

Case CBM2015-00015  
Patent 8,118,221 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2015-00015  
Patent 8,118,221 B2

---

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, RAMA G. ELLURU, JEREMY M. PLENZLER, and  
MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	PETITIONER’S RESPONSE TO PO’S STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	3
III.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS NOT § 101 PATENT ELIGIBLE .....	3
	A. PO Fails to Identify Any Inventive Concept.....	5
	B. The <i>DDR</i> Claims Are Not Analogous to the Challenged Claim .....	7
	1. The Challenged Claim Does Not Address an Internet-Specific Problem.....	9
	2. The Challenged Claim Does Not “Override” Routine and Conventional Computer Action .....	12
	3. The Challenged Claim Does Not “Parallel” the <i>DDR</i> Claims .....	14
	C. PO’s Preemption Arguments Are Misplaced.....	16
IV.	PO’S OTHER ARGUMENTS ARE IRRELEVANT TO THE MERITS ....	19
	A. Mr. Wechselberger Was Not Required to Recite the “Preponderance of the Evidence” Standard .....	19
	B. PO’s Construction of “Payment Data” Ignores the Explicit Teachings of the ’221 Patent.....	21
	C. PO’s “Estoppel” Arguments Are Unfounded .....	22
	1. The ’221 Patent’s Prosecution Before the PTO Does Not Estop the Board from Considering § 101 Here .....	22
	2. Non-Final § 101 Determinations in District Court Litigation Have No Impact On This Proceeding.....	23

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	6, 15, 25
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	passim
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	passim
<i>Arrhythmia Research Tech., Inc. v. Corazonix Corp.</i> , 958 F.2d 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1992) .....	25
<i>Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Can.</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	5, 15, 19
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	6, 12, 14
<i>Content Extraction &amp; Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat’l Ass’n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	2, 5, 14
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	passim
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	21
<i>Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg</i> , 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	24, 25
<i>Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter Int’l, Inc.</i> , 721 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	24
<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972).....	1, 11

Case CBM2015-00015  
Patent 8,118,221 B2

<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA)</i> ("IV"), 792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	passim
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).....	passim
<i>OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	passim
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	21
<i>Telebuyer, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-1677, 2015 WL 4493045 (W.D. Wash. July 23, 2015) .....	6
<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> , 772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	passim
<i>Versata Dev. Grp., Inc. v. SAP Am., Inc.</i> , 793 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	20, 23

**PTAB RULINGS**

CBM2012-00007, Papers 15 & 58.....	25
CBM2013-00013, Paper 61 .....	6
CBM2014-00079, Paper 28 .....	3, 17
CBM2014-00102, Paper 8 .....	20
CBM2015-00059, Paper 13 .....	3, 17
IPR2013-00078, Paper 15 .....	21
IPR2013-00172, Paper 50.....	3, 20

**STATUTES & REGULATIONS**

35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	passim
35 U.S.C. § 324(a) .....	23
37 C.F.R. § 42.65 .....	20

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1201	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1202	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint
1203	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1204	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1205	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1206	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1207	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245
1208	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1209	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1210	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805
1211	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1212	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1213	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1214	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1215	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1216	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1217	International Publication No. WO 99/43136
1218	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1219	International Publication No. WO 95/34857
1220	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.