

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Racz et al. Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0007CP1
U.S. Patent No.: 8,118,221
Issue Date: February 21, 2012
Appl. Serial No.: 12/943,872
Filing Date: November 10, 2010
Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,118,221 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321
AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
	C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304.....	2
	A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)	2
	B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested.....	3
	C. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(3)	4
	1. CONSTRUCTION 1 – Payment data	5
	D. The ‘221 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	7
	E. The ‘221 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent. 10	
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘221 Patent	13
	A. Brief Description	13
	B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘221 Patent.....	15
	C. The Effective Priority Date of the Claims of the ‘221 Patent.....	16
V.	DEMONSTRATION OF A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘221 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	21
	A. GROUND 1 – Claims 2, 11 and 32 Are Patent Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	21
	B. GROUND 2 – Gruse In View of Stefik Renders Obvious Claims 2, 11, and 32	34
	1. Overview of Gruse.....	34
	2. Overview of Stefik.....	39
	3. Combinability of Gruse with Stefik	40
	4. Gruse In View of Stefik Renders Obvious Claims 2, 11, and 32. 44	
VI.	CONCLUSION	70

EXHIBITS

- SAMSUNG-1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 to Racz et al. (“the ‘221 Patent” or “‘221”)
- SAMSUNG-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘221 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- SAMSUNG-1003 Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom re the ‘221 Patent (“Bloom”)
- SAMSUNG-1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 (“Stefik ‘235”)
- SAMSUNG-1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 (“Stefik ‘980”) (incorporated by 5,530,235)
- SAMSUNG-1006 PCT Publication No. WO 00/08909 (“Gruse”)
- SAMSUNG-1007 PCT Application No. PCT/GB00/04110 (“the ‘110 Appln.” Or “‘110”)
- SAMSUNG-1008 United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 (“the ‘227.2 Appln.” or “‘227.2”)
- SAMSUNG-1009 Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents—Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (August 14, 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1010 A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
- SAMSUNG-1011 Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of *Bilski v. Kappos* (July 27, 2010)
- SAMSUNG-1012 *Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC*, CBM2013-00019 Paper No. 17 (entered October 8, 2013) at 11-13

SAMSUNG-1013 Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Development Group, Inc., CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered October 24, 2013)

SAMSUNG-1014 Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc., CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered November 19, 2013)

SAMSUNG-1015 U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 (“the ‘772 Patent” or “‘772”)

SAMSUNG-1016 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1017 U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 (“the ‘598 Patent” or “‘598”)

SAMSUNG-1018 U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 (“the ‘458 Patent” or “‘458”)

SAMSUNG-1019 U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 (“the ‘317 Patent” or “‘317”)

SAMSUNG-1021 U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 (“the ‘720 Patent” or “‘720”)

SAMSUNG-1022 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/943,872 (“the ‘872 Appln.” or “‘872”)

SAMSUNG-1023 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1024 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1025 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1026 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1027 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1028 Weinstein “MasterCard Plans Point-of-Sale Product for Merchants Leery of Bank Cards”

SAMSUNG-1029 Mayo Collaborative Serv v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)

- SAMSUNG-1030 Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63 (1972)
- SAMSUNG-1031 Cybersource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)
- SAMSUNG-1032 Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)
- SAMSUNG-1033 Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International, 134 S.Ct. 2347 (2014)
- SAMSUNG-1034 Bancorp Serv., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assur. Co., (U.S.) 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1035 Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1036 SiRF Tech., Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
- SAMSUNG-1037 In re Bilski, 545 F.3d 943, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008)
- SAMSUNG-1038 Accenture Global Services, GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc., 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
- SAMSUNG-1039 Keith, Michael C., The Radio Station Broadcast, Satellite and Internet, Eighth Edition, 2009

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.