeries for Windows NT, Quick Beginnings, Version 5.1, GC34-5389-00, First edition, March 1999 (“MQSeries Quick Beginnings”)
Ex. 1027	Van de Putte et al., Business Integration Solutions with MQSeries Integrator, IBM RedBooks, SG24-6154-00, August 2000 (“IBM Redbook”)
Ex. 1028	M43 – Introduction to Business Integration & Message Brokers, IBM MQSeries Technical Conference, June 2000 (“IBM

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.