Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CBM2014-00025 & CBM2014-00026

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

151617

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

ORIGINAL

Petitioner,:

VS.

EBAY ENTERPRISES, INC., and

LAWRENCE B. LOCKWOOD,

EBAY, INC.,

Patent Owner

MONDAY, JULY 21, 2014

Teleconference held on the above date, commencing at approximately 11 o'clock a.m., reported by Rose A. Tamburri, a Federally Approved Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, National Speed and Accuracy Champion and Notary Public.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

MID-ATLANTIC REGION

1801 Market Street, Suite 1800

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103



Page 2 BEFORE: 1 MICHAEL W. KIM 2 SALLY C. MEDLEY BENJAMIN D. WOOD 3 Administrative Patent Judges 4 5 APPEARANCES: 6 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & Fox, PLLC ROBERT GREENE Sterne, ESQUIRE BY: 7 and BYRON PICKARD, ESQUIRE 8 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 9 (202) 371-2600 rsterne@skgf.com 10 bpickard@skgf.com Representing the Petitioners 11 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 12 DONALD DAYBELL, ESQUIRE BY: 13 and 14 JAMES MAUNE, ESQUIRE 15 and 16 THOMAS GRAY, ESQUIRE 17 2050 Main Street 18 Suite 1100 19 Irvine, CA 92614-8255 20 (949) 852-773521 ddaybell@orrick.com 22 jmaune@orrick.com 23 tgray@orrick.com 24 Representing the Patent Owner 25



Page 3

(Whereupon, the proceedings 1 commenced at 11:02 a.m.) 2 JUDGE KIM: Good morning. This is 3 Judge Kim. This is a conference call 4 requested by counsel for the Patent Owner, 5 CBM2014, the matter is 25 and 26. Can I get a 6 role call. Who's on the line for Petitioner? 7 MR. STERNE: Good morning, Judge 8 This is Rob Sterne here, lead counsel, Kim. 9 and I have with me my colleague and 10 co-counsel, Byron Pickard. 11 MR. PICKARD: I apologize, Your 12 13 14

Honor. That was -- you asked for counsel for Petitioner. I believe that was Mr. Sterne, counsel for Patent Owner.

JUDGE KIM: Right. Okay.

MR. DAYBELL: This is Don Daybell, counsel for Petitioner. With me is Jim Maune and I also have my partner, Tom Gray, on the line.

JUDGE KIM: All right. Thank you. Okay, Patent Owner, you requested the call, so please go ahead.

MR. STERNE: Okay. I apologize, Your Honor, for going out of order. We do



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a court reporter on for the call, for your information, Your Honor. We'll provide a transcript for the record.

JUDGE KIM: Okay.

MR. STERNE: Let me begin and then I'll have Mr. Pickard, who has been working the issue very closely with Mr. Daybell and his team, give us more detail, but to start off with, we find ourself in a very difficult position here concerning this upcoming deposition on Thursday in this case. It involves the only declarant that submitted a declaration with the petition, which, of course, was obviously taken into account when the Board determined that the prima facie case had been met when it instituted on the grounds of indefiniteness.

JUDGE KIM: Um-hmm.

MR. STERNE: So we find ourselves in a very complex and, frankly, unprecedented situation. And my experience, you know, is, as you know, we, our firm and myself are involved in many of these proceedings and I checked with my colleagues and we've not seen this situation before. But I'd like to turn

Page 5

it over to Mr. Pickard, who will give you the timeline of events, and then we can get right into the meat of the issue.

MR. PICKARD: Yes. Thank you.

So, very briefly, we reached out to

Petitioner's counsel in June to find a date to

depose Newton, who Mr. Sterne has said that

their -- as you know, the only declarant they

have in their petition. Ultimately on

July 7th, the parties agreed to depose Newton

on July 24th and 25th in the two cases.

Late Thursday of last week,

July 17th, we received an E-mail from

Petitioner's counsel advising us that the

Newton depositions were being cancelled and

that they would not provide her for

deposition. We couldn't do a meet and confirm

before we E-mailed the Board on Friday. We

were fortunate to talk to opposing counsel

over the weekend, late last night, and we -
we discussed the issues.

Our view is that we're entitled to depose Dr. Newton. She's offered her opinions about the level of skill in the art. She's offered implicit claim constructions when she

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

