

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM: Unassigned

---

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,774,280 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE  
LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

**Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘280 PATENT AND PETITIONER’S CHALLENGE .....	1
III. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	6
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)).....	8
A. The ‘280 Patent is Directed to a Covered Business Method.....	9
1. The ‘280 Patent claims methods and systems used in financial services.....	9
2. The ‘280 Patent is not directed to a technological invention.....	15
i. The claimed subject matter as a whole does not recite a technological feature that is novel and unobvious over the prior art.....	16
ii. The claimed subject matter does not solve a technical problem using a technical solution.....	20
V. CLAIMS FOR REVIEW .....	25
VI. IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE .....	25
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	26
A. Meta-right.....	27
B. Right(s).....	30
C. License.....	31
D. State variable .....	31
E. Repository .....	33

VIII. FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED .....36

A. The § 101 Grounds .....36

1. [Ground 1] Claims 1, 5, 11, 12 and 22 of the ‘280 Patent are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as Being Directed to Non-Statutory Subject Matter .....36

i. Patent-eligibility framework.....37

ii. The ‘280 Patent claims are directed to an unpatentable abstract idea.....39

iii. The ‘280 Patent’s claims add nothing to the abstract idea except use of known and general purpose computers .....46

iv. No further meaningful method or system elements beyond the abstract idea are claimed.....52

B. [Ground 2] Claims 1, 5, 11, 12 and 22 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 In View of the ‘012 Patent .....56

1. The ‘012 Patent is Prior Art to the ‘280 Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and (b).....56

2. The Teaching of the ‘012 Patent.....56

3. Every element of the challenged claims of the ‘280 Patent is anticipated or rendered obvious by the ‘012 Patent.....61

C. [Ground 2] Element-By-Element Anticipation Analysis.....63

1. Claim 1, Preamble, “A computer-implemented method for transferring rights adapted to be associated with items from a rights supplier to a rights consumer, the method comprising:” .....63

2. Claim 1, Element A, “obtaining a set of rights associated with an item, the set of rights including a meta-right specifying a right that can be created when the meta-right

is exercised, wherein the meta-right is provided in digital form and is enforceable by a repository;” .....63

3. Claim 1, Element B, “determining, by a repository, whether the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right;” .....65

4. Claim 1, Element C, “and exercising the meta-right to create the right specified by the meta-right if the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right, wherein the created right includes at least one state variable based on the set of rights and used for determining a state of the created right.”:.....68

5. Claim 5, “The method of claim 1, wherein the state variable is updated upon exercise of a right associated with the state variable.” .....70

6. Claim 11, “The method of claim 1, further comprising generating a license including the created right, if the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right.” .....70

7. Claim 12, Preamble, “A system for transferring rights adapted to be associated with items from a rights supplier to a rights consumer, the system comprising:” .....71

8. Claim 12, Element A, “means for obtaining a set of rights associated with an item, the set of rights including a meta-right specifying a right that can be created when the meta-right is exercised, wherein the meta-right is provided in digital form and is enforceable by a repository;” .....71

9. Claim 12, Element B, “means for determining whether the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right; and” .....72

10. Claim 12, Element C, “means for exercising the meta-right to create the right specified by the meta-right if the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right, wherein the created right includes at least one

state variable based on the set of rights and used for determining a state of the created right.” .....73

11. Claim 22, “The system of claim 12, further comprising means for generating a license including the created right, if the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right.” .....74

D. [Ground 3] Claims 1, 5, 11, 12 and 22 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in View of the ‘012 Patent and the Knowledge of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....74

IX. CONCLUSION.....76

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.