IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Hulst et al. Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0003CP1

U.S. Patent No.: 7,334,720

Issue Date: February 26, 2008

Appl. Serial No.: 11/336,758

Filing Date: January 19, 2006

Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CORRECTED PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT
REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,334,720 PURSUANT TO 35
U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.		ANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)	
		Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	
	В.	3 - (-)()	
	C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
II.	PA	YMENT OF FEES	.2
III.	RE	QUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	.2
		Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)	
	B.		
	C.	Claim Constructions under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3)	
		1. CONSTRUCTION 1 – Payment data	
	D.	The '720 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	
	E.	The '720 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And	
		Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent. 1	0
IV.	SU	MMARY OF THE '720 PATENT1	3
	A.	Brief Description1	3
	B.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	C.	The Effective Priority Date of the Claims of the '720 Patent1	
V.]	DEMONSTRATION OF A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT	
LEAS	ST (ONE CLAIM OF THE '720 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE2	22
	A.	GROUND 1 – Claims 13 and 14 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §	
		101 22	
	B.	GROUND 2 – Gruse In View of Stefik Renders Obvious Claims 13 and	f
		14 37	
		1. Overview of Gruse	37
		2. Overview of Stefik4	-2
		3. Combinability of Gruse with Stefik4	
		4. Gruse In View of Stefik Renders Obvious Claims 13 and 14 4	
VI	CC	ONCLUSION 6	58



EXHIBITS

- SAMSUNG-1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 to Hulst et al. ("the '720 Patent")
- SAMSUNG-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '720 Patent ("the Prosecution History")
- SAMSUNG-1003 Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom re the '720 Patent ("Bloom")
- SAMSUNG-1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 ("Stefik '235")
- SAMSUNG-1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 ("Stefik '980")
- SAMSUNG-1006 PCT Publication No. WO 00/08909 ("Gruse")
- SAMSUNG-1007 PCT Application PCT/GB00/04110 ("the '110 Appln." or "110")
- SAMSUNG-1008 United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 ("the '227.2 Appln." or "'227.2")
- SAMSUNG-1009 Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Pa-tents— Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (Aug. 14, 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1010 A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
- SAMSUNG-1011 Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of Bilski v. Kappos (Jul. 27, 2010)
- SAMSUNG-1012 Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC, CBM2013-00019 Paper No. 17 (entered Oct. 8, 2013) at 11-13
- SAMSUNG-1013 Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Devel-opment Group, Inc., CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered Oct. 24, 2013)



SAMSUNG-1014 Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc., CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered Nov. 19, 2013)

SAMSUNG-1015 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1016 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1017 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1018 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1019 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1020 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1021 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1022 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1023 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1024 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1025 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1026 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1027 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1028 Weinstein "MasterCard Plans Point-of-Sale Product for Merchants Leery of Bank Cards"

SAMSUNG-1029 Mayo Collaborative Serv v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)

SAMSUNG-1030 Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63 (1972)



- SAMSUNG-1031 Cybersource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)
- SAMSUNG-1032 Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)
- SAMSUNG-1033 Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International, 134 S.Ct. 2347 (2014)
- SAMSUNG-1034 Bancorp Serv., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assur. Co. (U.S.) 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1035 Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
- SAMSUNG-1036 SiRF Tech., Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
- SAMSUNG-1037 In re Bilski, 545 F.3d 943, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008)
- SAMSUNG-1038 Accenture Global Services, GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc., 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
- SAMSUNG-1039 RESERVED



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

