

27

28

Case 3:14-cv-01303-DMS-WVG Document 13 Filed 06/16/14 Page 2 of 31



2

45

6 7

8

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant and Counterclaimant Ameranth, Inc. ("Ameranth") responds to the Complaint of Plaintiff IPDEV Co. ("IPDEV") as follows:

- 1. Ameranth admits that IPDEV is an Illinois corporation located at the address stated at the complaint, and is an affiliate of QuikOrder, Inc. ("QuikOrder") (<u>fka</u> as National Systems Corporation), which in turn indemnifies and is co-represented with Pizza Hut, Inc. and Pizza Hut of America, Inc. ("Pizza Hut") in the consolidated patent infringement lawsuits brought by Ameranth and pending before this Court. Ameranth admits that IPDEV is the current owner of record of the '449 and '739 patents (but not the original owner of the '739 patent or even the employer of the inventors named thereon), but denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein.
 - 2. Admitted.
 - 3. Admitted.
 - 4. Admitted.
 - 5. Admitted.
 - 6. Admitted.
 - 7. Admitted.
- 8. Admitted. However, Ameranth contends that IPDEV works in concert with QuikOrder and this action is being used by QuikOrder, Pizza Hut, their joint litigation counsel, and other members of the Joint Defense Group in the consolidated patent infringement cases as part of their joint defense strategy.
- 9. Ameranth admits that Cupps and Glass filed the '793 Application on November 24, 1997, and that the '793 application issued on November 23, 1999 as the '739 patent. Ameranth lacks information and belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
 - 10. Admitted.



- 11. Admitted; however, Ameranth denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein and denies that the claimed subject matter was disclosed, described in or encompassed by the '739 patent or the '645 application.
 - 12. Admitted.
 - 13. Admitted.
- 14. Ameranth admits that the applicants of the '729 application disclaimed, for certain claims contained in that application only, the part of the term that would extend beyond the expiration of the term of the '850 patent. Except as admitted, denied.
 - 15. Admitted.
- 16. Ameranth admits that the applicants of the '990 application disclaimed, for certain claims contained in that application only, the part of the term that would extend beyond the expiration of the term of the '850 patent. Except as admitted, denied.
- 17. Ameranth admits that the IPDEV's '199 application substantially copied claims 1-18 of the '077 patent and added claims 19-21. Ameranth further admits that IPDEV's Preliminary Statement to the Patent Office states: "Applicant notes that filed claims 1-18 are copied from claims 1-18 of issued U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077, issued March 27, 2012 from U.S. Application No. 11/112,990 filed April 22, 2005 (the '077 Patent)." Ameranth denies that IPDEV brought to the attention of the patent examiner of the '449 patent that the copied claims were patented by a different inventor than the inventor of the '739 patent of which the '199 application purported to be a continuation, or that IPDEV's statements to the examiner of the '449 patent constituted a request for an interference. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

18. Ameranth admits that the Patent Office issued a Notice of Rejection
of claims 1-21 of the '199 application on or about June 6, 2013 for the reasons
stated therein. Ameranth further admits that, subsequently, on or about December
4, 2013, IPDEV submitted an amendment of the '199 application claims to the
Patent Office. Except as expressly admitted, denied.

- 19. Admitted.
- 20. Ameranth admits that claims 1-18 of the IPDEV '449 patent (although not the specification) substantially copy the claims and encompass substantially the same subject matter as claims 1-18 of Ameranth's '077 patent. Ameranth denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein. Except as expressly admitted, denied.
- 21. Denied. Ameranth further denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein.
- 22. Ameranth admits that the '077 patent is a continuation in part of the '850 patent. Except as expressly admitted, denied.
- 23. Denied. Ameranth further denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein.
- 24. Ameranth admits that the '350 patent is a continuation of the '850 patent, and that the '077 patent is a continuation in part of the '850 patent. Except as expressly admitted, denied.
- 25. Denied. Ameranth further denies and disputes the validity of the '449 patent as further described herein.
- 26. Ameranth admits that IPDEV asserts a priority date of November 24, 1997 for the '449 patent by virtue of characterizing it as a continuation of the application which issued as the '739 patent, but denies that the '449 patent claims are actually entitled to such priority date. Ameranth admits that all claims of Ameranth's '325, '850 and '770 patents have an effective priority date of at least



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

