

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.
AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.
Petitioner,

v.

MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.
Patent Owner.

Case No. To Be Assigned
Patent No. 5,940,510

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA
INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	iv
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	4
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	7
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	8
II. FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.203)	8
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	8
A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a))	8
1. Eligibility Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.302.....	11
2. Timing Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.303	11
3. The '510 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	11
B. Citation of Prior Art.....	22
C. Claims and Statutory Grounds (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(1) & (b)(2)).....	24
D. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(3)).....	25
E. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	25
F. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(4)).....	26
G. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(5))	26
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '510 PATENT	26
A. Overview of the '510 Patent.....	26

B. Prosecution History Summary of the '510 Patent 31

V. AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '510 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE..... 32

A. Prior Art 33

1. International Patent Application Publication No. WO 1983/003018 to Cremin *et al.* (Ex. 1003)..... 33

2. *Integrated Circuit Cards, Tags, and Tokens* by P.L. Hawkes, et al. (Ex. 1004)..... 37

3. *A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public Key Crypto-Systems* by Rivest, *et al.* (Ex. 1005)..... 38

B. Ground I: Cremin and the Hawkes Chapters Render Obvious Claims 1, 2, and 3 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 39

C. Ground II: Cremin, Hawkes Ch. 6, Hawkes Ch. 8, and Rivest Render Obvious Claims 5 and 6 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 63

D. Ground III: Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 Fail to Claim Patentable Subject Matter Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 70

1. Secure Transactions Are an Abstract Idea 71

2. Generic Recitations of Generic Computing Structure Do Not Amount to an Inventive Concept..... 73

VI. CONCLUSION..... 79

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Agilysys, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , CBM2014-00014, Paper No. 19	14
<i>Alice Corporation Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank International</i> , 573 U.S. ____, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Apple Inc. v. SightSound Techs., LLC</i> , CBM2013-00020	12
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U. S. 593 (2010).....	72
<i>Bloomberg Inc. v. Markets-Alert PTY Ltd.</i> , CBM2013-00005, Paper No. 18	20
<i>CRS Advanced Techs., Inc. v. Frontline Techs., Inc.</i> , CBM2012-00005, Paper 17	12, 17
<i>Gates Learjet Corp. v. Duncan Aviation</i> , 851 F.2d 303 (10th Cir. 1988)	10
<i>Gillman v. Stoneeagle Service, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-00047, Paper 11	14
<i>Google, Inc. v. Inventor Holdings, LLC</i> , CBM2014-00002, Paper No. 16	12
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 15	21
<i>Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 566 U.S. ____, 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).....	72, 78
<i>PNC Bank N.A. et al. v. Maxim Integrated Prods, Inc.</i> , CBM2014-00038	<i>passim</i>
<i>PNC Fin. Servs. Grp., Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , CBM2014-00032, Paper 13	16

<i>Regions Fin. Corp. v. Retirement Capital Access Mgmt. Co.</i> , CBM2014-00012, Paper 16	14
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , CBM2012-00001, Paper 36	13
<i>State of Ala. ex rel. Siegelman v. U.S. E.P.A.</i> , 911 F.2d 499 (11th Cir. 1990)	9
<i>Volusion, Inc., v. Versata Software, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-00018, Paper No. 8	13

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 101	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 102	23, 24, 33
35 U.S.C. § 103	24, 32, 40, 64
35 U.S.C. § 321(c)	11
35 U.S.C. § 324(a)	32, 47, 68
35 U.S.C. §325	1, 8, 9, 10
35 U.S.C. § 328(a)	9

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.6	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1, 4, 7, 8
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.203	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)	25
37 C.F.R. § 42.301	12, 16, 21
37 C.F.R. § 42.302	8, 11
37 C.F.R. § 42.303	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.