

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Inventor: Racz et al. | § | Attorney Docket No.: |
| United States Patent No.: 8,336,772 | § | 104677-5008-820 |
| Formerly Application No.: 13/212,047 | § | Customer No. 28120 |
| Issue Date: December 25, 2012 | § | |
| Filing Date: August 17, 2011 | § | Petitioner: Apple Inc. |
| Former Group Art Unit: 2887 | § | |
| Former Examiner: Thien M. Le | § | |

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,336,772 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| II. | OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION | 6 |
| III. | PETITIONER HAS STANDING..... | 11 |
| | A. The '772 Patent Is a Covered Business Method (“CBM”) Patent | 11 |
| | 1. Exemplary Claim 19 Is Financial In Nature | 11 |
| | 2. Claim 19 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention | 13 |
| | B. Related Matters and Mandatory Notice Information; Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement..... | 18 |
| IV. | DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE | 19 |
| | A. Claim Construction..... | 21 |
| | B. The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under § 101..... | 24 |
| | 1. Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas | 25 |
| | 2. Claims Do Not Disclose An “Inventive Concept” That Is “Significantly More” Than An Abstract Idea | 28 |
| | 3. Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Create Patent Eligibility..... | 28 |
| | 4. Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions | 29 |
| | 5. Functional Nature Confirms Preemption and Ineligibility..... | 34 |
| | 6. Machine-or-Transformation Test Also Confirms Patent Ineligibility..... | 36 |
| | C. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under § 103 | 36 |
| | 1. Overview of Stefik | 36 |
| | 2. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio | 40 |
| | 3. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio and Subler | 41 |
| | 4. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio, Subler, and Sato..... | 42 |
| | 5. Claims 14, 19, and 22 are Obvious in Light of Stefik in view of Poggio and Subler (Ground 2); Claims 14, 19, and | |

22 are Obvious in Light of Stefik in view of Poggio, Subler,
and Sato (Ground 3).....43

V. CONCLUSION.....80

| EXHIBIT LIST | |
|---------------------|---|
| 1301 | U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 |
| 1302 | Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint |
| 1303 | U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127 |
| 1304 | U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805 |
| 1305 | Russell Housley and Jan Dolphin, "Metering: A Pre-pay Technique," Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases V, Conference Volume 3022, 527 (January 15, 1997) |
| 1306 | U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806 |
| 1307 | U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734 |
| 1308 | U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245 |
| 1309 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 |
| 1310 | U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 |
| 1311 | U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392 |
| 1312 | U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 |
| 1313 | U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 |
| 1314 | U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019 |
| 1315 | European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2 |
| 1316 | International Publication No. WO 99/43136 |
| 1317 | JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation) |
| 1318 | Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Frank-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997) |
| 1319 | Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple |

Covered Business Method Patent Review
United States Patent No. 8,336,772

| | |
|------|--|
| | Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review |
| 1320 | U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 |
| 1321 | Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review |
| 1322 | Declaration of Megan F. Raymond In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review |
| 1323 | Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229) |
| 1324 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 |
| 1325 | U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483 |
| 1326 | U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375 |
| 1327 | International Publication No. WO 95/34857 |
| 1328 | JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation) |
| 1329 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 |
| 1330 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 |
| 1331 | U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 |
| 1332 | U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 |
| 1333 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 |
| 1334 | U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 |
| 1335 | File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 |
| 1336 | U.S. Patent No. 5,646,992 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.