

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EBAY ENTERPRISE, INC. AND EBAY, INC.
Petitioners

v.

LAWRENCE B. LOCKWOOD
Patent Owner

Case CBM2014-00025
U.S. Patent No. 7,010,508

Lockwood's Patent Owner Response

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Legal Framework.....	3
III.	The '508 patent describes computer-implementable algorithms and/or actual structure corresponding to the claimed functions.....	8
	A. The specification describes a specific algorithm and actual structure to implement “ <i>means for selectively and interactively presenting,</i> ” satisfying the definiteness requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2.....	9
	1. The Claimed Function.....	10
	<i>a) “interrelated textual and graphical data describing a plurality of transaction options”</i>	<i>11</i>
	<i>b) “selectively and interactively presenting”</i>	<i>15</i>
	2. The '508 patent describes an algorithm that converts a general-purpose computer into a special-purpose computer corresponding to the selectively and interactively presenting function.	17
	3. The '508 patent describes actual structure corresponding to the selectively and interactively presenting function.....	23
	4. The '508 patent provides a nexus between the claimed “selectively and interactively presenting” function and the description of the algorithm and structure that implements that function.	27
	B. The specification describes a specific algorithm and actual structure to implement “ <i>means for processing interrelated textual and graphical data,</i> ” satisfying the definiteness requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2.	30
	1. The Claimed Function.....	33
	2. The '508 patent describes an algorithm that converts a general-purpose computer into a special-purpose computer corresponding to the “ <i>processing interrelated textual and graphical data</i> ” function.	34
	3. The '508 patent describes actual structure corresponding to the “ <i>processing interrelated textual and graphical data</i> ” function..	40
	4. The '508 patent provides a nexus between the claimed “ <i>processing interrelated textual and graphical data</i> ” function and the description of the algorithm and structure that implements that function.....	47
	C. The specification describes a specific algorithm and actual structure to implement “ <i>backward-chaining and forward-chaining sequences</i> ”	

function, satisfying the definiteness requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶
2.....50

1. The Claimed Function.....53
2. The '508 patent describes an algorithm that converts a general-purpose computer into a special-purpose computer corresponding to the “*backward-chaining and forward-chaining sequences*” function.55
3. The '508 patent provides a nexus between the claimed “*backward-chaining and forward-chaining sequences*” function and the description of the algorithm that implements that function.69

IV. The Board should enter a final decision that the '508 patent's claims are not indefinite because eBay has not submitted the required expert-witness testimony that the '508 patent failed to describe sufficient corresponding structure for its claimed “indicating” and “interrelating” functions.72

Table of Authorities**Cases**

<i>Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	70
<i>Aristocrat Techs. Australia Pty. Ltd. v. Int'l Game, Tech.</i> , 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
<i>Atmel Corp. v. Information Storage Devices, Inc.</i> , 198 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	5, 6
<i>Augme Technologies v. Yahoo, Inc.</i> , 2014 WL 2782019 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	4
<i>Chicago Bd. Options Exchange, Inc. v. International Securities Exchange, LLC</i> , 748 F.3d 1134 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	72
<i>Creo Products, Inc. v. Presstek, Inc.</i> , 305 F. 3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	6
<i>Elcommerce.com v. SAP AG</i> , 745 F.3d 490 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	passim
<i>Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV</i> , 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	6
<i>In re Aoyama</i> , 656 F.3d 1293, 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7
<i>Intel Corp. v. VIA Technologies, Inc.</i> , 319 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	72
<i>Levine v. Samsung Telecommunications Am., LLC</i> , 2012 WL 383647 (E.D. Tex. 2012).....	7
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S.Ct. 2120 (2014).....	3, 4
<i>Noah Systems, Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	4, 73

SIPCO, LLC v. ABB, Inc.,
 2012 WL 3112302 (E.D.Tex. 2012).....2, 6

Stanacard, LLC v. Rebtel Networks, AB,
 680 F.Supp.2d 483 (S.D.N.Y. 2010)7

Triton Tech of Texas, LLC v. Nintendo of America,
 2014 WL 2619546 (Fed. Cir. 2014)4

Typhoon Touch Technologies, Inc. v. Dell, Inc.,
 659 F. 3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 3, 4, 73

W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.,
 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983)3

WMS Gaming Inc. v. International Game Technology,
 184 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 1999)5, 7

Statutes

35 U.S.C § 112..... passim

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....72

37 C.F.R. § 42.23.....23

77 Fed. Reg. 157 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 24, 74

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.