

UNITED STATE: (EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/400,413 09/21/99 MCNALLY

JOHN W. OSBORNE MORGAN & FINNEGAN, LLP 345 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10154

ηL	EXAMINER		
		10 A A	
	ARGUMEN,	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE ANAIZED:

05/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TM02/0522

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



D

Δ

FANDANGO EXHIBIT 1035

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Application No. Applicant 09/400,413		(s) McNally et al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner Cao "Kevin" N	lguyen	Art Unit 2173		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the corre	spondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	SET TO EXPIRE 3	MOI	NTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF	2 1 136 (a) In no event how	inver may a repl	u he timely filed		
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat	ion.				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. 	reply within the statutory mir	nimum of thirty (30) days will		
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per communication. 	riod will apply and will expire	SIX (6) MONTH	IS from the mailing	g date of this	
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta					
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	hailing date of this communication	ation, even if time	ely filed, may redu	ce any	
Status		287		41	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar 1</u> ,	2001				
2a)	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	e except for formal matt	ters, prosecut	tion as to the m	nerits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quajve35 C.D. 1	1; 453 O.G.	213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4) X Claim(s) 1-19, 31-39, 44-54, 56, and 57			is/are pe	nding in the applic	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			Is/are with	drawn from consid	
5) X Claim(s) 20-30 and 850 - 43 and 55			is/	are allowed.	
6) X Claim(s) 1-19, 31-39, 44-54, 56, and 57			is/	are rejected.	
7) 🗌 Claim(s)			is/	are objected to.	
8) 🗌 Claims					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the	e Evaminer			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			h) disappro	ved	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam		D approved		vcu.	
		a 4			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.440(-).(-))			
 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some* c) None of: 	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).			
			53		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		uliantian the			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		⁸ m con und			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure 			s National Sta	ge .	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t				2	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C.§ 119(e).			
Atlachment(s)	1.5.1				
15) XNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper	No(6).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,413

Page 2

RB

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371 of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-19, 31-39 and 50-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Cupps et al. (5,991,739).

Regarding claim 1, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system for generating menus comprising: a central processing unit, a data storage device connected to said central processing unit, an operating system including a graphical user interface (see figure 2), a first menu stored on said data storage device, application software for generating a second menu from said first menu, wherein the application software facilitates the generation of the second menu by allowing selection of items from the first menu, addition of items to the second menu and assignment of parameters to items in the second menu using the graphical user interface of said operating system (see col. 9, lines 42-67).

Regarding claim 2, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system, wherein the second menu is a restaurant menu (see col. 5, lines 27-67).

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,413

Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 3, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system, wherein the second menu is capable of being displayed on the display screen of a wireless computing device (see col. 4, lines 1-55).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system, wherein selections from the second menu are capable of being transmitted to a receiving computer by wireless link (see figures 1-2).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system in, wherein the computer network is the internet; and selections from the second menu are capable of being transmitted to a receiving computer via the internet (see col. 9, lines 16-65 and figure 8-10).

Regarding claims 8-11, Cupps discloses an information management and synchronous communications system, wherein the second menu is created in conformity with hypertext markup language or extensible markup language (see col. 10, lines 8-56 and figures 7-10).

As claims 12-19, 31-39 and 50-54 are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-11 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,413

Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

rejections set forth in this Office action.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 44-49 and 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps in view of Behr et al. (US Patent No. 6,107,944).

Regarding claim 44, Cupps fails to explicitly teach at least one wireless handheld

computing device on which hospitality application.

Behr teaches wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality application (see col. 14, lines 1-57). It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.