IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED Petitioner

V.

JOHN D'AGOSTINO Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,036,988 Application No. 12/902,399 Filed: October 23, 2010 Issued: October 11, 2011

Title: System and Method for Performing Secure Credit Card Transactions

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LISTO	OF EXHIBITS1	V
I.	INTRODUCTION	.1
II.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING	.2
A.	The '988 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	.2
B.	Petitioner is a Real Party in Interest Sued for Infringement	.5
C.	Related Matters	.5
III.	OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR WHICH IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS (1-38) OF THE '988 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	
IV.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE '988 PATENT	.7
A.	Overview of the '988 Patent	.7
B.	The '988 Patent Prosecution History	.8
C.	The '988 Patent <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination File History	.9
V.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	
A.	The Challenged Claims are Invalid under §§ 102, 103 and/or 1121	. 1
1.	Claim Construction1	. 1
2.	Ground 1: Claims 1-10, 15-25, 27-33, & 35-38 are Anticipated Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Cohen	4
3.	Ground 2: Claims 11-14, 26 & 34 are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Cohen in View of Musmanno	39



	4.	Ground 3: Claims 1-10, 15-25, 27-33, & 35-38 are Anticipated Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Flitcroft
	5.	Ground 4: Claims 11-14, 26 & 34 are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Flitcroft in View of Musmanno
	6.	Ground 5: Claims 1-20, 22, and 31-38 are Indefinite Under 35 U.S.C. § 112
VI.		CONCLUSION



PETITIONER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1001 – U.S. Patent No. 8,036,988

Exhibit 1002 – File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,036,988

Exhibit 1003 – File History for U.S. Reexamination No. 90/012,517

Exhibit 1004 – U.S. Patent No. 6,422,462 ("Cohen")

Exhibit 1005 – U.S. Patent No. 6,636,833 ("Flitcroft")

Exhibit 1006 – U.S. Patent No. 5,826,243 ("Musmanno")

Exhibit 1007 – Complaint in D'Agostino v. MasterCard, Inc. et al. (13-cv-0738)

Exhibit 1008 – Declaration of Jack D. Grimes, Ph.D.

Exhibit 1009 – Excerpts from Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary,

Second Edition

Exhibit 1010 – U.S. Patent No. 6,064,987 ("Walker")

Exhibit 1011 – U.S. Patent No. 5,283,829 ("Anderson")

Exhibit 1012 – ISO 8583 Financial Transaction Card Originated Messages –

Interchange Message Specifications (1992) ("ISO 8583")



Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, MasterCard International Incorporated ("Petitioner" and real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1-38 (all claims) of U.S. Pat. No. 8,036,988 ("the '988 Patent"), issued to John D'Agostino ("D'Agostino"). An Ex Parte Reexamination of the '988 Patent was filed on September 12, 2012, and is currently pending under Control No. 90/012,517. Petitioner hereby asserts it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable and respectfully requests review of, and judgment against, Claims 1-38 as unpatentable under §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

I. INTRODUCTION

The '988 Patent attempts to claim the use of a transaction code – in lieu of a credit card number – for making secure transactions that are limited to a specific merchant or group of merchants. This was a practice that was common in the credit card industry before the priority date of the '988 Patent. During prosecution, the '988 Patent issued only after the Applicant attempted to distinguish the claims over the prior art on the basis of the following limitation:

defining at least one payment category to include at least limiting a number of transactions to one or more merchants, said one or more merchants limitation being included in said payment category prior to any particular merchant being identified as one of said one or more merchants



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

