UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC, Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00029 Patent 7,334,720 B2

CORRECTED PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,334,720 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304¹

¹ As directed by the Board in Paper 4, Petitioner hereby resubmits this Petition to address formality issues identified therein.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	INTRODUCTION			
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION				
III.	PET	ITION	ER HAS STANDING	7	
	Α.	The '	720 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	7	
		1.	Exemplary Claim 14 Is Financial In Nature	7	
		2.	Claim 14 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention	9	
	В.		ed Matters and Mandatory Notice Information; Petitioner Is a In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement		
IV.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE				
	Α.	Claim	Construction	16	
	В.	The (The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 101		
		1.	Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas	20	
		2.	Claims Do Not Disclose An "Inventive Concept" That Is "Significantly More" Than an Abstract Idea	23	
		3.	Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Create Patent Eligibility	23	
		4.	Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions	24	
		5.	Functional Nature Confirms Preemption and Ineligibility	28	
		6.	Machine-or-Transformation Test Confirms Ineligibility	30	
	C.	The (Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	30	
		1.	Overview of Stefik	30	
		2.	Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio	33	
		3.	Motivation to Combine Stefik and Poggio with Kopp	37	
		4.	Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio, Kopp, and Smith	40	
		5.	Claims 3 and 13-15 are Obvious.	42	
V.	CON	NCLUS	ION	79	



EXHIBIT LIST			
1301	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720		
1302	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint		
1303	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127		
1304	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805		
1305	Russell Housley and Jan Dolphin, "Metering: A Pre-pay Technique," Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases V, Conference Volume 3022, 527 (January 15, 1997)		
1306	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806		
1307	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734		
1308	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245		
1309	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720		
1310	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317		
1311	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392		
1312	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235		
1313	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980		
1314	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019		
1315	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2		
1316	International Publication No. WO 99/43136		
1317	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)		
1318	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Frank-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)		
1319	Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review		
1320	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458		



EXHIBIT LIST				
1321	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review			
1322	Declaration of Megan F. Raymond In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review			
1323	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229)			
1324	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598			
1325	U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483			
1326	U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375			
1327	International Publication No. WO 95/34857			
1328	JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation)			
1329	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317			
1330	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458			
1331	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598			
1332	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221			
1333	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221			
1334	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772			
1335	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772			
1336	U.S. Patent No. 5,646,992			



I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to § 321 and Rule 42.304,² the undersigned, on behalf of Apple Inc. ("Petitioner"), petitions for covered business method review of claims 3 and 13-15 of U.S. Pat. No. 7,334,720 ("the '720 Patent" or "'720"), issued to Smartflash Limited and currently assigned to Smartflash LLC ("Patentee"). Petitioner asserts it is more likely than not that the challenged claims are unpatentable and requests review of, and judgment against, claims 3 and 13-15 as unpatentable under § 101 and § 103.

Petitioner has concurrently filed a second CBM Petition against different '720 claims under § 101 and § 103. The Director, pursuant to Rule 325(c), may determine that merger, or at minimum coordination, of these proceedings, is appropriate. Petitioner previously filed CBM2014-00104/105 on the '720 under §§ 102 and 103. In its Decision Denying Institution, the Board construed "access rule" as "a rule specifying a condition under which access to content is permitted," *id.*, Pap. 9, at 8, and determined Petitioner had not shown it was more likely than not that it would prevail in demonstrating that Stefik and Poggio, Maari, and/or Sato rendered obvious limitations related to "access rule[s]." *Id.* Pap. 9, at 13-20. In light of the Board's decision, Petitioner now identifies additional prior art—Kopp and Smith (Exs. 1304, 1327)—

² Petitioner is demonstrating, in pending litigation, that these claims are invalid for numerous additional reasons. All section cites herein are to 35 U.S.C. or 37 C.F.R., as the context indicates, and all emphasis herein is added unless otherwise noted.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

