

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Racz et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,061,598	§	104677-5008-809
Formerly Application No.: 13/012,541	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: November 22, 2011	§	
Filing Date: January 24, 2011	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,061,598 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION	5
III.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	12
	A. The '598 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	12
	1. Exemplary Claim 7 Is Financial In Nature	13
	2. Claim 7 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention	17
	B. Related Matters; Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement	23
IV.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE.....	23
	A. Claim Construction.....	24
	B. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under §§ 102 and/or 103.....	29
	1. Overview of Stefik	29
	2. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Poggio	34
	3. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Sato.....	37
	4. Motivation to Combine Stefik with Rydbeck.....	40
	5. Claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 15, and 31 are Anticipated by Stefik (Ground 1); Claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 15, 26, and 31 are Obvious in Light of Stefik (Ground 2); Claim 7 is Obvious in Light of Stefik in View of Poggio (Ground 3); Claim 26 is Obvious in Light of Stefik in View of Sato (Ground 4); Claim 26 is Obvious in Light of Stefik in View of Rydbeck (Ground 5).	41
V.	CONCLUSION.....	76

EXHIBIT LIST	
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1002	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint
1003	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1005	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1006	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1008	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245
1009	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1010	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1011	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1015	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1016	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1017	PCT Application Publication No. WO 99/43136
1018	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1019	JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation)
1020	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Frank-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)

EXHIBIT LIST	
1021	Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1022	U.S. Patent No. 5,754,654
1023	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1024	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1025	Declaration of Flora D. Elias-Mique In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1026	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1027	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1028	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1029	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner, Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” and the real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 15, 26, and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 (“the ’598 Patent”), issued to Smartflash Technologies Limited and currently assigned to Smartflash LLC (“Smartflash,” also referred to as “Applicant,” “Patent Owner,” or “Patentee”). Petitioner hereby asserts that it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the reasons set forth herein and respectfully requests review of, and judgment against, claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 15, 26, and 31 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.¹

As discussed in Section III.B, *infra*, Petitioner has concurrently filed a Petition seeking covered business method review of the ’598 Patent, requesting judgment against these same claims based on different prior art references. Petitioner notes that the Director, pursuant to Rule 325(c), may determine at the proper time that merger of these proceedings, or at minimum coordination of proceedings involving the same patent, is appropriate.

The challenged claims of the ’598 Patent merely recite steps and corresponding

¹ Petitioner is demonstrating, in pending litigation, that these claims are invalid for numerous additional reasons. All emphasis herein added unless otherwise noted.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.