

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Docket Number	032376-003	Type a plus sign (+) inside this box
---------------	------------	--------------------------------------

INVENTOR(s)/APPLICANT(s)

LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE INITIAL	RESIDENCE (CITY AND EITHER STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY)
Flitcroft	Daniel	I.	Dublin, Ireland
O'Donnell	Graham		Dublin, Ireland

TITLE OF THE INVENTION (280 characters max)

CARD SYSTEM AND METHOD

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Ronald L. Grudziecki
 BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.
 P.O. Box 1404
 Alexandria,

STATE	Virginia	ZIP CODE	22313-1404	COUNTRY	United States of America
-------	----------	----------	------------	---------	--------------------------

ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS (check all that apply)

- Specification *Number of Pages* 30
 Small Entity Statement
 Drawing(s) *Number of Sheets* 6
 Other (specify) Claims 1-21, 6 pages; Abstract, 1 page

METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING FEES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT (check one)

- A check or money order is enclosed to cover the Provisional filing fees
 The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 02-4800. This paper is submitted in triplicate.
- | | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| PROVISIONAL FILING FEE AMOUNT(S) | \$ <input type="checkbox"/> \$75.00 |
| | \$ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$150.00 |

The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.

- No.
 Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number are:

Respectfully submitted,

SIGNATURE Charles W. Mathis (Reg. No. 33096)

Date August 26, 1998

for TYPED or PRINTED NAME Ronald L. Grudziecki

Registration No. 24,970
 (if appropriate)

- Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto

CARD SYSTEM AND METHOD

BACKGROUND

1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a financial card system and method, and more particularly, to a credit, debit and charge card system and method offering reduced potential of credit card number misuse.

2. Related Art

The development of retail electronic commerce has been relatively slow in spite of the perceived demand for such trade. The single greatest deterrent to the expansion of retail electronic commerce is the potential for fraud and the fear of fraud. This potential for fraud has been a major concern for the credit card companies and financial institutions as well as the customers and the providers of the goods and services.

The former are seriously concerned about fraud, because essentially in the long run the financial institutions have to bear the cost of the fraud. Additionally, the credit card companies have a very efficient credit card system which is working extremely well for face to face transactions, i.e. transactions where the credit card is physically presented to a trader and the trader can obtain the master credit card number, compare signatures and in many cases photographs before accepting a particular credit card.

The latter are equally concerned about fraud, being well aware that ultimately the user must pay for the service. However, there are particular personal concerns for the consumer in that the fraudulent use of the credit card by misuse of the master credit card number by a third party may not become apparent for some time. This can happen even if the card is still in his or her possession. Further when fraud does

occur the consumer has the task of persuading the credit card provider that fraud did indeed occur.

There is also the additional fear of being overcharged on a credit card. There are thus particular risks for those credit card holders who have relatively high
5 spending limits, in that if fraud should occur, it may be some considerable time before it is detected. One particular form of fraud referred to as "skimming" is particularly difficult to control. What happens is that the card holder proffers his or her card at an establishment to make a transaction, the relevant information is electronically and/or physically copied from the card and the card is subsequently
10 reproduced. This can be a particular problem with travelers particularly during an extensive period of travel as the fraudulent card may turn up in other places and it may be some considerable time before the fraud is detected.

For remote credit card use, the credit card holder has to provide details of name, master credit card number, expiration date and address and often many other
15 pieces of information for verification; the storing and updating of the information is expensive but necessary. This of itself is a considerable security risk as anybody will appreciate that this information could be used to fraudulently charge goods and services to the card holder's credit card account. Such fraudulent use is not limited to those people to whom the credit card information has been given legitimately, but
20 extends to anybody who can illegitimately obtain such details. A major problem in relation to this form of fraud is that the credit card may still be in the possession of the legitimate holder as these fraudulent transactions are taking place. This is often referred to as "compromised numbers" fraud. Indeed all this fraud needs is one dishonest staff member, for example in a shop, hotel or restaurant, to record the
25 credit card number. It is thus not the same as card theft.

The current approaches to the limiting of credit card fraud are dependent firstly on the theft of a card being reported and secondly elaborate verification systems whereby altered patterns of use initiate some enquiry from the credit card

company. Many users of credit cards have no doubt received telephone calls, when their use of the card has been exceptional, or otherwise unusual in the eyes of the organization providing the verification services.

Thus, there have been many developments in an effort to overcome this
5 fundamental problem of fraud, in the general area of fraud for ordinary use of credit cards and for the particular problems associated with such remote use.

One of the developments has been the provision of smart cards which are credit card devices containing embedded electronic circuitry that can either store information or perform computations. Generally speaking they contribute to credit
10 card security systems by using some encryption system. A typical example of such a smart card is disclosed in U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,317,636.

Another method used is the Secure Electronic Transaction (SET) protocol which represents the collaboration between many leading computer companies and the credit card industry which is particularly related to electronic transmission of credit
15 card details and in particular via the Internet. It provides a detailed protocol for encryption of credit card details and verification of participants in an electronic transaction.

There are then specific electronic transaction systems such as "Cyber Cash," "Check Free" and "First Virtual." Unfortunately, there are serious problems with
20 what has been proposed to date. Firstly, any form of reliance on encryption is a challenge to those who will then try to break it. The manner in which access has been gained to extremely sensitive information in Government premises, would make even the most foolhardy wary of any reliance on an encryption system. A further problem is that some of the most secure forms of encryption system are not widely
25 available due to government and other security requirements. Limiting the electronic trading systems and security systems for use to the Internet is of relatively little use. While it is perceived to be an area of high risk, in practice to date it is not.

One of the problems with all these systems is that there are many competing technologies and therefore there is a multiplicity of incompatible formats which will be a deterrent to both traders and consumers. Similarly, many of these systems require modifications of the technology used at the point of sale, which will require
5 considerable investment and further limit the uptake of the systems.

Many solutions have been proposed to this problem. However, none of them allow the use of existing credit cards. Ideally, as realized by the present inventors, the solution would be to obtain the functionality of a credit card, while never in fact revealing the master credit card number. Unfortunately, the only way to ensure that
10 master credit card numbers cannot be used fraudulently is to never transmit the master credit card number by any direct route, i.e. phone, mail, Internet or even to print out the master credit card number during the transaction, such as is commonly the case at present. It is thus not feasible.

3. Objects

15 According to exemplary embodiments, the present invention is directed towards improving the existing financial card system by providing a more secure way of using existing financial cards (such as credit, debit and charge cards), and in particular to providing an improved way of using existing credit cards in all types of transactions, including transactions in which the card is physically presented, and
20 transactions in which only the credit card number is presented. The present invention is further directed towards providing a more secure way of using existing credit cards generally which will not require any major modifications to existing credit card systems. It is further directed towards providing an improved credit card system that will be more user friendly and will provide customers with a greater confidence in the
25 security of the system.

Further the invention is directed towards providing an improved credit card system that will not, in one embodiment, necessarily require the use of expensive

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.