

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AGILYSYS, INC., ET AL.  
Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2014-00016  
Patent 6,871,325 B1

---

Before JAMESON LEE, MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, and  
STACEY G. WHITE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	1
A.	PO’s Construction of the Preamble Should Be Rejected.....	2
1.	PO’s own arguments require that the preamble is non-limiting.....	3
2.	The BRI of the preamble cannot include limitations found only in other claims. ....	6
3.	PO’s preamble construction is contrary to the intrinsic record.....	7
B.	PO’s Construction of “Central Processing Unit” Is Incorrect .....	7
III.	CLAIMS 1-10 ARE NOT PATENTABLE UNDER SECTION 101.....	8
A.	<i>Alice</i> Did Not Merge Section 101 with Sections 102 and 103 .....	9
B.	The Claims Do Not Include an Inventive Concept .....	10
C.	The Dependent Claims Do Not Add Any Meaningful Limitations.....	14
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	10
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. LTD v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	1, 9, 11, 14
<i>Allen Eng’g Corp. v. Bartell Indus.</i> , 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	4, 12, 15
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	2, 8
<i>Bancorp Servs. L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assur. Co. of Canada (U.S.), L.L.C.</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	2, 15
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	4
<i>Catalina Mktg Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	4, 5
<i>Cybersource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	13, 15
<i>DietGoal Innovations LLC v. Bravo Media LLC</i> , No. 13-cv-8391, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 92484 (S.D.N.Y. July 8, 2014) .....	12, 13
<i>Elekta Instrument S.A. v. O.U.R. Scientific Int’l, Inc.</i> , 214 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	7
<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972).....	9
<i>K-2 Corp. v. Salomon S.A.</i> , 191 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	2

<i>Kara Tech. Inc. v. Stamps.com, Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	6
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).....	9
<i>Parker v. Flook</i> , 437 U.S. 584 (1978).....	3, 15

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	<i>passim</i>
-----------------------	---------------

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b) .....	2
MPEP § 2111.02 .....	5, 6

**LIST OF NEWLY FILED EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT No.	DESCRIPTION (BOLDED IF USED)
FANDANGO 1001	<b>U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077</b>
FANDANGO 1009	<b>'077 Notice of Allowability Examiner's Amendment</b>
FANDANGO 1066	<b><i>U.S. Bancorp v. Retirement Capital Access Mgmt Co.</i>, CBM2013-00014, Paper No. 33 (P.T.A.B. August 22, 2014)</b>
FANDANGO 1067	<b><i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Par Technology Corp.</i>, No. 2:10-CV-294, Dkt. No. 70 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 23, 2010)</b>
FANDANGO 1068	<b><i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Fandango, Inc.</i>, No. 3:12-CV-1651, Dkt. No. 23 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2012)</b>
FANDANGO 1069*	Roof, Professional Visual Basic Windows CE Programming (Wrox Press Ltd. 1998) (excerpts)
FANDANGO 1070*	Shepherd and Wingo, "Visual Programmer," Microsoft Sys. J., Vol. 13, No. 5, at 97 (Feb. 1998)
FANDANGO 1071	U.S. Patent No. 4,972,496
FANDANGO 1072	<b>"Microsoft Introduces Palm PC, PC Companion Powered by Windows CE 2.0" (Jan. 8, 1998), located at <a href="http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/news/press/1998/jan98/palmpcpr.aspx">http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/news/press/1998/jan98/palmpcpr.aspx</a> (last visited Sept. 16, 2014)</b>
FANDANGO 1073	<b>European Patent Publication No. EP 0917077A2</b>
FANDANGO 1074	<b>U.S. Patent No. 5,974,238</b>

\* Denotes exhibits not cited in and not filed with this petition.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.