

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2015-00028

Patent 7,334,720 B2

---

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....5

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS .....8

III. THE WECHSELBERGER DECLARATION SHOULD BE GIVEN LITTLE OR NO WEIGHT .....8

    A. The Wechselberger Declaration Is Not Based on a Review of Any Articulated Standard for Statutory Subject Matter .....8

    B. No Evidentiary Standard Is Disclosed in the Wechselberger Declaration .....13

IV. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,334,720 .....15

    A. Overview of the Technology of the Patent .....15

    B. Claim Construction .....18

V. CLAIMS 1 AND 2 OF THE ‘720 PATENT ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER .....19

    A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter .....19

    B. The Claims Are Statutory Under the Second Step of *Mayo* and *Alice*.20

    C. Claims 1 and 2 Do Not Result in Inappropriate Preemption .....22

        1. Preemption under *DDR Holdings* .....22

        2. Preemption under *Mayo* and *Alice* .....24

        3. Non-Infringing Alternatives Show a Lack of Preemption.....27

VI. PETITIONER HAS ALREADY LOST A CHALLENGE TO OTHER CLAIMS OF THE SAME PATENT ON THE SAME STATUTORY GROUNDS IN ITS LITIGATION WITH PATENT OWNER .....28

VII. THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER .....30

VIII. INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL .....30

IX. SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW .....32

X. CONCLUSION.....35

## PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2039	Reserved
2040	Declaration of Anthony Wechselberger in CBM2014-00104
2041	Declaration of Anthony Wechselberger in CBM2014-00105
2042	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response in CBM2014-00104
2043	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response in CBM2014-00105
2044-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2057	Reserved

2058	Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Defendants' Motions for Stay Pending the Outcome of CBMs) from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Google, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-435 (E.D. Tex.), and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Amazon, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-992 (E.D. Tex.) dated May 29, 2015
2059-2067	Reserved
2068	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated May 28, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00015, -00016, -00017 and -00018
2069-2073	Reserved
2074	Civil Docket Report from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.)
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.
2076	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated July 22, 2015
2077	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Response

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.