ROBERT E. SOKOHL (202) 772-8677 RSOKOHL@SKGF.COM



December 18, 2014

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Re: Petitioners' Requests for Rehearing

U.S. Patent No. 7,676,411 // CBM2014-00133 U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132 // CBM2014-00135 U.S. Patent No. 7,685,055 // CBM2014-00137

Dear PTAB:

Due to the PRPS service outage on December 16, 2014, counsel for Petitioners in the above-captioned Covered Business Method Review proceedings were instructed by Maria Vignone, a paralegal at the USPTO, to submit filings (Requests for Rehearing) due on December 16, 2014, via e-mail to trials@uspto.gov. Counsel for Petitioners filed and served the Requests for Rehearing in the above-captioned proceedings via email on December 16, 2014, as instructed. Ms. Vignone authorized Counsel via email to file the Requests for Rehearing via PRPS on December 17, 2014, once PRPS was operational.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Robert E. Sokohl (Reg. No. 36,013)
Attorney for Petitioners, TD Ameritrade Holding
Corp., TD Ameritrade, Inc., and TD Ameritrade
Online Holdings Corp.

1945872_1.DOCX



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORP.,
TD AMERITRADE, INC.,
TD AMERITRADE ONLINE HOLDINGS CORP.
Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2014-00135 Patent No. 6,772,132 B1

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR REHEARING

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Table of Contents

I.	Relief Requested		1
II.	Introduction		1
III.	The Board should have decided to institute review on the asserted grounds that claims 1-56 are obvious over the Silverman combinations		
	A.	The Board misapprehended the Petition's discussion of Gutterman a addressing the "single action" limitations	
	B.	The Board overlooked key arguments in the Petition that Togher meets the "single action" limitations	6
IV	Conclusion		10



I. Relief Requested

Petitioners and real parties-in-interest, TD Ameritrade Holding Corp., TD Ameritrade, Inc., and TD Ameritrade Online Holdings Corp., ("TD Ameritrade") respectfully ask the Board to reconsider its decision to not institute review of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132 B1 ("the '132 patent") (Ex. 1001), owned by Trading Technologies International, Inc. ("TTI"), on the ground that claims 1-56 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Silverman, Gutterman, and Togher.

II. Introduction

TD Ameritrade petitioned (paper 4) ("Pet.") the Board seeking CBM Review of the '132 patent on the following grounds:

	Claims	Ground
1	1-56	§ 101
2	1-56	§ 112
3	1-3, 5-8, 9, 10, 13-16, 18-20, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 32, 33, 35-38, 40-43, 45-48, & 50-56	§ 103 Silverman (Ex. 1007), Gutterman (Ex. 1008), Togher (Ex. 1009)
4	4, 11, & 17	§ 103 Silverman, Gutterman, Togher, May (Ex. 1010)
5	24, 34, & 44	§ 103 Silverman, Gutterman, Togher, Paal (Ex. 1011)
6	1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 16, 19-22, 25, 27- 28, 30-32, 35, 37-38, 40-42, 45, 47- 48, 50-54, & 56	§ 103 TSE (Ex. 1012/1013), Togher
7	5, 6, 12, 13, 18, 19, 23, 26, 33, 36, 43, 46, & 55	§ 103 TSE, Togher, Gutterman
8	4, 11, 17	§ 103 TSE, Togher, May



Pet. at 8-9.

In its Decision (paper 19), the Board instituted review on the § 101 ground, but denied instating review of all the § 103 grounds. Decision 22. TD Ameritrade seeks rehearing of the Board's decision to not institute review under § 103 based on the Silverman combinations (*i.e.*, grounds 3-5 highlighted above).

III. The Board should have decided to institute review on the asserted grounds that claims 1-56 are obvious over the Silverman combinations.

Independent claim 1 of the '132 patent requires,

displaying an order entry region aligned with the static display prices comprising a plurality of areas for receiving commands from the user input devices to send trade orders, each area corresponding to a price of the static display of prices; and

selecting a particular area in the order entry region through single action of the user input device with a pointer of the user input device positioned over the particular area to set a plurality of additional parameters for the trade order and send the trade order to the electronic exchange.

'132 patent 12:16-26.

Independent claims 8 and 14 require similar limitations. Decision 17. The Petition relied on Togher, combined with Silverman and Gutterman, to meet the "single action" limitations. Pet. 21-22, 30, 37-38. The Board erred when it denied instituting review of claims 1-56 over the Silverman, Gutterman, and Togher



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

