

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Racz et al. Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0007CP2
U.S. Patent No.: 8,118,221
Issue Date: February 21, 2012
Appl. Serial No.: 12/943,872
Filing Date: November 10, 2010
Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,118,221 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321
AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	3
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES	3
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304.....	3
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a).....	3
B.	Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested	3
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(3).....	5
1.	CONSTRUCTION 1 – Payment data	6
D.	The ‘221 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	8
E.	The ‘221 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent. 11	
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘221 Patent	14
A.	Brief Description.....	14
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘221 Patent.....	15
V.	MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH A CBM IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘221 Patent IS UNPATENTABLE	16
A.	GROUND 1 – Ginter Anticipates Claims 2, 11, and 32.	17
2.	Overview of Ginter.....	17
3.	Ginter Anticipates Claims 2, 11, and 32.	27
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	52

EXHIBITS

SAMSUNG 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
SAMSUNG 1002	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
SAMSUNG 1003	Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom (“Bloom”)
SAMSUNG 1004	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1005	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1006	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1007	PCT Application PCT/GB00/04110 (“the ‘110 Appln.” or “‘110”), which is the application as filed for U.S. Patent Application No. 11/336,758 (“the ‘758 Appln.” or “‘758”) and U.S. Patent Application No. 10/111,716 (“the ‘716 Appln.” or “‘716”)
SAMSUNG 1008	United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 (“the ‘227.2 Appln.” or “‘227.2”)
SAMSUNG 1009	Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents—Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (August 14, 2012)
SAMSUNG 1010	A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
SAMSUNG 1011	Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of <i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> (July 27, 2010)
SAMSUNG 1012	<i>Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC</i> , CBM2013-

	00019 Paper No. 17 (entered October 8, 2013) at 11-13
SAMSUNG 1013	Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Development Group, Inc., CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered October 24, 2013)
SAMSUNG 1014	Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc., CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered November 19, 2013)
SAMSUNG 1015	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1016	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1017	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1018	RESERVED
SAMSUNG 1019	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 (“the ‘317 Patent” or “’317”)
SAMSUNG 1020	U.S. Patent Application No. 12/014,558 (“the ‘558 Appln.” or “’558”)
SAMSUNG 1021	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 (“the ‘720 Patent” or “’720”)
SAMSUNG 1022	U.S. Patent Application No. 12/943,872 (“the ‘872 Appln.” or “’872”)
SAMSUNG 1023	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019 (“Ginter”)

Three sister companies, Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (“Petitioner” or “Samsung”) petition for *Covered Business Method Patent Review* (“CBM”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 321 and § 18 of the Leahy-Smith American Invents Act of claims 2, 11, and 32 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221. As explained in this petition, there exists a reasonable likelihood that Samsung will prevail in demonstrating unpatentability with respect to at least one of the Challenged Claims based on teachings set forth in at least the references presented in this petition. Samsung respectfully submits that a CBM review should be instituted, and that the Challenged Claims should be canceled as unpatentable.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC are jointly filing this Petition, and are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)

Samsung is not aware of any disclaimers or reexamination certificates for the ‘221 Patent. The ‘221 Patent is the subject of a number of civil actions including: Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., Case No. 6:13-cv-00447 and Smartflash

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.