

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

INDEED, INC. and MONSTER WORLDWIDE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CAREER DESTINATION DEVELOPMENT, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases CBM2014-00068 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)  
CBM2014-00077 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, and  
JUSTIN BUSCH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BUSCH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceeding  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

CBM2014-00068 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)  
CBM2014-00077 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)

On September 23, 2014, the initial conference call<sup>1</sup> was held between counsel for the respective parties and Judges Medley, Petravick, and Busch.

### *Motions*

Neither party seeks authorization to file a motion at this time. Patent Owner indicated that it may file a motion to amend and acknowledged that it must arrange a conference call with the Board and opposing counsel to discuss any motion to amend prior to filing that motion. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a). Patent Owner was reminded that it should arrange a conference call at least a week in advance of the date it wishes to file a motion to amend. The parties were reminded that if they seek authorization to file a motion not contemplated per the Scheduling Order, the party requesting such authorization must arrange a conference call with opposing counsel and the Board.

### *Schedule*

Counsel for the respective parties indicated that they have no issues with the Scheduling Orders entered August 20, 2014. To the extent issues arise with DATES 1–5 identified in the Scheduling Orders, the parties are reminded that, without obtaining prior authorization from the Board, they may stipulate to different dates for DATES 1–5, as provided in the Scheduling Orders, by filing an

---

<sup>1</sup> The initial conference call is held to discuss the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties anticipate filing during the trial. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48756, 48765 (Aug. 14, 2012).

CBM2014-00068 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)  
CBM2014-00077 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)

appropriate notice with the Board. The parties may not stipulate to any other changes to the Scheduling Orders.

*Settlement*

The parties have nothing to report with respect to settlement.

*Order*

It is

ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time.

CBM2014-00068 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)  
CBM2014-00077 (Patent 7,424,438 B2)

PETITIONER:

Brian M. Buroker  
Peter Weinberg  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
[bburoker@gibsondunn.com](mailto:bburoker@gibsondunn.com)  
[pweinberg@gibsondunn.com](mailto:pweinberg@gibsondunn.com)

Justin F. Boyce  
Jeffrey Plies  
DECHERT LLP  
[justin.boyce@dechert.com](mailto:justin.boyce@dechert.com)  
[allmonsterCBM@dechert.com](mailto:allmonsterCBM@dechert.com)

PATENT OWNER:

James J. Kernell  
ERICKSON KERNELL DERUSSEAU & KLEYPAS, LLC  
[jjk@kcpatentlaw.com](mailto:jjk@kcpatentlaw.com)

David L. Marcus  
BARTLE & MARCUS LLC  
[dmarcus@bklawkc.com](mailto:dmarcus@bklawkc.com)