In The Matter Of:

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, et al. v. METASEARCH SYSTEMS, LLC

GARY LIAO - Vol. 1 September 26, 2014

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

27 Maiden Lane, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108 Phone: 415.357.4300 Fax: 415.657.4301



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY, INC., EXPEDIA, INC.; HOTELS.COM LP, HOTELS.COM GP, LLC, HOTWIRE, INC., ORBITZ WORLDWIDE, INC., PRICELINE.COM INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP, and YAHOO! INC.

Petitioners

V.

METASEARCH SYSTEMS, LLC.
Patent Owner

Case No. CB2014-00001 Patent Number 8,326,924 B1

DEPOSITION OF GARY LIAO

Taken on Behalf of Patent Owner

Friday, September 26, 2014

* * *

SF-008547



Page 2

Page 4

BE IT REMEMBERED That the deposition of GARY LIAO was taken before Heather Ashton, RPR, CSR, on Friday, September 26, 2014, commencing at the hour of 11:25 a.m., the proceedings being reported in the law offices of Klarquist Sparkman, LLP, One World Trade Center, 121 SW Salmon Street, Suite 1600, Portland, Oregon.

APPEARANCES KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

By Ms. Kristen P. Lantz Reichenbach, Ph.D. By Mr. John D. Vandenberg One World Trade Center 121 SW Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Portland, Oregon 97204 (503) 595-5300 kristen.reichenbach@klarquist.com john.vandenberg@klarquist.com

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI, LLP

Appearing on behalf of the Petitioners

By Mr. Ryan M. Schultz 2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015 (612) 349-8500 rmschultz@rkmc.com Appearing on behalf of the Patent Owner **GARY LIAO**

having first been sworn to tell the truth by the reporter, testified under oath as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHULTZ:

- Q Can you state your name for the record.
- Gary Liao.
- And can you provide your address.
- 33709 Tarbell Road, Scappoose, Oregon.
- Q And Liao?
- A Liao, yes.
- Q I will do my best to pronounce it correctly. I was saying Liao all the time, so it will take me a little bit to get over that, but I will do what I can. Mr. Liao, have you ever been deposed before?
- As a fact witness, yes.
- Q So you've never been deposed as an expert witness?
- Q How long ago was the deposition that you were a fact witness in?
- A It was about nine or ten years ago.
- Q I'm going to go over just a few basics ground rules to hopefully speed this process up so we can get done in a timely and efficient manner. As you can see,

Page 3

76

INDEX

EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO. Examination by Mr. Schultz

Examination by Ms. Reichenbach

* * *

EXHIBITS

PAGE NO. EXHIBIT NO. ITEM

Exhibit 2042 Petition Filed October 1, 7

2013, Filed Electronically PER 37 C.F.R. 42.6(B)(1) (Exhibit attached hereto.)

Page 5

the court reporter is typing down everything that we say to create a transcript that will be used later on. In light of that, it's important to keep in mind, as great and wonderful as she is, she can only type down what one of us is saying at any one time. So it is important that we wait for each other to respond. So I would ask that you wait for myself to finish the question, and I will do my best to wait until you are done answering before I ask my next question. Okay?

- A (Witness nodding.)
- Q Is that understood?
- A Yes.
- Q That leads me right into my next rule. She has to type down things that you say. So if you nod your head or say "uh-huh" or "huh-uh," it won't come out clean in the transcript of what was happening, if you were saying yes or no. So I would ask, to the extent that the answer to the question is yes or no, affirmatively use the words "yes" or "no." Okay?
- A Okay.
- Q We will take some breaks throughout the deposition. I tend to take them about every hour. I may push that a little bit in order to try to get this deposition wrapped up in a timely fashion, but if at



Page 6 Page 8 1 any point in time you need a break, please just let 1 sure the entire parentage. That's why I'm uncertain. 2 me know and we will take a break at that time. The 2 Q So you may have provided some litigation consulting 3 only thing that I ask is, if there is a question 3 services for a subsidiary of American Express? pending, that you answer the question pending, and 4 5 Q And when would that have been? then we will take a break after that. Okay? 5 6 A Okay. 6 Several years ago. Q Is there any reason that you cannot testify 7 More than five? 8 truthfully today? 8 I'm not certain, but probably less than five. 9 9 And have you provided any litigation consulting for 10 Q Now, you have provided two declarations in the matter 10 the Klarquist law firm before, outside of this 11 related to U.S. Patent 8,326,924. Do you recall 11 matter? 12 that? 12 A Yeah, I've provided litigation support. 13 A Yes. 13 **Q** How many times? 14 Q And if I refer to that patent as the '924 patent, 14 A I don't have an exact count. I think if I provided a 15 you'll understand what I'm saying? 15 CV, I could get you the exact number. 16 A Yes. 16 O Do you think it's more than ten? $1\,7\,$ Q $\,$ And I'm going to show you some documents as we go 17 A No, I don't think it's more than ten. 18 Q You can set that aside. I'm going to hand you what through here, but I'm going to ask you just a few 18 19 19 has already been marked as Exhibit 1009, which is, I questions before I do that. 20 20 You provide consulting services right now, believe, your first declaration in these proceedings; 21 correct? 21 is that correct? 22 A Yes. 22 A Yeah, this looks like the first declaration. 23 Q Have you provided, outside of your two declarations 23 Q In this declaration you provide a few paragraphs on 24 in this matter, any consulting services for any of 24 your background and experiences. 25 the petitioners in this matter? 25 A Yes. Page 7 Page 9 1 A I don't understand. Can you repeat that? Q I want to focus a little bit on some of your Q Sure. You understand that there are petitioners in 2 experiences. I understand you have a Bachelor of 3 this matter who have filed a petition for a Covered 3 Science in Electrical Engineering; is that correct? 4 Business Method Review of the '924 patent, correct? 4 A That's correct. 5 A Yes. 5 And that's from the University of California? 6 Q And do you know what those entities are? Let me show 6 San Diego, yes. 7 you a document. Maybe that will help give some 7 Q And do you have a master's degree in electrical 8 context. We will mark this as Exhibit 2042. 8 engineering? 9 9 (Exhibit No. 2042 was marked.) A No. I have a master's in business administration. Q BY MR. SCHULTZ: If you can turn to page 1 of Exhibit Do you have any post undergraduate education in the 10 11 2042. I think you flipped past it. There you go. 11 field of electrical engineering? 12 A Oh. 12 A No. 13 Q You see under the title "Real Party-In-Interest" 13 **Q** Computer science? 14 there's a list of a variety of entities: American 14 A No. 15 Express Company, et cetera. My question is, have you 1.5 Q I want to focus the next set of questions really on 16 provided any consulting services for any of those 16 your experiences up through the year 2000. Okay? And I know it may be a little difficult to parse that 17 entities outside of your work in this matter? 17 18 A So I don't believe I provide non-litigation 18 out in your mind, but I'm going to try to focus on 19 consulting for any of these petitioners. I may have 19 that, not what you're doing currently. Okay? 20 provided some litigation consulting for subsidiaries 20 A Okay. 21 of one of these, but I'm not sure. 21 Q Prior to 2000, did you have any experiences 22 Q And the litigation consulting for one of the 22 professionally with metasearch engines? 23 subsidiaries that you're referencing, what is the 23 A Prior to 2000, I don't believe I had any professional 24 parent entity that you're thinking of? 24 experience with metasearch engines. 25 A It may have been American Express. I don't know for 25 Q And would it be fair to say that prior to 2000, then,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Page 10 Page 12 1 you didn't have any experience in designing 1 accepting customer requirements, doing design, 2 2 metasearch engines? implementation, test, deploy. And then after 3 A Prior to 2000, that's right. deploying, maintenance if that was necessary. Q And would it be fair to say that prior to 2000 you 4 Q And were you involved in all of those aspects of the 5 5 didn't have any experience in the implementation of a project? 6 metasearch engine? 6 A Yes. 7 A Prior to 2000, I had not implemented a metasearch 7 Q And so you were involved with making decisions 8 related to the implementation of those e-commerce engine. 9 Q And prior to 2000, you did not have any experience in 9 sites? 10 A Yes. 10 the selection of hardware to be used to implement a 11 metasearch engine, correct? 11 Q And you were involved in the decision-making process 12 A Prior to 2000, I would not have had any 12 related to how those sites process customer orders? 13 decision-making for hardware for a metasearch engine. 13 A Yes, but not for all of these. 14 Q I'm not so much focused on the decision-making. I'm 14 **Q** For some of them? 15 just more did you have -- You didn't have any 15 A For some of them, that's right. 16 16 **Q** experiences in the selection process of hardware to Which ones were you involved on the processing side? 17 be utilized for a metasearch engine prior to 2000? 17 A On the ones listed here, the clique.com and 18 A Yeah, that would be correct as well. 18 animalfairboutique.com. 19 Q Now, prior to 2000, you did have experience with 19 Q Now, prior to 2000, did you have any experience with 20 20 e-commerce sites? search engines? 21 A That's correct. I had experience with e-commerce 21 A So there's two aspects to search engines. One is the sites prior to 2000. 22 22 actual search engines, and the other ones are the Web 23 Q And you list a few Web sites here in your first 23 sites that are searched by search engines. Of course 24 24 declaration, in paragraph 3 here I would assume -whenever you build e-commerce Web sites, you always 25 well, you can tell me. It recites like clique.com 25 have to be considerate of building them in a way that Page 11 Page 13 1 they are searchable by search engines. So in that 1 and gear.com. Would you consider those e-commerce 2 sites? 2 sense the answer is yes. But prior to 2000, I never 3 A Yes, those were e-commerce sites. 3 worked on search engines on the search engine side. 4 Q And prior to 2000, you had experience, then, in 4 Q Just so that I've got it clear in my mind, so prior 5 designing e-commerce Web sites? 5 to 2000, you did not work on search engines on the 6 A That's correct. 6 search engine side? 7 Q And some of those Web sites are the ones that are 7 A That's correct. That's what I said, yes. 8 8 Q So prior to 2000, you didn't have any experience in listed in your declaration? 9 9 A Correct. designing search engines either? 10 Q Through 1999 when you were working on these A Right. Prior to 2000, I had not designed a search 10 11 e-commerce Web sites, were you working as a 11 12 consultant, or were you working for a particular 12 Q And prior to 2000, you did not have any experience in 13 13 implementing search engines, correct? company or corporation? 14 A I was working for a consulting company that 14 A That's correct. Prior to 2000, I would not have 15 implemented these. So I was a consultant on these. 15 implemented a search engine. 16 Q What was the consultant company? 16 Q Now, prior to 2000, you were aware of search engines, 17 A These were for Step Technology. 17 18 Q When did you work for AMD? 18 A Yes, prior to 2000, I was aware of search engines. 19 A I worked for AMD from 1988 to 2000. Oh, no. 1988 to 19 **O** Search engines like Google, Yahoo!? 20 20 **A** Correct. Yes. 21 Q And when you were at AMD, was that on hardware --21 Thanks. And I think we covered this, but I just want 22 A It was both. I did both hardware and software. 22 to make sure that we did. The Web sites that are 23 Q What type of work were you doing related to these 23 listed in your biography, or declaration of your work



24

e-commerce sites prior to 2000?

25 A Oh, these would have been full engagements of

24

25

history related up to 2000, none of those Web sites

are metasearch engines, right?

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

