

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION, TD AMERITRADE,
INC., AND TD AMERITRADE ONLINE HOLDINGS CORP.

Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 6,772,132 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the LEAHY-SMITH
AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,772,132 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the
LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT i

I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....2

 A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))2

 B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)):.....2

 C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)):.....3

 D. Service Information.....4

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....4

 A. TD Ameritrade has standing4

 B. TD Ameritrade is not estopped or barred.....4

 C. The ‘132 Patent is a Covered Business Method4

 1. The ‘132 patent claims a covered business method.....5

 D. The ‘132 patent is not for a “technological invention”5

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE8

 A. Statutory grounds for the challenge8

 B. Citation of Prior Art9

IV. THE ‘132 PATENT 10

 A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art10

 B. Claim construction11

V. GROUNDS OF REJECTION 12

 A. Ground 1: Claims 1-56 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §
 10112

 B. Ground 2: Claims 1-56 are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112,
 second paragraph, because “the market” lacks proper
 antecedent basis.....15

 C. Ground 3: Silverman, Gutterman and Togher render claims
 1-3, 5-8, 9, 10, 13-16, 18-20, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 32, 33, 35-
 38, 40, 41-43, 45-48, and 50-56 obvious.16

 1. Overview of Silverman, Gutterman, and Togher 16

CBM Petition of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132

2.	Prosecution history.....	22
3.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have been motivated to combine Silverman, Gutterman, and Togher	24
4.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders independent claims 1 and 8 obvious.	28
a)	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher discloses the preamble of claims 1 and 8.	28
b)	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher discloses the “setting a preset parameter” limitation [1A], [8A].....	30
c)	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher discloses the “displaying market depth of the commodity” limitation [1B], [8B].....	31
d)	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher discloses the “displaying an order entry region” limitation [1C], [8C]	35
e)	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher discloses the “selecting a particular area” limitation [1D], [8D]	36
D.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claim 14 obvious.....	37
E.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 2, 9 and 15 obvious.	39
F.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 3, 10 and 16 obvious.	40
G.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 5, 12 and 18 obvious.	41
H.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 6, 13 and 19 obvious.	43
I.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claim 7 obvious.....	44

CBM Petition of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132

J.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 20, 21, 30, 31, 40 and 41 obvious.	44
K.	The combination of Sivlerman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 22, 32 and 42 obvious.	45
L.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 23, 33 and 43 obvious.	45
M.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 25, 26, 35, 36, 45 and 46 obvious.	45
N.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 27, 28, 37, 38, 47, and 48 obvious.	47
O.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claim 39 obvious.....	48
P.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claims 50, 51 and 52 obvious.	48
Q.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders each element of claims 53, 54 and 55 obvious.....	49
R.	The combination of Silverman, Gutterman and Togher renders claim 56 obvious.....	50
VI.	Ground 4: The combination of Silverman, Gutterman, Togher and May render claims 4, 11 and 17 obvious	50
VII.	Ground 5: The combination of Silverman, Gutterman, Togher and Paal renders claims 24, 34 and 44 obvious.	51
VIII.	Ground 6: The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 16, 19-22, 25, 27-28, 30-32, 35, 37-38, 40-42, 45, 47-48, 50-54 and 56 obvious.....	53
A.	Overview	53
B.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have been motivated to combine TSE and Togher.	57
C.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders independent claims 1 and 8 obvious.....	58
1.	The combination of TSE and Togher discloses the preamble of claims 1 and 8.	58
2.	The combination of TSE and Togher discloses the “setting a preset parameter” limitation [1A], [8A]	60

CBM Petition of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132

3.	The combination of TSE and Togher discloses the “displaying market depth of the commodity” limitation [1B], [8B]	61
4.	The combination of TSE and Togher discloses the “displaying an order entry region” limitation [1C], [8C]	65
5.	The combination of TSE and Togher discloses the “selecting a particular area” limitation [1D], [8D]	66
D.	The combination of TSE and Togher teaches every element of claim 14.....	68
E.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 2, 9 and 15 obvious.	70
F.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 3, 10 and 16 obvious.....	70
G.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claim 7 obvious.	71
H.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 20, 21, 30, 31, 40, and 41 obvious.	71
I.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 22, 32 and 42 obvious.....	72
J.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 24, 34, and 44 obvious.....	72
K.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 25, 26, 35, 36, 45, and 46 obvious.	73
L.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 27, 28, 37, 38, 47 and 48 obvious.	73
M.	The combination of TSE and Togher renders claims 50, 51 and 52 obvious.....	74
N.	The combination of TSE in view of Togher renders claims 53-55 obvious.	74
O.	The combination of TSE in view of Togher renders claim 56 obvious.	75
IX.	Ground 7: The combination of TSE, Togher, and Gutterman renders claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 18, 19, 23, 26, 33, 36, 43, and 46.....	76

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.