

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Racz et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,061,598	§	104677-5008-815
Formerly Application No.: 13/012,541	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: November 22, 2011	§	
Filing Date: January 24, 2011	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,061,598 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION	6
III.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING	12
	A. The '598 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	12
	1. Exemplary Claim 7 Is Financial In Nature	13
	2. Claim 7 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention	17
	B. Related Matters and Mandatory Notice Information; Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement.....	22
IV.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE.....	24
	A. Claim Construction.....	24
	B. The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under § 101.....	29
	1. Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas	30
	2. Claims Do Not Disclose An “Inventive Concept” That Is “Significantly More” Than an Abstract Idea	33
	3. Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Transform Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions.....	33
	4. Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform Ab- stract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions.....	34
	5. The Functional Nature Of The Challenged Claims Con- firms preemption and Patent Ineligibility.....	39
	6. Machine-or-Transformation Test Also Confirms Patent In- eligibility.....	41
	C. The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under § 103.....	41
	1. Overview of Stefik	41
	2. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Ahmad	45
	3. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Ahmad and Kopp	47
	4. Claims 1, 2, 15, and 31 are Obvious in Light of Stefik in View of Ahmad (Ground 2); Claims 1, 2, 15, and 31 are Obvious in Light of Stefik in View of Ahmad and Kopp (Ground 3)	48

V. CONCLUSION.....79

EXHIBIT LIST	
1201	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1202	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint
1203	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127
1204	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805
1205	Russell Housley and Jan Dolphin, "Metering: A Pre-pay Technique," Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases V, Conference Volume 3022, 527 (January 15, 1997)
1206	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1207	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1208	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245
1209	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1210	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1211	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1212	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1213	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1214	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1215	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1216	International Publication No. WO 99/43136
1217	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1218	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Frank-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)
1219	Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review

EXHIBIT LIST	
1220	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1221	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1222	Declaration of Megan F. Raymond In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1223	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from <i>Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229)
1224	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1225	U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483
1226	U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375
1227	International Publication No. WO 95/34857

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.