Filed on behalf of:

DOCKET

Patent Owner SightSound Technologies, LLC

Paper No. _____

By: David R. Marsh, Ph.D. Kristan L. Lansbery, Ph.D. ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 12th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Tel: (202) 942-5068 Fax: (202) 942-5999

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Patent Owner

Case CBM2013-00023 Patent 5,966,440

PATENT OWNER SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§42.224(a) Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§42.224(a), Patent Owner SightSound Technologies, LLC ("SightSound") hereby moves for limited discovery of Petitioner Apple, Inc. ("Apple") concerning secondary indicia of non-obviousness.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this proceeding, Apple contends that SightSound's invention is obvious in light of a recording device created by CompuSonics Corp. To show nonobviousness, among other things, SightSound will present evidence regarding secondary indicia of non-obviousness, including that the patented invention was commercially successful and was copied by others. Consistent with this showing, SightSound should be permitted discovery into two discrete categories of nonpublic documents that are uniquely within Apple's possession.

First, SightSound seeks materials sufficient to show the sequence by which the iTunes Music Store ("the iTMS") accesses and transfers digital content to the memory of consumers and consummates an electronic sale. Such information will establish that the iTMS practices the patent and in fact embodies the patented invention, a showing which is necessary for the commercial success of the iTMS to be properly and fully considered here and to show copying. This can be accomplished with Apple producing a single document and its exhibits—the April 22, 2013 expert report and exhibits of J. Douglas Tygar concerning infringement, generated in the district court litigation before Apple initiated CBM review. This

report is targeted directly to the operation of the iTMS as mapped to the claims of the U.S. Patent No. 5,966,440 ("the '440 Patent"), and provides the most relevant and narrowly tailored information available. SightSound alternatively requests the production of non-public specifications or technical documentation sufficient to show the workings of the content transfer to the consumer's memory and payment steps used by the iTMS. Dr. Tygar's report and the alternative materials would presumably show that the iTMS practices the patent and is co-extensive with the patented invention and thus establish the presumption of a nexus, and also show copying. For example, SightSound requests information sufficient to show that iTMS practices "the step of selling electronically includes the step of charging a fee via telecommunications lines by the first party to the second party" and that the "second party has an account" (claim 1): "transferring . . . digital video or digital audio signals from the first memory of the first party to the second memory of the second party. . .[and] storing the desired digital . . . in a non volatile storage portion of the second memory" (claim 1) or "second party hard disk" (claims 64 and 95).

Second, SightSound seeks consumer surveys conducted by Apple around the time it launched the iTMS and shortly thereafter to show consumers' preference to electronically purchase digital signals as described by the patent¹ instead of

¹ For example, "selling electronically includes a step of charging a fee via telecommunication lines by a first party to second party" and that the "second party has an account" and "transferring . . . digital video or digital audio signal from the

purchasing content on CD's, tapes, or phonograph records. SightSound expects that this type of consumer information, which SightSound believes Apple tracks in detail, will demonstrate that Apple cannot rebut the presumption of a nexus with a showing that non-patented features are responsible for the success of the iTMS.

In sum, SightSound seeks narrow categories of non-public information so that it can fairly present its case on secondary considerations. SightSound does not object to a protective order to protect any Apple confidential information. Apple's objections to providing the limited discovery requested have no merit, and are an effort to frustrate SightSound's ability to demonstrate non-obviousness.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

In a CBM proceeding, discovery should be permitted upon a showing of "good cause" by the propounding party. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.224(a). In trial proceedings before the Board, "good cause" is established where the moving party shows that the requested relief promotes a fair, orderly, and efficient proceeding.² SightSound seeks to propound narrow requests for specific relevant information in

first memory of the first party to the second memory of the second party" (claim 1, *See also* claim 64 and 95).

² See, e.g., 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) (allowing counsel to appear *pro hac vice* upon a showing of "good cause"); 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c) (providing that extensions of time may be allowed where "good cause" is shown); *see also Gustafson v. Alloyd Co.*, 513 U.S. 561, 570 (1995) (applying the "normal rule of statutory construction" that "identical words used in different parts of the same act are intended to have the same meaning") (citation omitted).

Apple's possession, namely, SightSound seeks items 1 and 4 below, or alternatively, items 2, 3 and 4 below:

- The expert report and accompanying exhibits of J.
 Douglas Tygar concerning infringement, dated April 22, 2013.
- 2. Non-public specifications, schematics, or other documentation sufficient to show how Apple accessed digital audio or video signals from memory and transferred them over telecommunications lines for sale to consumers via the iTMS at the time of the launch of the iTMS.
- 3. Non-public specifications, schematics, or other documentation sufficient to show how customers purchased digital audio or video signals and stored such signals in memory via the iTMS at the time of the launch of the iTMS.
- Surveys conducted by or for Apple from 2003 to 2007 reflecting consumers' desire to purchase digital audio or video signals via telecommunications lines, including through the iTMS.

Ex. 2307. The Board has articulated a five-factor inquiry to weigh whether there is good cause to allow discovery. *See Bloomberg Inc. v. Markets-Alert Pty Ltd.*, CBM2013-00005, Paper 32, at 5-6 (P.T.A.B. May 29, 2013). Here, all of those factors weigh in favor of permitting the requested discovery.

_ 4 _

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.