

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION, TD AMERITRADE, INC., and  
TD AMERITRADE ONLINE HOLDINGS CORP.,  
Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2014-00131  
Patent 7,533,056

---

**PETITIONERS'  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Table of Contents**

I. Relief Requested.....1

II. The Board should exclude TT’s district court demonstratives (Exs. 2007 and 2202) .....1

    A. These two documents are demonstratives from District Court proceedings used here to show the truth of the matters asserted .....2

    B. The Board should exclude these demonstratives as inadmissible hearsay .....3

    C. The Board should exclude these presentations for lack of personal knowledge and as improper expert testimony.....4

    D. The Board should exclude these demonstratives for lack of authenticity and as improper summaries .....5

    E. The Board should exclude these demonstratives as irrelevant .....5

III. The Board should exclude TT’s HCI website printouts (Exs. 2008 - 2016)...6

    A. These documents are printout of web pages allegedly describing HCI programs, and are used to show that HCIs are technological .....6

    B. The Board should exclude these printouts as inadmissible hearsay .....7

    C. The Board should exclude these printouts for lack of authenticity .....7

IV. The Board should exclude portions of the Thomas Report (Ex. 2201).....7

    A. Because Mr. Thomas adopted only parts of Ex. 2201 as his testimony in this proceeding, the Board should exclude the unadopted portions as inadmissible hearsay .....8

    B. The Board should exclude as hearsay the portions of the Thomas Reports that act as a conduit for the expunged Brumfield hearsay.....8

    C. The Board should exclude as hearsay the portions of the Thomas Reports that act as a conduit for other hearsay statements .....10

D. The Board should exclude the Thomas Report as irrelevant and a waste of time .....10

V. The Board should exclude the Pletz article (Ex. 2020) .....11

A. The Board should exclude the Pletz article as inadmissible hearsay ..12

B. The Board should exclude the Pletz article for lack of authenticity ...12

VI. Conclusion .....13

**Table of Authorities**

**Cases**

*United States v. Dukagjinih*,  
326 F.3d 45 (2d Cir. 2003).....9

**Exhibit List**

<b>Exh. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,533,056 to Friesen et al. (“’056 patent”)
<b>1002</b>	File History of Application Ser. No. 11/417,544, which became the ’056 patent, as filed and obtained from PAIR (“’056 Patent File History” or “File History”)
<b>1003</b>	“Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide,” Tokyo Stock Exchange (“TSE JP”)
<b>1004</b>	Certified Translation of “Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide” (“TSE”)
<b>1005</b>	Certificate of Translation for “Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide” (“TSE Certificate” or “1 <sup>st</sup> O’Connell Decl.”)
<b>1006</b>	Memorandum from James M. Hilmert to eSpeed file regarding direct examination of TSE’s 30(b)(6) witness, dated December 5, 2005 (“Depo. Letter”)
<b>1007</b>	Deposition Transcript of Atsushi Kawashima, <i>Trading Technologies International, Inc., v. eSPEED, Inc.</i> , Case No. 04-cv-5312, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, dated November 21, 2005 (“Depo. Transcript”)
<b>1008</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,375,055 to Togher et al. (“Togher”)
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,619,631 to Schott (“Schott”)
<b>1010</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,136,501 to Silverman et al. (“Silverman”)
<b>1011</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,414,809 to Hogan et al. (“Hogan”)
<b>1012</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,297,031 to Gutterman et al. (“Gutterman”)
<b>1013</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,454,104 to Steidlmayer et al. (“Steidlmayer”)
<b>1014</b>	Reuters Globex User Guide, June 1995 (“Globex”)
<b>1015</b>	Alan Cooper, “About Face: The Essentials of User Interface Design,” First Edition, 1995. (“Cooper”)
<b>1016</b>	Edward R. Tufte, “The Visual Display of Quantitative Information,” 1983 (“Tufte VDQI”)
<b>1017</b>	Edward R. Tufte, “Envisioning Information,” Third Edition,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.