

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION, TD AMERITRADE, INC., and
TD AMERITRADE ONLINE HOLDINGS CORP.,
Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case CBM2014-00137
Patent 7,685,055

**PETITIONERS'
MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Relief Requested.....1

II. The Board should exclude TT’s district court demonstratives (Exs. 2007 and 2202)1

 A. These two documents are demonstratives from District Court proceedings used here to show the truth of the matters asserted2

 B. The Board should exclude these demonstratives as inadmissible hearsay3

 C. The Board should exclude these presentations for lack of personal knowledge and as improper expert testimony.....4

 D. The Board should exclude these demonstratives for lack of authenticity and as improper summaries5

 E. The Board should exclude these demonstratives as irrelevant5

III. The Board should exclude the Thomas Report (Exs. 2010 and 2201).....5

 A. Because Mr. Thomas did not adopt his Report as his testimony in this proceeding, the Board should exclude it as inadmissible hearsay6

 B. The Board should exclude as hearsay the portions of the Thomas Reports that act as a conduit for the expunged Brumfield hearsay.....7

 C. The Board should exclude as hearsay the portions of the Thomas Reports that act as a conduit for other hearsay statements8

 D. The Board should exclude the Thomas Report for a lack of personal knowledge and as irrelevant and a waste of time.....8

IV. The Board should exclude TT’s HCI website printouts (Exs. 2011 - 2019)...9

 A. These documents are printout of web pages allegedly describing HCI programs, and are used to show that HCIs are technological9

 B. The Board should exclude these printouts as inadmissible hearsay ...10

C. The Board should exclude these printouts for lack of authenticity10

V. The Board should exclude TT’s video animation (Ex. 2203)10

A. This video clip is a short cartoon that is used to show how actual products operated, but there is no evidence that it accurately does so11

B. The Board should exclude this animation as inadmissible hearsay11

C. The Board should exclude this animation for lack of personal knowledge and as improper expert testimony.....12

VI. Conclusion13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

United States v. Dukagjinih,
326 F.3d 45 (2d Cir. 2003).....7

EXHIBIT LIST

Exh. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,685,055 to Brumfield et al. (“the ’055 patent”)
1002	File History of Application Ser. No. 11/417,547, which became the ’055 patent, as filed and obtained from PAIR (“File History”)
1003	Expert Declaration of Kendyl A. Román (“Román Decl.”)
1004	Expert Declaration of David Rho (“Rho Decl.”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,077,665 to Silverman <i>et al.</i> (“Silverman”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,297,031 to Gutterman <i>et al.</i> (“Gutterman”)
1007	“Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide” (“TSE JP”)
1008	Certified English-language Translation of “Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide” (“TSE”)
1009	Certificate of Translation for “Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide” (“O’Connell Affidavit”)
1010	Memorandum from James M. Hilmert to eSpeed file regarding direct examination of TSE’s 30(b)(6) witness, dated December 5, 2005 (“Depo. Letter”)
1011	Deposition Transcript of Atsushi Kawashima, <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc., v. eSPEED, Inc.</i> , Case No. 04-cv-5312, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, dated November 21, 2005 (“Depo. Transcript”)
1012	Curriculum Vitae of Kendyl A. Román
1013	List of Materials Considered by Kendyl A. Román
1014	Curriculum Vitae of David Rho
1015	List of Materials Considered by David Rho
1016	Official Transcript of Conference Call held January 20, 2015
1017	Declaration of Ronald E. Skidmore
1018	Declaration of Maho Taniguchi-Speller
1019	Declaration of Eiken Hino

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.