UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and GOOGLE INC. Petitioners,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC, Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00033

Patent 8,336,772 B2¹

PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

¹ Samsung's challenge to claims 26 and 32 and of U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 B2 in CBM2015-00059 was consolidated with this proceeding. Paper 24, 9-10.



The Federal Circuit's recent decision in *BASCOM Global Internet Services*, *Inc. v. AT&T Mobility, LLC.*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3514158, No. 2015-1763 (Fed. Cir. June 27, 2016) clarifies the analysis under step two of *Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International*, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014). That decision confirms that the claims at issue here contain an "inventive concept" and, in particular, that "an inventive concept can be found in the non-conventional and non-generic arrangement of known, conventional pieces." 2016 WL 3514158, at *6; *see also Rapid Litigation Management Ltd. V. Cellzdirect, Inc.*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3606624, at *6, No. 2015-1570 (Fed. Cir. July 5, 2016).

The claimed Internet content filtering system in *BASCOM* could be located on a remote ISP server and customized to individual subscribers' accounts by associating each network account with filtering schemes and filtering elements.

See 2016 WL 3514158, at *3. The Federal Circuit concluded, at step two of *Alice*, that the claims did not "merely recite the abstract idea of filtering content along with the requirement to perform it on the Internet, or to perform it on a set of generic computer components." *Id.* at *6-*7. The patent claimed "installation of a filtering tool at a specific location . . . with customizable filtering features specific to each end user." *Id.* at *6. That design provided specific benefits over alternatives; it was not "conventional or generic." *Id.* The Court distinguished the claims in *Ultramercial v. Hulu, LLC*, 772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014), which



"preempted all use of the claimed abstract idea on the Internet"; the claims in *BASCOM* "carve out a specific location for the filtering system . . . and require the filtering system to give users the ability to customize filtering." *Id.* at *8.

The *Alice* step-two analysis in *BASCOM* applies to the Smartflash claims. Even assuming the claims are directed to an abstract idea ("paying for and/or controlling access to content" in one of Petitioner's formulations), they do not "merely recite [that] abstract idea" nor do they "preempt all ways" of paying for and controlling access to digital content. *Id.* at *7. On the contrary, the claims "recite a specific, discrete implementation" – concrete devices, systems, and methods – for purchasing, downloading, storing, and conditioning access to digital content. *Id.* In *BASCOM*, locating a filtering system on an ISP server was conventional, as was customizing a filtering scheme for an individual user. See Id. at *6. Nevertheless, "the inventive concept inquiry requires more than recognizing that each claim element, by itself, was known in the art." Id. The fact that known components were arranged in a non-conventional and non-generic way satisfied § 101. Here, as in BASCOM, the "patent describes how its particular arrangement of elements is a technical improvement over prior art ways" of distributing digital content – for example, in the case of Claim 3 of the '720 patent, by describing a system for content delivery that uses a data carrier that stores (1) payment data that a data access terminal transmits to a payment validation system; (2) content data



delivered by a data supplier; *and* (3) access rules supplied by the data supplier – thus "improv[ing] an existing technological process." *Id.* at *7. That specific arrangement of data elements and organization of transaction steps, like the installation of particular software at an ISP server rather than on a client computer, cannot be dismissed as merely conventional or generic, but instead provides a technical solution that improves the functioning of the data access terminal.

BASCOM also confirms that DDR is controlling notwithstanding the asserted generality of the claims. The Smartflash patents provide a "technical solution to a problem unique to the Internet." Id. The patents "claim[] a technical way to satisfy an existing problem" for digital content providers – namely, rampant digital content piracy – thus providing a "technology-based solution . . . that overcomes existing problems" with digital content distribution. Id.; see also Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd., 545 U.S. 913, 929-30 (2005) ("[D]igital distribution of copyrighted material threatens copyright holders as never before, because every copy is identical to the original, copying is easy, and many people ... use file-sharing software to download copyrighted works."). The patent does not claim "an abstract-idea-based solution implemented with generic technical components in a conventional way." BASCOM, 2016 WL 3514158, at *7. Like the patents in *DDR* and *BASCOM*, Smartflash claims a "software-based invention[] that improve[s] the performance of the computer system itself." Id.



Dated: July 20, 2016 / Michael R. Casey /

Michael R. Casey Registration No. 40,294 Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey, LLP 8300 Greensboro Drive Suite 500 McLean, VA 22102

Telephone: (571) 765-7705 Fax: (571) 765-7200

Email: mcasey@dbjg.com Attorney for Patent Owner



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

