Paper No. _____ Filed: July 5, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION, TD AMERITRADE, INC., and TD AMERITRADE ONLINE HOLDINGS CORP.

Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2014-00131

U.S. Patent 7,533,056

PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Further to 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(e), Patent Owner Trading Technologies

International, Inc. hereby submits a current listing of Trading Technologies

Exhibits to counsel for Petitioners TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation, TD

Ameritrade Inc., and TD Ameritrade Online Holdings Corp.

| Filed Exhibits | Description |
|----------------|--|
| Exhibit 2001 | Communications regarding motion to disqualify |
| Exhibit 2002 | PTAB Trail Blog-Drinker Biddle "Denying Leave to File Motion for Additional Discovery CBM2014-00131, 133, 135, 136, 137." (August 18, 2014) |
| Exhibit 2003 | Emails Between Parties Relating to Hilmert Memo |
| Exhibit 2004 | <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed, Internation, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd.</i> , Case No. 1:04-CV-05312 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 963, Memorandum Opinion and Order (September 12, 2007) |
| Exhibit 2005 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc., Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 409, Defendants' Reply Memorandum of Law In Support Of Joint Motion For Summary Judgment That The '056 Patent Is Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1 For Lack Of Written Description (October 7, 2011) |
| Exhibit 2006 | <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Open E Cry, LLC et al.</i> , Case 2012-1583 (Federal Circuit Appeals), Decision (August 30, 2013) |
| Exhibit 2007 | <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. GL Trade Americas,</i> <i>Inc., FuturePath Trading, LLC et al.</i> , Tutorial Presentation (February 19, 2014) |
| Exhibit 2008 | National Aeronautics and Space Administration Web Page Print out, Technical Areas |
| Exhibit 2009 | National Aeronautics and Space Administration Web Page Print out, Human Computer Interaction Group |
| Exhibit 2010 | University of Washington Web Page Print out, Human-Computer Interaction Degree Option |
| Exhibit 2011 | Rochester Institute of Technology Web Page Print out, Masters |

DOCKE.

R

Μ

Δ

| | in Human Computer Interaction |
|------------------------------|---|
| Exhibit 2012 | Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Web Page Print out, M.S. in Human-Computer Interaction |
| Exhibit 2013 | Tufts University Web Page Print out, Human-ComputerInteraction Certificate Program |
| Exhibit 2014 | Georgia Institute of Technology Web Page Print out, Human- Computer Interaction Master's Program |
| Exhibit 2015 | DePaul University Web Page Print out, Master of Science Human-Computer Interaction |
| Exhibit 2016 | Carnegie Mellon University Web Page Print out, Masters of Human-Computer Interaction |
| Exhibit 2017 | Senate Congressional Record S5402, Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (September 8, 2011) |
| Exhibit 2018 | Senate Congressional Record S1360, Patent Reform Act of 2011 (March 8, 2011) |
| Exhibit 2019 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.,Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 448,Memorandum Opinion and Order (February 9, 2012) |
| Exhibit 2020 | Pletz, John, "Crain's Eureka Index Ranks Chicago's Most Innovative Firms," <i>Crain's Chicago Business</i> , (April 29, 2013) |
| Exhibit 2021 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.,Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 372,Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment That the '056Patent Is Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1 For Lack of WrittenDescription (August 15, 2011) |
| Exhibit 2022 through 2087 | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2088 | Served |
| Exhibit 2089 | Served |
| Exhibit 2090 | Served |
| Exhibit 2091 | Served |
| Exhibit 2092 | Deposition Transcript of Kendyl A. Román, dated February 6, 2015 |
| Exhibit 2093 | Deposition Transcript of Courtney O'Connell, dated February 13, 2015 |
| Exhibit 2094 | Deposition Transcript of Akiko Rosenberry, dated February 16, |

3

| | 2015 |
|------------------------------|--|
| Exhibit 2095 | Deposition Transcript of Maho Taniguchi-Speller, dated February 17, 2015 |
| Exhibit 2096 | Deposition Transcript of Ronald Skidmore, dated February 18, 2015 |
| Exhibit 2097 | Declaration of Harold Abilock |
| Exhibit 2098 | <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed, International, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd., Case No. 1:04-CV-05312 (N.D. Ill.), Jury Verdict Form (October 10, 2007)</i> |
| Exhibit 2099 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed,International, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd., Case No. 1:04-CV-05312 (N.D. Ill.), Jury Instruction Form (October 10, 2007) |
| Exhibit 2100 | Declaration of Richard Hartheimer |
| Exhibit 2101 | <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed, International, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd.,</i> Case No. 1:04-CV-05312 (N.D. III.), Notification of Docket Entry (January 3, 2008) |
| Exhibit 2102 | <i>Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , No. 2014-1447, 2015 WL 328222, (Fed. Cir. Jan. 27, 2015) |
| Exhibit 2103 through 2199 | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2200 | <i>Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. CQG</i> , 05-cv-4811 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 24, 2015) (Dkt. 1073) |
| Exhibit 2201 | Exhibit A, Thomas Report, provided by TT to Court in <i>Trading</i> <i>Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. CQG</i> , 05-cv-4811 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 24, 2015) (Dkt. 1073),. |
| Exhibit 2202 | <i>Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. CQG</i> , 05-cv-4811, TT 101 Hearing Presentation. |
| Exhibit 2203 through 2205 | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2206 | Thinkorswim thinkManual |
| Exhibit 2207 through 2209 | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2210 | Case Nos. 6:13-CV-447-JRG-KNM, 448-JRGKNM, <i>Smartflash</i> <i>LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , Dkt. 423 at 18, 20 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 21, 2015) |
| Exhibit 2211 | Served |
| Exhibit 2212 | Served |
| Exhibit 2213 | Served |
| Exhibit 2214 | Translation of Excerpts from "Futures/Options Trading System |

Case CBM2014-00131 U.S. Patent 7,533,056

| | Guidelines for Operating the Trading Terminals" |
|-----------------|--|
| Exhibit 2216 | Translation of Excerpts from document entitled "Refco Docs" |
| Exhibit 2217 | Translation of Excerpts from "Futures/Options Trading System |
| | Guidelines for Operating the Trading Terminals" |
| Exhibit 2218 | Translation of Excerpts from "Futures/Options Trading System |
| | Guidelines for Operating the Trading Terminals" |
| Exhibit 2219 | Served |
| through 2271 | |
| Exhibit 2272 | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2273 | Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Exhibits 1003-1005, |
| | served December 16, 2014 |
| Exhibit 2274 to | Reserved |
| Exhibit 2299 | |
| Exhibit 2300 | Confidential Settlement Agreement between TD AmeriTrade |
| | Holding Corporation, TD AmeriTrade, Inc., and TD AmeriTrade |
| | Online Holdings Corp. and Trading Technologies International, |
| | Inc. |
| Exhibit 2301 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BBC Partners, Inc., |
| | Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 548, Position |
| | Statement of the Sungard Defendants Concerning TD |
| | AmeriTrade's Motion For Stay (May 29, 2014) |
| Exhibit 2302 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BBC Partners, Inc., |
| | Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No.553, CQG's |
| | Position Statement Regarding TD AmeriTrade's Motion to Stay |
| | (June 4, 2014) |
| Exhibit 2303 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BBC Partners, Inc., |
| | Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 558, |
| | Tradestation Defendants' Joinder In an Motion for Stay (June 11, |
| | 2014) |
| Exhibit 2304 | Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BBC Partners, Inc., |
| | Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 562, Reply |
| | Memorandum In Support of the IBG Defendants' Motion To |
| | Stay Proceedings Pursuant To Section 18(b) of the America |
| | Invents Act (June 18, 2014) |
| <u>L</u> | 1 |

| Served | Description |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Documents | |
| Exhibit 2088 | Investopedia Definition "brand" |

5

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.