

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Monster Worldwide, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Career Destination Development, LLC
Patent Owner

Case CBM: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,374,901 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the
LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

Mail Stop “*PATENT BOARD*”

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES, POWER OF ATTORNEY, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, EXHIBIT LIST, AND FEE	1
A. Real Party in Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Notice Of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Notice of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	2
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	2
E. Power of Attorney (37 C.F.R. §42.10(b))	2
F. Certificate of Service on Patent Owner (37 C.F.R. § 42.205(a)).....	2
G. Legible Copies of All Exhibits in Exhibit List (37 C.F.R. § 42.63).....	2
H. Complete CBM Petition Fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(b)(1)-(2))	3
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	3
A. Petitioner is Filing this Petition in a Timely Manner After Being Sued for Infringement of the '901 Patent, and No Estoppel Applies (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.302 and 42.303).	3
B. The '901 Patent Qualifies for Covered Business Method Review.....	4
1. The '901 Patent Claims Business Methods Used in Practice, Administration, or Management of a Financial Service.....	4
2. The '901 Patent Does Not Claim Technological Inventions.....	8
IV. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED.....	10
A. Overview of the '901 Patent.....	10
1. The Earliest Possible Priority Date is March 19, 2002.....	11
2. Prosecution History of the '901 Patent.....	11
B. Overview of Prior Art References.....	12

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
C. Identification of Claims Challenged, and Grounds on Which the Challenge to Each Claim is Based (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(1)-(2))	13
D. Construction of Challenged Claims	14
1. “Attributes” – Independent Claims 1 and 23	15
2. “Threshold Requirements” - Claims 1, 12, and 23	15
3. “Search Parameter” - Claims 1, 12, and 23	16
4. “Structured Format” – Claim 1	16
V. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE REASONS EACH OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	17
A. Claims 1 and 15 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §112.	17
1. Claim 1 is Indefinite Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2.....	17
2. Claim 1 Lacks Written Description Under 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	19
3. Claim 15 Lacks Written Description Under 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	21
B. Claim Charts Showing Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 & 103	22
1. Invalidity Chart Based on Cooper (“Cooper Chart”)	22
2. Invalidity Chart Based on Additional References	31
C. Claims That Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102.....	52
1. Legal Standards for Anticipation Under 35 U.S.C. § 102	52
2. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 12, 17-20, 23-25 and 28-29 Are Anticipated by Cooper.	52
3. Claims 1-2, 8, 12, 18, 20, 23, 25 and 29 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as Anticipated by Litvak.	55
D. All Claims of the `901 Patent Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as Being Obvious Over Various Prior Art References	58

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
1. Legal Standards for Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	58
2. All Claims of the '901 Patent Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Various Prior Art References.....	59
a. Claims 3-4, 10-11, 15-16, 21-22, 26-27, and 30-33 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Thomas.....	59
b. Claims 5-6, 13-14, and 26-27 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Pineda.	65
c. Claims 5-7, 13-14, 17, and 24 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Long.....	68
3. All Claims of the '901 Patent Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Coueignoux and/or Other References.....	72
a. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 12, 17-20, 23-25, and 28-29 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Coueignoux.....	72
b. Claims 3-4, 10-11, 15-16, 21-22, 26-27 and 30-33 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Coueignoux and Further in View of Thomas.....	73
c. Claims 5-7, 13-14, 17, and 24 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Coueignoux and Long.	74
d. Claims 5-6, 13-14, and 26-27 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Coueignoux and Pineda.....	75
4. All Claims of the '901 Patent Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Litvak and/or Other References.....	76
a. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 12, 17-20, 23-25, and 28-29 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Litvak.	76
b. Claims 3-4, 10-11, 15-16, 21-22, 26-27 and 30-33, Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Litvak and Thomas.	78
c. Claims 5-7, 13-14, 17 and 24 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Litvak and Long.....	79
d. Claims 5-6, 13-14, and 26-27 Are Obvious Over Cooper in View of Litvak and Pineda.	79

...

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
VI. CONCLUSION.....	80

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.