

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors: Stephen M. Curry, Donald W. Loomis, Michael L. Bolan	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 5,949,880	§	109879-0001-801
Formerly Application No.: 08/978,798	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: Sept. 7, 1999	§	
Filing Date: Nov. 26, 1997	§	Petitioner: Branch Banking and
Former Group Art Unit: 2766	§	Trust Company
Former Examiner: Gail O. Hayes	§	

For: Transfer of Valuable Information Between a Secure Module and Another Module

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,949,880 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner, Branch Banking and Trust Company (“Petitioner” or “BB&T,” and real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 5,949,880 (“the ’880 Patent”), issued to Stephen M. Curry *et al.* and currently assigned to Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (“Maxim,” also referred to as “Applicants,” “Patent Owner,” or “Patentee”). Petitioner hereby asserts that it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the reasons set forth herein and respectfully requests review of, and judgment against,

Covered Business Method Patent Review
United States Patent No. 5,949,880

claims 1-4 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 102.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	2
	A. The '880 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	2
	B. Related Matters; Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement.....	7
III.	OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR WHICH IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS (1-4) OF THE '880 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	9
IV.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE '880 PATENT.....	9
	A. The '880 Patent and its Prosecution History.....	10
	1. File History of the Parent '510 Patent.....	10
	2. File History of the '880 Patent.....	11
V.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE.....	12
	A. Claim Construction.....	12
	B. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under § 101.....	19
	1. The Challenged Claims Recite Generic, Conventional, and Routine Technology.....	19
	2. Considered as a Whole, The Patent Claims Are Directed To An Abstract Idea That Preempts Practical Applications.....	22
	3. The '880 Claims Fail the Machine or Transformation Test.....	31
	4. Under Maxim's Litigation Constructions, the Challenged Claims are Even Broader and More Abstract.....	38
	C. The Challenged Claims are Invalid Under § 102.....	39
	1. The Challenged Claims Are Anticipated By Nakano.....	39
	2. The Challenged Claims Are Anticipated By Gutman.....	57
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	80

EXHIBIT LIST	
Exhibit 1001	United States Patent No. 5,949,880
Exhibit 1002	United States Patent No. 5,949,880 File History
Exhibit 1003	United States Patent No. 4,839,504, filed on July 17, 1987 and issued on June 13, 1989, to Nakano (“Nakano”)
Exhibit 1004	United States Patent No. 5,221,838, filed on October 20, 1992, and issued on June 22, 1993, to Gutman <i>et al.</i> (“Gutman”)
Exhibit 1005	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.’s Answer to Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Counterclaims (ECF No. 46), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1006	United States Patent No. 5,940,510
Exhibit 1007	United States Patent No. 5,940,510 File History
Exhibit 1008	Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart (ECF No. 580-1), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1009	Maxim’s Corrected Opening Claim Construction Brief (ECF No. 634), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1010	Opposing Parties’ Responsive Claim Construction Brief (ECF No. 642), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1011	Maxim’s Reply Claim Construction Brief (ECF No. 651), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1012	Maxim’s Technology Tutorial, Aug. 29, 2013
Exhibit 1013	Special Master’s Provisional Claim Constructions To Facilitate Oral Hearing (ECF No. 670), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1014	Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart (ECF No. 677-1), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.</i> , MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)
Exhibit 1015	Declaration of Dr. J.D. Tygar (ECF No. 634-35), <i>In re: Maxim</i>

EXHIBIT LIST	
	<i>Integrated Prods., Inc., MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)</i>
Exhibit 1016	Declaration of Donald Alpert (ECF No. 634-37), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc., MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)</i>
Exhibit 1017	Declaration of Dr. Stuart G. Stubblebine (ECF No. 642-24), <i>In re: Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc., MDL No. 2354, No. 2:12-mc-00244 (W.D. Pa.)</i>
Exhibit 1018	Declaration of Dr. Vijay K. Madiseti
Exhibit 1019	Declaration of Henry Y. Huang

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.