

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In Re: U.S. Patent 8,326,924 :

Inventor: Harvey Lunenfeld :

Filed: August 1, 2012 :

Issued: December 4, 2012 : CBM2014-00001

Title: METASEARCH ENGINE FOR ORDERING AT LEAST ONE
ITEM RETURNED IN SEARCH RESULTS USING AT LEAST
ONE QUERY ON MULTIPLE UNIQUE HOSTS AND FOR
DISPLAYING ASSOCIATED ADVERTISING

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '924 PATENT IS DIRECTED AT A NOVEL, NON-OBVIOUS METHOD OF PERFORMING A METASEARCH.....	2
a. The Alleged Prior Art Asserted in the Petition.	7
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
a. Petitioners' Proposed Constructions Are Fanciful and Not the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.	10
b. Patent Owner's Proposed Constructions.....	11
IV. THE '924 PATENT IS NOT SUBJECT TO COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW.....	24
a. The America Invents Act Limits CBM Review to a Narrow Range of Patents.....	25
b. The Invention of the '924 Patent Is Not a Method of "Performing Data Processing...of a Financial Product or Service."	27
c. The Invention of the '924 Patent Is a "Patent[] for Technological Invention[]."	28
V. PETITIONERS HAVE FAILED TO MEET THEIR BURDEN UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101.....	28
a. Analysis Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 Requires Examination of the Claims to Identify Meaningful Limitations That Demonstrate a Practical Application of an Abstract Idea.	29
i. Petitioners Ignore the Claim Elements and the Meaningful Limitations in the Challenged '924 Patent Claims.....	30
ii. The Advertising Portions of the Challenged Claims are Meaningful Limitations.	31

iii.	The Challenged Claims as a Whole Recite a Specific Combination of Components That Interact in a Particular Way.	32
b.	The Claims of the '924 Patent Satisfy the "Machine-Or-Transformation" Test Because the Data from the Results Is Transformed Into a Response.....	35
VI.	THE LUNENFELD 2000 APPLICATION AND LUNENFELD PCT ARE NOT PRIOR ART TO THE '924 PATENT AND THUS CANNOT RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	37
a.	There Is No Break in the Priority Chain.	38
b.	The Lunenfeld 2000 Application's Specification Clearly Supports All Challenged Claims of the '924 Patent.	40
c.	The CIPs Merely Add Further Description and Detail to Elements Already Disclosed in the '073 Patent.....	60
d.	Petitioners Have Failed to Show That Any Challenged Claim of the '924 Patent Is Rendered Obvious Over the Combination of Lunenfeld PCT and Lunenfeld 2000 Application in View of Mamma.Com.....	63
VII.	PETITIONERS HAVE FAILED TO MEET THEIR BURDEN TO SHOW OBVIOUSNESS.....	63
a.	Petitioners Provide No Explanation as to Why One of Skill Would Combine These References.	64
b.	The References Do Not Teach "Metasearching" as Required by All of the Challenged Claims.	66
c.	The References Do Not Teach or Disclose "Incorporating the Received Search Results Into a Results List and Incorporating the Results List Into a Response" Limitation Found in Claims 2 and 6.	68
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	70

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC</i> , CBM2013-00019, Paper 17 (Oct. 8, 2013)	26, 33, 34
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S.Ct. 3218 (2010)	29, 30, 35
<i>CLS Banking Int'l v. Alice Corp.</i> , 717 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	29, 30
<i>Corley v. U.S.</i> , 556 U.S. 303 (2009)	26
<i>Diamond v. Chakrabarty</i> , 447 U.S. 303 (1980)	26
<i>Diamond v. Diehr</i> , 450 U.S. 175 (1981)	26, 30
<i>Griffin v. Oceanic Contractors, Inc.</i> , 458 U.S. 564 (1982)	25
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007)	70
<i>Locite Corp. v. Ultraseal Ltd.</i> , 781 F.2d 861 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	66
<i>Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)	29, 30
<i>Phillips v. AWH</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	60
<i>Research Corp. Tech., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	36, 37
<i>SiRF Tech., Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	36

Patent No. 8,326,924

CBM2014-00001

Ultramercial, LLC, et al v. Hulu, Inc., et al,

657 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 30, 33, 34

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 101 1, 28, 29, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37

35 U.S.C. § 10263

35 U.S.C. § 103 1, 37

Other Authorities

77 Fed. Reg. 4868010

77 Fed. Reg. 48699-70010

AIA § 18..... 24, 25, 28

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)11

37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a).....26

37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1).....9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.