IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Racz et al.	S	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,118,221	S	104677-5008-802
Formerly Application No.: 12/943,87	72 §	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: February 21, 2012	S	
Filing Date: November 10, 2010	S	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	S	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	S	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,118,221 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INT	RODU	UCTION	1		
OVI	ERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION4				
PET	TTION	NER HAS STANDING	13		
Α.	The	'221 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	13		
	1.	Exemplary Claim 12 Is Financial In Nature	14		
	2.	Claim 12 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention	17		
		(a) Claim 12 Does Not Recite A Technological Feature That Is Novel and Unobvious	17		
		(b) Claim 12 Does Not Solve A Technical Problem Using A Technical Solution	20		
В.		•			
SHC	OWING	G IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST O	NE		
Α.	Clair	m Construction	23		
В.	The	Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	28		
	1.	Overview of Ginter	28		
	2.	Motivation to combine Ginter with Poggio	32		
	3.	Motivation to Combine Ginter with Stefik	36		
	4.	Motivation to Combine Ginter with Sato	41		
	5.	Motivation to Combine Ginter with Poggio and Stefik	43		
	6.	Claims 1, 2, 11-14, and 32 are obvious in light of Ginter (Ground 1), obvious in light of Ginter in view of Poggio (Ground 2), obvious in light of Ginter in view of Stefik (Ground 3), obvious in light of Ginter in view of Sato (Ground 4), obvious in light of Ginter in view of Poggio	44		
CON	NCI I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44 70		
	B. DET SHO OF 'A. B.	OVERVIE PETITION A. The 1. 2. B. Rela Cha DETAILE SHOWING OF THE C A. Clair B. The 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	A. The '221 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent		



EXHIBIT LIST		
1101	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221	
1102	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	
1103	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598	
1104	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772	
1105	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734	
1106	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806	
1107	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245	
1108	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720	
1109	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317	
1110	U.S. Patent No. 5,754,654	
1111	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221	
1112	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392	
1113	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235	
1114	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980	
1115	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019	
1116	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2	
1117	PCT Application Publication No. WO 99/43136	
1118	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)	
1119	JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation)	
1120	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)	



Covered Business Method Patent Review United States Patent No. 8,118,221

EXHIBIT LIST	
1121	Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1122	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1123	Declaration of Flora D. Elias-Mique In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1124	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1125	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1126	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772
1127	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1128	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1129	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458



I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner, Apple Inc. ("Petitioner" and the real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1, 2, 11-14, and 32 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 ("the '221 Patent"), issued to Smartflash Technologies Limited and currently assigned to Smartflash LLC ("Smartflash," also referred to as "Applicant," "Patent Owner," or "Patentee"). Petitioner hereby asserts that it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the reasons set forth herein and respectfully requests review of, and judgment against, claims 1, 2, 11-14, and 32 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious.¹

As discussed in Section III.B, *infra*, Petitioner has concurrently filed a Petition seeking covered business method review of the '221 Patent, requesting judgment against these same claims under §§ 102 and 103 based on different prior art references. Petitioner notes that the Director, pursuant to Rule 325(c), may determine at the proper time that merger of these proceedings, or at minimum coordination of proceedings involving the same patent, is appropriate.

The challenged claims of the '221 Patent merely recite steps and corresponding

¹ Petitioner is demonstrating, in pending litigation, that these claims are invalid for numerous additional reasons.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

