EXHIBIT 2029



Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	11/112,990	MCNALLY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW BROPHY	2191	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) MATTHEW BROPHY.	(3) Micheal Fabiano.		
(2) <u>Lewis Bullock</u> .	(4) Keith McNally.		
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2011</u> .			
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	□ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: 103-127.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Cupps, Kavesky, Micros, et al.			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreeme reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguing		identification or clarifi	cation of a
The Applicant, Applicant's representative, examiner and SPE met to discuss possible allowable subject matter in the case. The Applicant gave an overview of the invention and history of the case. The Applicant explained the secondary factors evidence submitted to the office as evidence of non-obviousness. The Applicant described the claim amendment made in reponse to the November 2010 interview. In the interview, the applicant described the function of the menu generation system creating cascaded graphical user interface screens which are adaptable to different sized handheld devices. The applicant explained how the secondary factors show non-obviousness. The examiners asked the applicant about several features of the invention.			
Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last	Office action must include the substan	ace of the interview (See MPEP
section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview su interview	applicant is given a non-extendable pe	eriod of the longer of	one month or
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	 3.04 for complete and proper recordati of any other pertinent matters discusse 	ion including the ider ed regarding patenta	tification of the bility and the
Attachment			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 8/11/2010) Intervie	w Summary	Paper	No. 20111216

Application No.

Applicant(s)

