UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REGIONS FINANCIAL CORPORATION, ADVANCE AMERICA, CASH ADVANCE CENTERS, INC., and CNU ONLINE HOLDINGS, LLC F/K/A CASH AMERICA NET HOLDINGS, LLC Petitioners

v.

RETIREMENT CAPITAL ACCESS MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,625,582
Issue Date: September 23, 2003
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CONVERTING A DESIGNATED
PORTION OF FUTURE SOCIAL SECURITY AND OTHER RETIREMENT
PAYMENTS TO CURRENT BENEFITS

Covered Business Method Patent Review No. CBM2014-00012

CORRECTED PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.300 et seq.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	IIN	LKUL	7UC HON	1			
	A.	. Filing Date Requirements for Covered Business Method Patent Review Petition as Set Forth in 77 Fed. Reg. 48612, 77 Fed. Reg. 48680, and 77 Fed. Reg. 48734					
		1.	Mandatory Notice – Real Parties in Interest	1			
		2.	Mandatory Notice – Related Matters	1			
		3.	Eligibility Based on Infringement Suit				
		4.	Eligibility Based on Lack of Estoppel	2			
		5.	Eligibility Based on Time of Filing	3			
		6.	Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	3			
		7.	Specific Identification of Challenges	3			
		8.	A Legible Copy of Every Exhibit in the Exhibit List	3			
		9.	The Complete Covered Business Method Patent Petition Fee	4			
		10.	Mandatory Notice – Lead and Back-Up Counsel	4			
		11.	Powers of Attorney	5			
		12.	Mandatory Notice – Service Information	5			
		13.	Certificate of Service on Patent Owner	6			
	B.	Ove	view of the '582 Patent	6			
II.	SUMMARY OF THE CHALLENGED PATENT CLAIMS AND THEIR CONSTRUCTIONS						
			Claims				
			m Interpretation				
III.			2 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent				
			AIA Defines What Is a Covered Business Method				
	B.		'582 Patent Is a CBM				
	C.		'582 Patent Is Not a Patent for a Technological Invention				
IV.			SERTED CLAIMS ARE INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101				
			ıl Standards				



Patent No. 6,625,582 Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review

	B. Claim 1 is Invalid Under § 101	42
	C. Claims 13 and 14 are Invalid Under § 101	50
	D. Claims 18, 30, and 31 Are Invalid Under §101	51
V	CONCLUSION	52



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES Pag	ge(s)
Aristocrat Techs. Austl. Pty Ltd. v. Int'l Game Tech., 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	18
Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Can., 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)pas	ssim
Benefit Funding Systems LLC, et al. v. Advance America, Cash Advance Centers, Inc. LLC, Case No. 1:12-cv-801-LPS (D. Del.)	.1, 2
Benefit Funding Systems LLC, et al. v. Regions Financial Corporation, Case No. 1:12-cv-802-LPS (D. Del.)	1
Benefit Funding Systems LLC, et al. v. U.S. Bancorp, Case No. 1:12-cv-803-LPS (D. Del.)	2, 41
Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)pas	ssim
CLS Bank International, et al. v. Alice Corporation Pty. Ltd., 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 9493 (Fed. Cir. 2013)pas	ssim
CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)pas	ssim
Dealertrack v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012)35, 42	2, 48
Fort Properties, Inc. v. American Master Lease LLC, 671 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	2, 48
Interthinx, Inc. v. Corelogic Solutions, LLC, No. CBM2012-00007 (BJM) (P.T.A.B. Jan. 31, 2013)	36
Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)pa	ssim



SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc., No. CBM2012-00001 (MPT)passim
SiRF Tech., Inc. v. ITC, 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
U.S. Bancorp v. Retirement Capital Access Management Company, CBM 2013-00014 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 20, 2013)
STATUTES
35 U.S.C. § 101passim
35 U.S.C. § 112
35 U.S.C. §321(a)(1)
LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS
157 Cong. Rec. S5410-02 (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)
H.R. 1249, 112th Congress § 18(a)(1)(D) (2011)
H.R. 1249, 112th Congress, § 18(d)(1) (2011)
CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS
77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012) (to be codified at 37 C.F.R. pt. 42)
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)
37 C.F.R. § 42.203(a)
37 C.F.R. § 42.205(a)
37 C.F.R. § 42.300(a)
37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)
37 C.F.R. § 42.301
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(a)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

