

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Racz et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,118,221	§	104677-5008-813
Formerly Application No.: 12/943,872	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: February 21, 2012	§	
Filing Date: November 10, 2010	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,118,221 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION	4
III.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	8
	A. The '221 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	8
	1. Exemplary Claim 32 Is Financial In Nature	10
	2. Claim 32 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention	13
	B. Related Matters and Mandatory Notice Information; Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement	18
IV.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE	19
	A. Claim Construction.....	19
	B. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. §101	23
	1. Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas	24
	2. Claims Do Not Disclose An “Inventive Concept” That Is “Significantly More” Than an Abstract Idea	27
	3. Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Transform Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions.....	27
	4. Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform Ab- stract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions.....	28
	5. The Functional Nature Of The Challenged Claims Con- firms Preemption and Patent Ineligibility	34
	6. Machine-or-Transformation Test Confirms Ineligibility	36
	C. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	36
	1. Overview of Stefik	36
	2. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio	41
	3. Motivation to Combine Stefik and Poggio with Kopp.....	45
	4. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio, Kopp, and Smith	49
	5. Claim 32 is Obvious.....	52

V. CONCLUSION.....79

EXHIBIT LIST	
1201	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1202	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint
1203	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1204	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1205	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1206	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1207	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245
1208	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1209	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1210	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805
1211	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1212	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1213	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1214	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1215	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1216	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1217	International Publication No. WO 99/43136
1218	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1219	International Publication No. WO 95/34857
1220	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)

EXHIBIT LIST	
1221	Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1222	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1223	Declaration of Flora D. Elias-Mique In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1224	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1225	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1226	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1227	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1228	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1229	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1230	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from <i>Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229)
1231	U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483
1232	U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375
1233	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.