## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

---000---

SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO.:

2:11cv01292-DWA

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER

Tuesday, December 18, 2012

REPORTED BY: RACHEL FERRIER, CSR 6948

(1-448406)

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

## SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/18/2012

Page 2

		Page 2
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2	DVAMINATION DV	הא כידי
3	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE 7, 117
4	Mr. Batchelder	/, 11/
5	EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
7	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	Exhibit 177 Defendant Apple Inc.'s Notice	17100
9	of 30(b)(6) Deposition to Plaintiff SightSound	
10	Technologies, LLC	10
	Exhibit 178 U.S. Patent No. 5,191,573	8
	Exhibit 179 U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734	9
12	Exhibit 180 U.S. Patent No. 5,966,440	9
14	Exhibit 181 Deposition Transcript of Scott Sander dated 2/21/01	13
15	Exhibit 182 Deposition Transcript of Scott Sander dated 2/22/01	13
16	Exhibit 183 E-Mail from John Reynolds to Scott Sander (Bates SST-31547 - 31550)	37
18	Exhibit 184 Screen shots from SightSound.com (Bates SST-21837 - 21912)	74
20	Exhibit 185 SightSound.com.sales (Bates STI-13695 - 13707)	90
21 22	Exhibit 186 Arthur Hair Notes (Bates SST-36864 - 36882)	94
23 24 25	Exhibit 187 Concept Plan for the Formation of Digital Sight/Sound Presented to Mr. Michael Milken (Bates SST-24812 - 24818)	105

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

				Page 3
1		ΕΣ	XHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
2	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit	188	Business Plan for Digital	
4			SightSound, Inc. (Bates SST-25004 - 25151)	108
5	Exhibit	189	Limited License to Henry Moore (Bates STI-13150 - 13159)	128
6		100	N	
7	Exhibit	190	Newco Offer (Bates SST-8779 - 8787)	137
8	Exhibit	191	Patent License Agreement (Bates SST-7842 - 7848)	141
9	Exhibit	102	Document addressed to Joel Adams	
10	EXHIBIC	192	at Adams Capital Management (Bates SST-8856 - 8864)	148
11		100		
12	Exhibit	193	Two letters to Joel Adams at Adams Capital Management dated 11/10/95 and 11/17/95	
13			(Bates SST-8865 - 8867)	153
14	Exhibit	194	Letter to Jeremy Pearce dated 4/28/97	
15			(Bates SST-24870)	156
16	Exhibit	195	Board Prospectus dated 8/15/97 (Bates SST-15891 - 15915)	157
17	Exhibit	196	Template	
18			(Bates SST-8868 - 8869)	166
19	Exhibit	197	One-page document (Bates SST-8787)	176
20	Exhibit	192	Document addressed to Ken Adams	
21	PYHIDIU	130	(Bates SST-8810 - 8812)	177
22	Exhibit	199	Information Memorandum, Goldman Sachs & Co., 3/9/98	
23			(Bates SST-8694 - 8708)	181
24	Exhibit	200	Software License Agreement (Bates STI-13102 - 13116)	191
25				

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

## SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/18/2012

Page 4

			Page 4
1	E	XHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 201	Memo to Atlantic Records (Bates SST-24634 - 24637)	195
4 5	Exhibit 202	SightSound Press Release (Bates STI-13148 - 13149)	202
6	Exhibit 203	Private Placement Memorandum dated 4/27/99	0.04
7		(Bates SST-8421 - 8453)	204
8	Exhibit 204	Minutes of Special Meeting of Directors of SightSound.com Incorporated	214
10		000	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
L			

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
1
               BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to the laws
 2
      governing the taking and use of depositions, on Tuesday,
      December 18, 2012, commencing at 9:33 a.m. thereof, at
      Ropes & Gray, 1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor, East
      Palo Alto, California 94303, before me, RACHEL FERRIER,
 5
      a Certified Shorthand Reporter, personally appeared
      SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER, called as a witness by
 7
      Defendant, who, being by me first duly sworn, was
      thereupon examined as a witness in said action.
                       APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
10
11
      For the Plaintiff and the Witness:
               ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
12
               BY: JAMES A. DiBOISE, Attorney at Law
               Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
13
               San Francisco, California 94111
               Telephone: 415.471.3281
14
               Email:
                       james.diboise@aporter.com
15
      For the Defendant:
16
               ROPES & GRAY
               BY: JAMES R. BATCHELDER, Attorney at Law
17
               1900 University Ave, 6th Floor
               East Palo Alto, California 94303
18
               Telephone: 650.617.4018
19
               Email:
                       james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
20
21
      ALSO PRESENT: PETER HIBDON, Videographer
22
                               ---000---
23
24
25
```

	Page 6	) ]
1	EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA	
2	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2012	
3	9:33 A.M.	
4	00	
5	PROCEEDINGS	09:34:28
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.	
7	Here begins Disc 1 in the deposition of Scott	
8	Sander in the matter regarding in the matter of	
9	Sightsound Technologies, LLC, versus Apple Inc.	
10	Today's date is December 18th, 2012, and the	09:34:39
11	time is 9:33 a.m.	
12	My name is Peter Hibdon, and the court reporter	
13	is Rachel Ferrier of Merrill Court Reporting.	
14	Counsel, please identify yourselves and state	
15	whom you represent.	09:34:53
16	MR. BATCHELDER: My name is James Batchelder	
17	from the law firm of Ropes & Gray on behalf of	
18	defendant, Apple.	
19	MR. DiBOISE: James DiBoise. I represent the	
20	plaintiff and the witness.	09:35:02
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	
22	Would the court reporter swear in the witness.	
23	000	
24	//	
25	//	
		_

	1490	-
1	SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER	
2		
3	called as a witness, having been first duly	
4	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	
5	000	09:27:22
6	EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Would you please state your full name for the	
9	record.	
10	A Scott Christopher Sander.	09:35:17
11	Q And what is your home address?	
12	A 851 Valley View Road.	
13	Q What is your business address?	
14	A 311 South Craig Street, Pittsburgh,	
15	Pennsylvania.	09:35:27
16	Q Mr. Sanders, if there's anything that I say or	
17	ask you today that confuses you in any way, will you	
18	please say so?	
19	A I will.	
20	Q Thank you.	09:35:35
21	I'm likely to use in this deposition the word	
22	"communicate" or various forms of that word,	
23	"communication," "communicating," etc. I just want to	
24	be clear at the outset by that by those terms, I'm	
25	referring to communications in their broadest sense,	09:35:53
1		

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		1
1	whether oral, written, electronic, or otherwise.	
2	Does that make sense to you?	
3	A I understand.	
4	Q Also, I understand there have been several	
5	entities that used the name or word "SightSound," and I	09:36:04
6	want to be clear that if I intend a question to be	
7	directed to a particular SightSound entity, then I will	
8	call that out in the question, but, otherwise, I'll use	
9	the term "SightSound" to refer to any and all SightSound	
10	entities.	09:36:24
11	Does that make sense to you?	
12	A I understand.	
13	Q Okay. And and if your answer to any	
14	question is particular to a given SightSound entity,	
15	would you please try to specify that in your answer so	09:36:34
16	we have a clear record?	
17	A Yes.	
18	MR. BATCHELDER: Thank you.	
19	(Exhibit 178 was marked for identification by	
20	the Court Reporter.)	09:36:38
21	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked as Exhibits 2	
22	through 4 in this deposition the patents-in-suit in this	
23	matter oh, I'm sorry.	
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
25	MR. BATCHELDER: Yeah, 178, 179, and 180. So	09:36:59

	rage	, <b>1</b>
1	178 is Patent No. 5,191,573.	
2		
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Okay. And	09:37:25
5	MR. DiBOISE: Can you hold on a second. We're	09:37:25
6	not scrolling. Thank you.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q So to the extent we need to refer to that	
9	patent during the course of the deposition, would you	
10	understand if I refer to it as the "'573 patent"?	09:37:57
11	A Yes.	
12	(Exhibit 179 was marked for identification by	
13	the Court Reporter.)	
14	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. And we have also	
15	marked, as Exhibit 179, Patent No. 5,675,734.	09:38:03
16	Q Do you have that before you?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And can we refer to that as the "'734 patent"?	
19	A Yes.	
20	(Exhibit 180 was marked for identification by	09:38:17
21	the Court Reporter.)	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: And we have marked, as	
23	Exhibit 180, Patent No. 5,966,440.	
24	Q Do you have that before you?	
25	A Yes.	09:38:24
		1

	rage 10	1
1	Q And can we refer to that as the '440 patent?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And, collectively, can we refer to those three	
4	patents as "the patents-in-suit"?	
5	A Yes.	09:38:33
6	(Exhibit 177 was marked for identification by	
7	the Court Reporter.)	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: I also had marked, as	
9	Exhibit 177, Defendant Apple Inc.'s Notice of 30(b)(6)	
10	Deposition to Plaintiff SightSound Technologies, LLC.	09:38:48
11	Q Do you have that before you?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Have you seen that document before?	
14	A I don't I don't know.	
15	Q Is it familiar to you?	09:39:01
16	A No.	
17	Q If I could ask you, within that Rule 30(b)(6)	
18	Deposition Notice that is Exhibit 177, to turn to	
19	Schedule A, which lays out a set of 32 topics.	
20	Have you seen this list of topics before?	09:39:40
21	A I don't believe I have.	
22	Q Do you understand that you have been designated	
23	to testify today on SightSound's behalf as to any of	
24	these topics?	
25	A Yes.	09:39:58

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 11	- 1
1	Q Which ones?	
2	A 7, 8, and 12. 7, 8, and 12.	
3	Q As between you and Mr. Hair, who is more	
4	knowledgeable about Topic 7?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:40:34
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. I	
7	couldn't I couldn't speculate.	
8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
9	Q So it might be you; it might be him?	
10	A Perhaps.	09:40:45
11	Q As to Topic 8, who is more knowledgeable, you	
12	or Mr. Hair?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: I I can't I can't	
15	determine.	09:40:55
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Might be you; might be him?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q As to Topic 12, who is more knowledgeable, you	
20	or Mr. Hair?	09:41:01
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Might be you; might be him?	
25	A Yes.	09:41:04

		ŧ
1	Q To prepare to testify today on SightSound's	
2	behalf on Topics 7, 8, and 12, did you meet with	
3	counsel?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Did counsel provide you with any information in	09:41:20
6	those meetings that refreshed your recollection	
7	regarding any information responsive to those topics?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: No. I no.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:41:39
11	Q Aside from meeting with counsel, what did you	
12	do to prepare to testify on SightSound's behalf today	
13	regarding Topic 7?	
14	A I did nothing extraordinary to prepare.	
15	Q Whether or not it was extraordinary, did you do	09:41:51
16	anything?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Aside from meeting with counsel, what did you	
19	do to prepare today to testify or excuse me.	
20	Aside from meeting with counsel, what did you	09:42:02
21	do to prepare to testify today on SightSound's behalf	
22	regarding Topic 8?	
23	A Nothing.	
24	Q Aside from meeting with counsel, what did you	
25	do to prepare to testify today on SightSound's behalf	09:42:15

Γ		rage 13	1
1.	regarding	Topic 12?	
2	A	Nothing.	
3	Q	How long did you meet with counsel to prepare	
4	to testif	y today on SightSound's behalf regarding	
5	Topic 7,	8, and 12?	09:42:29
6	А	Couple of hours.	
7	Q	Have you read any depositions that have been	
8	taken in	this matter?	
9	A	In this matter? No.	
10	Q	No?	09:42:52
11		Have you read any depositions taken in any	
12	other mat	ter?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	Which ones?	
15	A	My own, in the matter of N2K.	09:43:00
16		MR. BATCHELDER: Why don't we go ahead and mark	
17	that next	in order, please.	
18		When you mark it, would you call out the number	
19	for me.		
20		THE REPORTER: 181.	09:43:34
21		MR. BATCHELDER: Thank you.	
22		MR. DiBOISE: 81?	
23		THE REPORTER: 181.	
24		(Exhibits 181 and 182 were marked for	
25		identification by the Court Reporter.)	09:43:53

	rage 11	
1	MR. BATCHELDER: So I've had marked, as	
2	Exhibits 181 and 182, two volumes of your deposition in	
3	the N2K litigation.	
4	Q Are these the transcripts you were just	
5	referring to?	09:44:12
6	A Yes, I believe so.	
7	Q Okay.	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And you have read both of these transcripts?	
10	A 11 years ago.	09:44:22
11	Q Have you read them since?	
12	A No. I scanned them.	
13	Q When did you scan them?	
14	A Yesterday.	
15	Q Other than scanning them yesterday and reading	09:44:34
16	them 11 years ago, have you read or scanned these	
17	transcripts?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Are you aware of any inaccuracies in your	
20	testimony in in Exhibits 181 and 182?	09:44:48
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Well, the question is whether, as you sit here,	
25	you are aware?	09:44:56

_			
	1	A As I sit here today, am I aware of inaccuracies	
	2	of something that I read 11 years ago? No. I mean, I	
	3	don't know.	
	4	MR. DiBOISE: So now I'm objecting to your	
	5	questions.	09:45:15
	6	Wait for a question.	
	7	-	
		BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q So we have a clear record, the question is: As	
	9	to Exhibits 181 and 182, as you sit here today, are you	00 45 05
	10	aware of any inaccuracies in the testimony provided in	09:45:25
	11	those two exhibits?	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	13	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
	14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	15	Q Well, either you are or you're not are	09:45:41
	16	you either you are or you are not aware of	
	17	inaccuracies.	
	18	Are you or are you not?	
	19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	20	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know if it's an	09:45:49
	21	inaccuracy I don't think I understand the question.	
	22	Are you saying is everything in here accurate? I don't	
	23	know.	
	24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	25	Q The question is: Are you aware of anything in	09:46:06
- 1			1

1	Exhibit 181 and 182 that you testified to that, as you	
2	sit here today, you believe is inaccurate?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:46:22
6	Q Why don't you know?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: It was 11 years ago.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q When you scanned them recently, did you notice	09:46:44
11	any inaccuracies?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think so.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Other than Exhibits 181 and 182, have you read	09:46:57
16	any other depositions?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Your deposition was taken earlier in this case.	
19	Have you read over that transcript?	
20	A No, I have not.	09:47:16
21	Q Have you read any of the testimony of Mr. Hair?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Mr. LePore?	
24	A No.	
25	Q How much time have have you taken strike	09:47:49

		7
1	that, please.	
2	How much time have you spent reading the	
3	patents-in-suit?	
4	A I suppose the time necessary to review them	
5	back in 1993 and '7 and you know, when they issued.	09:48:09
6	Q Can you give me your best estimate of how much	
7	time that was?	
8	A I don't recall how much time it would have	
9	taken.	
10	Q Can you give me an estimate?	09:48:28
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't recall.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Have you spent more than 10 hours reading the	
15	patents-in-suit?	09:48:46
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: I I don't think so.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Have you spent more than five hours reading the	
20	patents-in-suit?	09:48:57
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Have you ever read any of the prosecution	
25	histories for any of the patents-in-suit?	09:49:05

1	А	I don't what do you mean by "prosecution	
2	history	·"?	
3	Q	The back-and-forth between applicant and the	
4	Patent	Office in connection with securing a patent.	
5	А	I don't believe I have.	09:49:22
6	Q	You've never read any portion of the	
7	prosecu	tion history of any of the patents-in-suit?	
8	А	I I may have, but I really don't that was	
9	not my	area of focus.	
10	Q	As you sit here today, you don't remember doing	09:49:33
11	so?		
12	А	I do not.	
13	Q	Is Arthur Hair your best friend?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	How long has that been true?	09:49:41
16	А	I'd say 1976 until today, so someone could do	
17	the mat	h.	
18	Q	And and how old were you in 1976?	
19	А	16.	
20	Q	So he's your he's been your best friend	09:50:00
21	since y	you were 16 years old?	
22	А	Yes, that's correct.	
23	Q	Where are you currently employed?	
24	А	Pense Productions and SightSound Technologies,	
25	LLC.		09:50:26

	Page 19	<b>!</b>
1	Q Has your employment status changed in any way	
2	since you were last deposed in this matter?	
3	A I don't believe so.	
4	Q Same title, same responsibilities?	
5	A Yes.	09:50:37
6	Q What are your current sources of income?	
7	A SightSound Technologies, LLC, and Pense	
8	Productions.	
9	Q Anything else?	
10	A No.	09:50:51
11	Q And what is your current salary from SightSound	
12	Technologies, LLC?	
13	A I I don't receive a salary, per se. I have	
14	a there is a consulting arrangement that provides me	
15	a fee.	09:51:13
16	Q What is that fee?	
17	A It's approximately 13 I think it's \$13,500 a	
18	month.	
19	Q And do you receive a salary from Pense	
20	Productions?	09:51:33
21	A Not not really. It depends. It's my	
22	company, so I'm an entrepreneur, so I sometimes I pay	
23	myself; sometimes I don't, depending on what what's	
24	happening with the business.	
25	Q And how much do you anticipate Pense	09:51:47

	rage 20	1
1	Productions will have paid you for 2012 by early 2013?	
2	A For calendar year 2012?	
3	Q Yes.	
4	A I suppose around 200 around \$200,000.	
5	Q How many employees does Pense Productions have?	09:52:22
6	A It it's variable because it's a motion	
7	picture production company, so it expands and con	
8	contracts based on whether we are doing a project.	
9	Q How many does it have today?	
10	A Five.	09:52:35
11	Q Do you receive in 2012, have you received	
12	any consulting from any entities other than Pense or	
13	SightSound?	
14	A No.	
15	Q What is your current equity stake in	09:52:55
16	SightSound?	
17	A Well, I have	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: Could you clarify? The	
20	SightSound I own a small portion of SightSound	09:53:22
21	Technologies Holdings, LLC, which owns one half of	
22	SightSound Technologies, LLC.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q And what is your stake in SightSound Holdings?	
25	A Approximately three and a half percent.	09:53:39

		1
1	Q And do you have an ownership stake in any other	
2	SightSound entity?	
3		
4	Q Do you have any outstanding loans to any	09:54:03
5	SightSound entity?	09:54:05
6	A I don't believe I do. Well, I stand corrected.	
7	Monthly travel expenses incurred, or briefly. I guess	
8	one could express it as a debt that the company owes me,	
9	so like	
10	MR. DiBOISE: I think you meant the other way	09:54:22
11	around. Have you loaned SightSound any money?	
12	THE WITNESS: Have I loaned SightSound any	
13	money?	
14	MR. BATCHELDER: That's what I meant.	
15	THE WITNESS: No.	09:54:32
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Have you at any time loaned SightSound money?	
18	A I can't recall.	
19	Q And when you referred earlier to having a	
20	three-and-a-half-percent stake, is that your personal	09:54:39
21	stake or the stake of some trust or a combination?	
22	A My personal stake.	
23	Q Okay. And is there a a trust that bears the	
24	Sander name?	
25	A Yes.	09:54:53
1		

	rage 22	
1	Q And does that trust have a separate stake in	
2	some SightSound entity?	
3	A It does.	
4	Q What's the name of that trust?	
5	A Sander Children's Trust.	09:55:00
6	Q And what stake does Sander Children's Trust	
7	have in a SightSound entity?	
8	A About 7/10ths of a percent.	
9	Q And in which entity? SightSound Holdings?	
10	A Yes.	09:55:20
11	Q Okay. So aside from your	
12	three-and-a-half-percent stake and the 7/10ths stake of	
13	SightSound Children's Trust, is there any other stake in	
14	any SightSound entity with which you are somehow	
15	affiliated?	09:55:34
16	A Yes.	
17	Q What is that?	
18	A Pense Productions.	
19	Q And could you explain that connection?	
20	A Pense Productions owns approximately a little	09:55:42
21	more than 2 percent of Holdings.	
22	Q And do you own Pense?	
23	A A portion of it.	
24	Q What portion?	
25	A Approximately 60 percent.	09:56:03
1		

	rage 23	•
1	Q How much of your own money have you invested in	
2	SightSound over time?	
3	A Of my own money?	
4	Q Yes.	
5	A I was not a cash investor. I invested my time	09:56:26
6	and effort well, that that's not I think it did	
7	vary, the formation of the very first entity, the	
8	predecessor entity. I wrote a check of some kind, but	
9	my investment was sweat equity, as what they call it.	
10	Q What was that check for?	09:57:02
11	A I can't recall as I sit here today. It was,	
12	perhaps, 500 bucks.	
13	Q And did that money get paid back to you?	
14	A No.	
15	Q But I understand your point about sweat	09:57:18
16	equity, but	
17	A Thank you.	
18	Q in terms of money contribution, other than	
19	that \$500, you never provided any money to SightSound as	
20	an investment?	09:57:35
21	A As an investment of cash, no.	
22	Q For a given dollar awarded to SightSound in	
23	this litigation, how much would go to you?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: As I sit here now, I couldn't	09:57:56

1	calculate it precisely because there are liabilities	
2	that the company has, etc., and other people that stand	
3	in a preferential position.	
	*	i
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	00 50 15
5	Q Can you even estimate it?	09:58:15
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Would you, please.	
8	A The percentages I just expressed to you, if you	
9	summed them up, and then that would represent less than	
10	half of what would be distributed, as you put it, for a	09:58:36
11	given dollar, and it depends greatly on the size of	
12	of the actual award or whatever financial transaction	
13	would take place, so it moved those ratios move as	
14	the numbers increase or decrease.	
15	Q You began your answer by saying that the	09:58:59
16	percentages that you just expressed, if I summed them	
17	up, they would represent less than half of what would be	
18	distributed.	
19	Is that what you meant?	
20	A No. Allow me to clarify. Those percentages	09:59:15
21	are my ownership or related-entity ownership in one half	
22	of SightSound Technologies Hol SightSound	1
23	Technologies, LLC. So if a dollar came in, half of it	
24	would go to another entity, other expenses and	
25	preferences would be paid, and then that remaining	09:59:40
1		

```
portion one could apply the percentages that I gave you
1
      before to that. So the -- the enumerator would be less
 2
      than half of that dollar that comes in, and then those
 3
      percentages could be applied to it.
                                                                 10:00:08
               If SightSound were awarded the damages that
      it's seeking in this litigation, how would that affect
 6
      your personal finances?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection; may call for legal
 8
      conclusion.
9
                                                                 10:00:22
10
               THE WITNESS: If SightSound were awarded the
      damages it's seeking in this litigation, how would it
11
12
      affect my personal finances?
               MR. BATCHELDER: That's the question.
13
               THE WITNESS: It would affect them favorably.
14
                                                                 10:00:42
15
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
16
               Is it fair to say that you would become a very
      wealthy man?
17
18
           Α
               I don't --
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
19
                                                                 10:00:53
20
               THE WITNESS: It depends on your definition of
21
      wealthy.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
22
23
               Using your definition of wealthy, can you
24
      answer the question?
                                                                 10:01:11
25
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
```

_		Tage 20	1
	1	THE WITNESS: Certainly not by Silicon Valley	
	2	standards.	
	3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	4	Q How much money do you think Apple should pay	
	5	SightSound in this litigation?	10:01:32
	6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	7	THE WITNESS: I don't know. That's a job for	
	8	our damages experts, and that's not my responsibility.	
	9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	10	Q Can you round it to the nearest \$100 million?	10:01:48
	11	A No.	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	14	Q No?	
	15	A No, I don't believe I can.	10:01:54
	16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	18	Q Can you round it to the nearest billion	
	19	dollars?	
	20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:01:58
	21	THE WITNESS: As I said, that's not my	
	22	responsibility to determine damages in this case.	
	23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	24	Q So you can't round it to the nearest billion	
	25	dollars?	10:02:08

```
1
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection. Object.
2
               Go ahead.
3
               THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe I can.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               Do you recall an initial communication between
                                                                 10:02:28
      you and Mr. Hair in which he described what SightSound
6
      is calling in this litigation his invention?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
8
               THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the question
                                                                 10:02:52
      in a -- in a less offensive manner?
10
11
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               What -- what do you find offensive?
12
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
13
               THE WITNESS: Your dismissive use of the term
14
                                                                 10:03:10
      "invention."
15
16
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
17
               Why do you characterize it as dismissive?
18
               MR. DiBOISE: Must we? Seriously.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
19
                                                                 10:03:30
20
             Please.
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
2.1
22
               THE WITNESS: Did -- did you not mean it to be
2.3
      dismissive?
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
24
                                                                 10:03:41
               SightSound is alleging in this litigation that
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
1
      Mr. Hair invented something; correct?
               Yes.
 3
               My question is: Do you recall an initial
           Q
      conversation with Mr. Hair about that subject matter?
                                                                 10:03:53
 5
           Α
               Yes.
               When was that conversation?
           Q
           A Back in the '80s.
               Can you be more precise?
           Q
               I don't remember exactly or precisely, no.
           A
                                                                 10:04:07
               Was it in person?
10
           Q
11
           Α
               No.
12
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
13
               THE WITNESS:
                            No.
               MR. DiBOISE: Slow down.
14
                                                                 10:04:18
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
15
16
           Q
               Telephone?
17
           Α
               Yes, I believe it was.
18
           0
               But you are not sure?
               I believe it was a telephone call.
19
           A
                                                                 10:04:27
               Are you certain that it was a telephone call?
20
           0
21
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
22
               THE WITNESS: As I sit here today, that was
23
      many, many years ago, but I believe it was a telephone
24
      call.
                                                                 10:04:37
25
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco
www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
But you are not certain?
1
           Q
2
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
 3
               THE WITNESS: I think so. I'm certain is a --
      is a high standard to use for something that happened
                                                                 10:04:49
      decades ago.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               How long was the conversation?
              I don't recall.
           Α
               Can you give me your best estimate?
                                                                 10:04:55
              I don't recall.
10
               Could it have been as short as one minute?
11
12
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: I find that -- I don't -- I doubt
13
14
      that.
                                                                  10:05:06
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
15
16
               Could it have been as short as five minutes?
17
               MR. DiBOISE:
                             Objection.
18
               THE WITNESS:
                              Perhaps.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
19
                                                                  10:05:17
20
               Perhaps?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
2.1
               THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
22
23
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               And did Mr. Hair say he had this idea when he
24
                                                                  10:05:33
      was at a party?
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 30	) <b>7</b>
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I believe so.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Do you know anything about that party?	
5	A No.	10:05:49
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Do you have any reason to think that he had	
9	anything to drink before he had his idea?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:06:00
11	THE WITNESS: You would have to ask Mr. Hair.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q The question is whether you have any reason to	
14	believe that one way or the other?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:06:10
16	THE WITNESS: I would if I had to speculate,	
17	I would say not not likely or or not significant.	
18	Art's not a big drinker.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q And do you have any reason to believe that he	10:06:27
21	would have ingested any other mind-altering substances	
22	before having his idea?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:06:36
T .		

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

www.merrillcorp.com/law

	9	
1	Q Do you have any reason to believe that at that	
2	party, he told anyone, any of the party guests or hosts,	
3	about the idea that he had at the party?	
 4	A I don't know.	
5	Q How soon after the party did you have your	10:06:53
6	conversation with him?	
7	A I don't know.	
8	Q Could it have been weeks later?	
9	A I don't know.	
10	Q Did you know at one point?	10:07:07
11	A I can't recall if I knew at one point the	
12	distance between the party and the what I recall, I	
13	believe, was a phone call. No, I don't. Perhaps I did.	
14	I don't know, as I sit here today, whether I knew the	
15	linkage.	10:07:32
16	Q Would you please recount for me, in as much	
17	detail as you do remember, what Mr. Hair said about his	
18	idea.	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: Recount for you? I generally	10:07:47
21	recall that he felt that it was going to revolutionize	
22	the distribution and sale of music and movies.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Do you recall anything else about that	
25	conversation?	10:08:19

	1 age 32	· _
1	A I believe he suggested to me not to buy a CD	
2	player.	
3	Q Do you recall anything else about that	
4	conversation?	
5	A No	10:08:35
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: not as I sit here today.	
8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
9	Q Did he provide you any detail about how the	
10	system that he had envisioned would work?	10:08:55
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Did he tell you that he envisioned a system for	
15	distribution of music or movies?	10:09:10
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't specifically recall.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q So other than telling you, "Scott, don't buy a	
20	CD player," and, "I've had an idea that will	10:09:31
21	revolutionize the distribution and sale of music and	
22	movies," did he give you any other information or detail	
23	about what his idea was?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	10:09:42

	rage 3	<u>-</u>
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q When did you next speak with him about his	
3	idea, the one that he had imparted to you that he had	
4	had at that party?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:10:01
6	THE WITNESS: It must have I don't I	
7	don't recall specifically when or how many times we	
8	spoke of it in the subsequent years prior to 1993.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q I want to focus my question on after this	10:10:22
11	initial conversation that you had had with him.	
12	My question is focused on: When was the next	
13	conversation between you and Mr. Hair about his idea?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.	10:10:34
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Could it have been more than a week?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: Perhaps.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:10:41
21	Q Could it have been more than a month?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I I don't recall.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q As you sit here, do you have an understanding	10:10:57

	1	of what you believe Mr. Hair invented as compared to	
	2	what came before?	
	3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for a legal	
	4	conclusion, may call for expert testimony.	10:11:10
	5	THE WITNESS: Of what I believe? Of course I	10:11:10
	6	have understandings of what I believe.	
	7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q What do you believe Mr. Hair invented as	
	9	compared to what came before?	
:	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection. Same objections as	10:11:43
	11	previously previously stated.	
	12	THE WITNESS: I'm not a patent lawyer; I'm a	
	13	businessman, and so I think the the invention was	
	14	transformative because it ushered in the era of digital	
	15	distribution of audio and video of music and movies	10:12:24
	16	versus the analog or digital on hard-media distribution	
	17	that preceded the invention.	
	18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	19	Q I'm not sure that your answer was in line with	
	20	me question, so let me clarify why I think that concern	10:12:47
	21	exists. Your answer was about the impact and your	
	22	understanding of Mr. Hair's invention.	
	23	My question wasn't about its impact, it was,	
	24	What do you believe Mr. Hair invented as compared to	
	25	what came before?	10:13:10
1			ł

	rage 55	1
1	Can you answer that question?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: I I honestly think I just did.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	-
5	Q Again, what you answered was the impact of what	10:13:21
6	you understand his invention was, and my question is	
7	is what he invented, not what impact it had.	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: If if I could go back and read	
10	my answer, we could perhaps exercise the impactful	10:13:41
11	words, but I believe I've answered the question.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Well, you are welcome to go back and read the	
14	answer if you like, but what you said was, "I'm not a	
15	patent lawyer; I'm a businessman, and so I think the	10:14:04
16	invention was transformative because it ushered in the	
17	era of digital distribution of audio and video of	
18	music and movies versus the analog or digital on	
19	hard-media distribution that preceded the invention."	
20	So, again, my point is that you talked about	10:14:26
21	how it was transformative because it ushered something	
22	in, and my question was simply: What did he invent as	
23	compared to what came before?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection all the for the same	
25	reasons I previously stated.	10:14:40

Г			
	1	THE WITNESS: I believe the patents disclosed	
	2	the invention, and as I said, I'm not a patent lawyer,	
	3	so my observations are my own observations, and so	
	4	perhaps that's where the impactfulness of the invention	
	5	comes, but I'm not the patent lawyer to describe the	10:15:17
	6	patented invention. That's that was up to the Patent	
	7	and Trademark Office and the inventor, Mr. Hair.	
	8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	9	Q You have, over the course of your duties at	
	10	SightSound over many years, described the patented	10:15:35
	11	invention to third parties and investors, haven't you?	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	13	THE WITNESS: I've described our business.	
	14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	15	Q Have you described the patented invention?	10:15:45
	16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	18	Q Or your understanding of it?	
	19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	20	THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? Perhaps,	10:15:53
	21	yes.	
	22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	23	Q Okay. So what is your understanding of the	
	24	patented invention as you described it to investors over	
	25	time?	10:16:03

1	A As I described it to investors over time, I	
2	generally would characterize it as a method for selling	
3	a desired digital audio or digital video signal over	
4	networks versus the old way of distributing hard media	
5	on trucks through stores.	10:16:33
6	MR. BATCHELDER: Mark that next, please.	
7	(Exhibit 183 was marked for identification by	
8	the Court Reporter.)	
9	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
10	Exhibit 183, a document that appears to be an e-mail,	10:17:51
11	and then there's a facsimile transmission sheet	
12	accompanying it. The document is Bates-stamped	
13	SST-31547 through 550.	
14	Q If I could ask you to turn to the the back	
15	page, page 31550, it appears to be an e-mail from a John	10:18:17
16	Reynolds to Scott Sander.	
17	Do you see that?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And, first of all, it appears to be you see	
20	the name Missy Gralish up in the upper left-hand corner?	10:18:42
21	A Yes, I do.	
22	Q Who is that?	
23	A She was an executive assistant at the time.	
24	Q To you?	
25	A Well, we had a small company, so she served	10:18:53

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
1
      multiple people.
           Q
               Including you?
 3
           A
               Yes.
               Okay. And does her name in the upper left-hand
      corner indicate to you that she printed out this e-mail? | 10:19:03
               Yeah, I suppose she did.
               Okay. The e-mail itself from John Reynolds to
 7
      you, do you recognize this e-mail?
 8
               Not as I sit here today, no. This is back in,
           Α
                                                                 10:19:23
      what, 1999?
10
               Do you have any reason to doubt that you
11
      received this e-mail on or about August 6th, 1999?
12
               No. Well, I have no doubt that Missy Gralish
13
      received this e-mail on or about August 29th of 1999.
14
                                                                 10:19:42
               But it -- it was sent to you, Scott Sander.
15
16
               Do you see that?
17
           Α
              Yes.
               And you have no reason to doubt that it was,
18
      indeed, sent to you and appeared in your e-mail inbox;
19
                                                                 10:19:55
20
      correct?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
21
               THE WITNESS: I -- I can't recall.
22
23
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
24
               The question is: Do you have any reason to
                                                                 10:20:02
25
      doubt it?
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Tage 37	1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I doubt that I ever, ever saw	
3	this? I don't know.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q The the question is different. The question	10:20:13
6	is whether you have a reason to doubt that it appeared	
7	in your e-mail inbox	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q in August 1999?	10:20:20
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q In the first paragraph of this e-mail, this	
15	man, John Reynolds, writes to you I'm looking at the	10:20:39
16	second sentence. He says, In 1981, a former Stanford	
17	GSP classmate and I briefly pursued a concept we called	
18	"home jukebox." The idea was similar yours.	:
19	Do you see that?	
20	A I see that.	10:21:02
21	Q And he says, We would use the existing cable	
22	network to deliver songs on demand to a turntableless	
23	player at a subscriber's residence from a library stored	
24	centrally. Downloads would be initiated by a dial-up	
25	connection.	10:21:21

	Page 40	' 1
-	Decree that 0	
1	Do you see that?	
2	A I do.	
3	Q You do you have any reason to doubt the truth	
4	of those words that I just read to you from	
5	Mr. Reynolds?	10:21:29
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I have no idea whether that was a	
8	true statement or not.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Did you respond to him?	10:21:35
11	A I don't recall.	
12	Q Did you follow up with him in any way?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: Not that I I don't recall.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:21:48
16	Q Was this document or anything about the home	
17	jukebox disclosed to the Patent and Trademark Office	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q in connection with the prosecution of any of	10:22:01
21	the patents-in-suit?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that the question	
23	may require you to reveal any discussions with counsel,	
24	I would caution you not to do so in answer to counsel's	
25	question.	10:22:14
	•	

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Tage 41	
1	Do you understand my instruction?	
2	THE WITNESS: Say it again.	
3	MR. DiBOISE: If your answer to that question	
4	would require you to reveal any discussions you had with	
5	counsel for SightSound or any of the entities of	10:22:25
6	SightSound related to the patent, I would caution you	
7	not to reveal those communications in answer to the	
8	question.	
9	Now, do you understand my ques my	
10	instruction?	10:22:39
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Okay. Can you answer the	
13	question?	
14	MR. BATCHELDER: In light of that instruction,	
15	let me ask you a "yes" or "no" question.	10:22:45
16	Q In connection with the prosecution of any of	
17	the patents-in-suit, "yes" or "no," was this document,	
18	Exhibit 183, or any portion of it, disclosed to the	
19	Patent and Trademark Office?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: So same instruction. If you can	10:23:03
21	answer it without revealing any communications you had	
22	with counsel, go ahead.	
23	THE WITNESS: I can because I don't know.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q If what Mr. Reynolds describes about his home	10:23:23

		2 3 3 5	
	1	jukebox idea in 1981 is an accurate factually	
	2	accurate description, in your understanding, then, what	
	3	did Mr. Hair invent that Mr. Reynolds had not thought of	
	4	in 1981?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; incomplete	10:23:42
	6	hypothetical, may call for expert testimony.	
	7	You can answer if you have any idea what he's	
	8	asking.	
	9	THE WITNESS: As I said, I'm not a patent	
	10	lawyer, so I don't know.	10:23:52
	11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	12	Q Mr. Hair did not invent computers; correct?	
	13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for expert	
	14	testimony.	
	15	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, no.	10:24:13
	16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	17	Q Mr. Hair did not invent computer networks;	
	18	correct?	
	19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for expert	
ŀ	20	testimony, may call for speculation.	10:24:22
	21	THE WITNESS: Mr. Hair invent networks, is that	
	22	the question?	
	23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	24	Q Did Mr. Hair invent computer networks?	
	25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection. Same objections.	10:24:43

	rage 4.	, <b>,</b>
1	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't believe	
2	so.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Mr. Hair did not invent the Internet; correct?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Same objections.	10:24:48
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Mr. Hair did not invent telephone lines;	
9	correct?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:24:57
11	THE WITNESS: No.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q To be clear, when you are answering "no"	
14	A I understand your question, and the "no" to	
15	your question, Mr. Hair did not invent a telephone	10:25:13
16	the telephone line.	
17	Q Okay. So so we have a clear record, if I	
18	ask you a question and end with the word "correct," if	
19	you mean to agree with me, if could you just say	
20	"correct," I think that would lead to a clearer record.	10:25:29
21	Is that okay?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Would you do your best to do that?	
25	A I'll try.	10:25:35

		1
1	Q Thank you.	
2	Mr. Hair did not invent telecommunication	
3	lines; correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:25:40
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Mr. Hair did not invent using a	
8	telecommunications line to electronically connect the	
9	memories of two devices; correct?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:25:53
11	THE WITNESS: I I don't know.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Mr. Hair did not invent sending digital signals	
14	over a network; correct?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:26:07
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Mr. Hair did not invent sending digital signals	
19	over a telecommunications line; correct?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:26:21
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q Mr. Hair did not invent storing digital signals	
24	that had been transmitted over telecommunications line;	
25	correct?	10:26:34

	rage 40	, 1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Digital audio signals are a type of digital	
5	data; correct?	10:26:40
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I'm not a patent	
8	lawyer.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Do you know whether digital audio signals are a	10:27:01
11	type of digital data?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: And I'm not an engineer.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Do you know the answer to my question?	10:27:16
16	MR. DiBOISE: Asked and answered; objection.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Do you know the answer to my question?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I believe I've answered your	10:27:34
21	question.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q My question is: Digital audio signals are	
24	not are a type of digital data; correct?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:27:43

	Tage 1	_
1	THE WITNESS: The terms used are used	
2	differently by I believe, by engineers, patent	
3	lawyers, and that's it is my understanding that that	
4	is why there is a claim construction portion of a case	
5	such as this.	10:28:10
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q If what you are telling me is you don't know	
8	the answer, then that's perfectly fine	
9	A Yeah, I don't know.	
10	Q you just need	10:28:21
11	A Yeah, I don't know.	
12	Q Okay.	
13	A Although, I told it to you three times.	
14	Q Mr. Hair did not invent encryption; correct?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:28:27
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Mr. Hair did not invent encryption of digital	
19	data; correct?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:28:36
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q Mr. Hair did not invent encryption of digital	
24	signals; correct?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:28:41

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

_		rage 47	
	1	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
	2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	3	Q Mr. Hair did not invent encryption of digital	
	4	signals sent over a telecommunications line; correct?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:28:49
	6	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
	7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q Mr. Hair did not invent electronic credit card	
	9	payment; correct?	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:28:58
	11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
	12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	13	Q Mr. Hair did not invent e-commerce; correct?	
	14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:04
	16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	17	Q Mr. Hair did not invent electronic transmission	
	18	of digital data; correct?	
	19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:20
	21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	22	Q Mr. Hair did not invent electronic sale of	
	23	digital data; correct?	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:26

	rage 40	) <b>-</b>
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Mr. Hair did not invent paying electronically	
3	for digital signals sent over a network; correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:34
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Mr. Hair didn't invent digital audio signals;	
8	correct?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:44
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Mr. Hair did not invent digital video signals;	
13	correct?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:49
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Mr. Hair did not invent storing digital audio	
18	signals in memory; correct?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:29:57
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Mr. Hair did not invent storing digital video	
23	signals in memory; correct?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:06

	Page 49	) <b>1</b>
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Mr. Hair did not invent storing digital audio	
3	signals in nonvolatile memory; correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:15
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Mr. Hair did not invent playing digital audio	
8	signals; correct?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:21
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Mr. Hair did not invent playing digital video	
13	signals; correct?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:28
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Mr. Hair didn't invent transferring money	
18	electrically; correct?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:44
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Mr. Hair did not invent charging a fee via	
23	telecommunications lines; correct?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:51

	Page 50	, <b>1</b>
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Mr. Hair did not invent charging a party's	
3	account via telecommunications lines; correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:30:59
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Mr. Hair did not invent transmitting digital	
8	data between two memories; correct?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:31:06
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Mr. Hair didn't invent selling digital audio	
13	signals; correct?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:31:36
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Mr. Hair didn't invent selling digital video	
18	signals; correct?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:31:42
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Mr. Hair did not invent selling digital	
23	signals; correct?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:31:50

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

www.merrillcorp.com/law

	rage of	<del>-</del>
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Mr. Hair did not invent selling digital data;	
3	correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Asked and answered; objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:31:57
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Mr. Hair did not invent electronic sales;	
8	correct?	
9	A I don't know.	
10	Q Mr. Hair did not invent a new way to transfer	10:32:03
11	signals; correct?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q We discussed earlier this party that Mr. Hair	10:32:27
16	went to where he had the idea that gave rise to the	
17	patents-in-suit.	
18	Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
19	selling music; correct?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:32:39
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
24	selling digital music; correct?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:33:02
1		I

	rage 32	-
1	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
4	selling digital video; correct?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:33:13
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people knew	
9	it would be desirable to sell music electronically;	
10	correct?	10:33:22
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.	# 
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people knew	
15	it would be desirable to sell video electronically;	10:33:28
16	correct?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people knew	10:33:33
21	it would be desirable to allow all electronic purchases	
22	and transfers of digital audio; correct?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:33:44

	3	
1	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people knew	
2	that purchasing of digital music via electronic	
3	distribution directly to the home would be desirable;	
4	correct?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:33:55
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
9	storing digital audio signals in nonvolatile memory;	
10	correct?	10:34:09
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
15	storing digital video signals in nonvolatile memory;	10:34:14
16	correct?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	10:34:20
21	transmitting digital audio signals via telecommunication	
22	lines; correct?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:34:28

1	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
1		
2	transmitting digital signals via telecommunication	
3	lines; correct?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:34:38
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
8	electronically selling digital signals via	
9	telecommunication lines; correct?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:34:45
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	
14	connecting two memories via telecommunication lines	
15	where the two memories were remote from one another;	10:34:57
16	correct?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, people were	10:35:02
21	transmitting digital signals between two remote memories	
22	via telecommunication lines; correct?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:35:12
1		1

_			1
	1	Q Have you done anything to determine whether,	
	2	before Mr. Hair went to his party in 1988, others had	
	3	realized that it would be desirable to sell downloaded	
	4	music or downloaded video over computer networks?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:35:30
	6	THE WITNESS: I can't not as I sit here	
	7	today, I can't recall doing I don't believe so.	
	8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	9	Q If I could ask you to turn to Exhibit 68 before	
	10	you, which is the '573 patent. It's also been marked	10:35:58
	11	here as Exhibit 178. Just ask you to turn to the first	·
	12	claim of the patent, which is in column 6.	
	13	A Okay.	
	14	Q So this patent claim has a preamble that are	
	15	using the words "comprising the steps of."	10:36:40
	16	Do you see that?	
	17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q And then there are four indented claim	10:36:53
	21	elements; one beginning with "transferring," one	
	22	beginning with "connecting," another beginning with	
	23	transferring, and then the final one beginning with	
	24	"storing."	
	25	Do you see those four claim elements?	10:37:07
	2 J	Do you see those rour craim crements.	
- [			l .

	Page 56	' 1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: No.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q You don't?	
5	A I don't.	10:37:11
6	Q Where are we disconnected?	
7	A The third term you used is not consistent with	
8	what it says here.	
9	Q I may have misspoken, so let me do it again.	
10	In claim 1 of the '573 patent, underneath the	10:37:29
11	preamble, there are four claim elements; the first	
12	beginning with "transferring," the second beginning with	
13	"connecting," the third beginning with "transmitting,"	
14	and the fourth beginning with "storing."	
15	Do you see those?	10:37:44
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Starting with the preamble, do you see anything	
20	in that preamble that you believe had not occurred to	10:37:54
21	someone else before Mr. Hair went to his party?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Calls for speculation.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Looking underneath the preamble to that first	10:38:15

	2	7
1	element of claim 1, do you see anything there that you	
2	believe had not occurred to someone else before Mr. Hair	
3	went to his party?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know.	10:38:34
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Turning to the second element, the one	
8	beginning with "connecting," do you see anything there	
9	that you believe had not occurred to someone else before	
10	Mr. Hair went to his party?	10:38:45
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Turning to the third element, the one beginning	
15	with the word "transmitting"	10:38:54
16	(Telephonic interruption.)	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Turning back to claim 1 of the '573 patent into	
19	the third element, the one beginning with the word	
20	"transmitting," do you see anything in that element that	10:39:17
21	you believe had not occurred to someone else before	
22	Mr. Hair went to his party?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:39:30

```
And turning to the final element of claim 1 of
1
           0
      the '573 patent, the element beginning with "storing,"
      do you see anything in that element that you believe had
 3
      not occurred to someone else before Mr. Hair went to his
                                                                 10:39:43
 5
      party?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.
 7
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
 8
               SightSound built some implementations of the
 9
                                                                 10:39:58
      patents-in-suit?
10
               MR. DiBOISE: Let's take a break while you are
11
      changing subjects.
12
               MR. BATCHELDER:
                               You want to take a break?
13
14
               MR. DiBOISE: Yeah.
                                                                 10:40:04
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:39.
15
               (Recess taken.)
16
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 10:48.
17
18
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               If I could direct your attention back to
19
      Exhibit 177 -- that was the Rule 30(B)(6) notice that we 10:49:01
20
      looked at at the outset. If you could turn again to the
21
      topics that are listed in Schedule A, you will see
22
23
      Topic 7 reads: The first sale, offer for sale, public
24
      use, demonstration, or disclosure of the subject matter
                                                                 10:49:39
25
      of the patents-in-suit.
```

	Page 59	
1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And what was the first sale, offer for sale,	
4	public use, demonstration, or disclosure of the subject	
5	matter of the patents-in-suit?	10:49:50
6	A Disclosure of the subject matter I believe	
7	would have been 1993.	
8	Q And was that a patent application?	
9	A No. That was the issuance of the patent.	
10	Q And what was the first sale responsive to	10:50:31
11	Topic 7?	
12	A The first offer for sale was in 1995.	
13	Q And that was an offer to whom?	
14	A Consumers in general.	
15	Q And what form did the offer take?	10:50:56
16	A The sale of an offer for sale of download	
17	audio recordings.	
18	Q How was that offer communicated?	
19	A Over the World Wide Web.	
20	Q And when in 1995?	10:51:17
21	A As I sit here today, I can't remember the exact	=
22	date. I know it was 1995, but I can't remember the	
23	exact date.	
24	Q In preparing for your deposition today, did you	
25	do anything to investigate the answer to that question?	10:51:43

		1490 00	
	1	A Yeah, I I met with counsel to refresh my	
	2	memory on on some of these 30(b)(6) topics, but	
	3	I'm not trying to be difficult, but that was yesterday	
	4	and I can't remember the specific date.	10 50 00
	5	Q What was the first I I think your answer	10:52:08
	6	just now was in connection with Topic 7 of Exhibit 177.	
-	7	So the first offer for sale was in 1995, and it was to	
	8	consumers generally.	
	9	What was the first sale?	
	10	A It may have been the same time, in 1995, and,	10:52:27
	11	subsequently, sales of audio recordings re	
	12	recommenced in 1998.	
	13	Q Was there a time gap between the offer the	
	14	first offer and the first sale?	
	15	A There was the the first offer for sale	10:52:58
	16	was not completely consummated because the credit card	
	17	of the purchasers, is my recollection, that they were	
	18	ultimately not charged. The charging of the the	
	19	credit card commenced again, in the actual taking of	
	20	the money from the purchaser in 1998.	10:53:30
	21	Q I want to make sure I understand this. You are	
	22	referring to two different time periods, '95	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q and '98.	
	25	SightSound then did it shut down its system	10:53:52
1			Ī

```
for some time between '95 and '98?
1
               It did.
               And can you be precise about what exactly the
 3
      time frames were associated with that shutdown?
               From 1995 to 1998. I believe from -- I think
                                                                 10:54:04
           Α
      it -- well, I can't remember precisely when in 1998 the
      system was back online for e-commerce. In 1995, it was
8
      on briefly.
               On briefly?
                                                                  10:54:31
               So it would -- I can't recall specifically how
10
      briefly, but it was not the entire year of 1995.
11
12
               Can you be any more precise about how long it
      was up and running in 1995?
13
               It -- it could have been as short as a few
14
                                                                  10:54:49
      weeks or a few days.
15
16
               Did that system in 1995 have a name?
17
               The system had a name?
18
           Ο
               Yes.
               I don't -- I don't recall referring to it by a
19
           A
                                                                  10:55:06
      specific name.
20
               I just -- what I want to do is establish some
21
22
      nomenclature --
23
           Α
               Oh.
               -- so that you and I can refer to it.
24
           Q
25
               Is there some way that -- that we can reference | 10:55:11
```

	rage 62	,
1	it so we can talk about it?	
2	A We could call it the 1995 system.	
3	Q Okay. What was the reason for the shutdown	
4	between the 1995 system and the 1998 system?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:55:34
6	THE WITNESS: Business strategy.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Can you elaborate?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: Yes. The strategy of the company	10:55:42
11	was to sell music and movies download to consumers over	
12	the Internet. The the company went we made a	
13	strategic decision to go back offline to enable us to	
14	have meetings with content holders in in an	
15	environment where we weren't actively selling the music	10:56:19
16	while we were talking the meetings.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q If that was important to the company to do, why	
19	did you begin selling music and movies in 1995 at all?	]  -  -
20	A At the time that we began doing it, we we	10:56:36
21	felt that it was the right strategy for the company.	
22	Q What changed your mind?	
23	A Meeting with a venture capitalist here in in	
24	the Bay Area.	
25	Q So he persuaded you that going offline was the	10:56:54

		, 1
1	right thing to do?	
2	A He did at that time, yes.	
3	Q And what persuaded you then to go back online	
4	in 1998?	
5	A We just felt that we we should continue to	10:57:07
6	do what we had designed the company to do, and by then,	
7	the act of actually selling the music, we felt, wouldn't	
8	be perceived as provocatively as it was in 1995.	
9	Q Who was that venture capitalist?	
10	A John Doerr.	10:57:41
11	Q And Mr. Doerr persuaded you to shut down the	
12	system and keep it shut down until what?	
13	A Until the owners of copyright were given a	
14	more given a private discussion about the features	
15	and benefits of doing this new thing instead of a public	10:58:10
16	discussion, a public presentation.	
17	Q Can you describe the the 1995 system? What	
18	did it consist of?	
19	A It it consisted of the technology systems	
20	integrated in a way that someone could see the album or	10:58:43
21	the individual song on the Internet through a graphical	
22	user interface, choose to purchase the desired song or	
23	album in exchange for payment, and that song or that	
24	specific song or that entire album, based upon which was	
25	the desired recording, would be transferred to the	10:59:10

	rage 04	_
1	consumer.	
2	Q The 1995 system offered only music and not	
3	movies?	
4	A That's correct.	
5	Q Why was that your initial focus?	10:59:24
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: The the 1995 system, our focus	
8	was both music and movies. The graphical user interface	
9	indicated that both music and movies would be sold by	
10	SightSound on, at that time, the website SightSound.com.	10:59:47
11	At the moment of that demonstration, we had the rights	
12	to a specific album, and so that was the reason why	
13	there was no movie.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Was there a reason that SightSound did not	11:00:03
16	secure the rights to distribute some one or more	
17	movies before going online with its demonstration	
18	system?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: You say, was there a reason	11:00:13
21	was there a specific reason?	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: Yes.	
23	THE WITNESS: Well, the the reason was we	
24	had the song and the album, so let's go sell that, and	
25	we felt that as a proof-of-concept system, that was	11:00:31
1		

```
1
      sufficient.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
 2
               The -- we have been referring to this 1995
      system.
                                                                  11:00:48
               Yes.
 5
           Α
               And then SightSound went back online in 1998;
 7
      correct?
               Yes, correct.
           Α
               And did it go back online with the same system
 9
                                                                  11:00:55
      or was it a different system?
10
11
               It was -- it was somewhat different.
12
           0
               How so?
1.3
               Scaleability.
               Would you please elaborate.
14
           Q
                                                                  11:01:08
               Just the sheer capacity of the system.
15
           Α
               What -- what was it about the system that
16
17
      enhanced its capacity?
               The ability to store more of the desired audio
18
           Α
19
      signals.
               Can you give me some sense from the 1995 system 11:01:29
20
21
      for what its storage capacity was in terms of number of
22
      albums that could be stored?
23
               I -- it was sufficient to store the one album
           Α
      that we were demonstrating with, I do -- I know that.
24
                                                                  11:01:51
               Do you have an understanding, though, of how
25
           Q
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

ı			
	1	many more albums it was sufficient to store?	
	2	A I'm sure I generally believe it had very	
	3	substantial additional headroom, if we could call it	
	4	that, room to store more, but the business imperatives	
	5	and the technological abilities were two different	11:02:06
	6	things.	
	7	Q What do you mean when you say "the business	
	8	imperatives and the technological abilities were two	
	9	different things"?	
	10	A I mean that as as a business selling music	11:02:23
	11	or movie downloads over the Internet at the the first	
	12	one to ever do it, it was not necessary at that time to	
	13	convince everyone that owned a copyright that this was	
	14	the way to go. It was, we felt, important as a business	
	15	strategy to just show that it could be done.	11:02:55
	16	Q And you are saying that's why you created a	
	17	system in 1995 that didn't have the storage capacity	
	18	that your '98 system did?	
	19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	20	THE WITNESS: That and limited capital.	11:03:08
	21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	22	Q Did the 1998 system differ from the 1995 system	
	23	other than in terms of its storage capacity?	
	24	A I I I don't know specific differences.	
	25	That's a question better suited for Mr. Hair.	11:03:50

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

_		5	
	1	Q Did the 1998 system have the ability to	
	2	transmit data more quickly than the 1995 system?	
	3	A I don't know that.	
	4	Q Other than the 1995 system and the 1998 system,	11.04.10
	5	are there any other systems that would fall within the	11:04:12
	6	scope of Topic 12 of Exhibit 177?	
	7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	10	Q What other systems?	11:04:41
	11	A The 1998 system, we'll call it, evolved in 1999	
	12	and beyond to have, as similarly as I described from '95	
	13	to '98, more storage capacity for more movies and more	
	14	music.	
	15	Q Would it be fair to use the term "'99 system,"	11:05:15
	16	then, to describe a follow-on system after the '98	
	17	system?	
	18	A No. I think it would be more accurate to	
	19	perceive it as a as a continual expansion, perhaps,	
	20	of the '98 system.	11:05:41
	21	Q Okay.	
	22	A An extension. The the break between '95 and	
	23	'98 was was a going-offline break. Subsequent to	
	24	that, any any expansion of the capacity of the system	
	25	was without interruption to the consumer.	11:06:06
			1

	Tage 33	,
1	Q I I understand that testimony, and I	
2	appreciate it. It's helpful.	
3	Other than the '95 system and we have called it	
4	the 1998 system in its '98 state and then its	
5	evolutionary progressing state after that, are there any	11:06:26
6	other systems that are responsive to Topic 12 of	
7	Exhibit 177?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:06:48
11	Q You have described that the system that was put	
12	in place by SightSound in 1998 evolved to even further	
13	increase its storage capacity.	
14	Other than increases in storage capacity, did	
15	that system evolve or change in any ways that you are	11:07:04
16	aware of?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't believe that	
19	it was fundamentally different than what we proved in	
20	1995.	11:07:18
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Over time, were there changes in the speed at	
23	which data could be sent from the 1998 system through	
24	its evolutionary phases?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:07:36

	rage os	, 1
1	THE WITNESS: Were there changes in the speed	
2	at which data could be sent from the '98 system. Yes.	1
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q And what can you tell me about those changes?	
5	A Generally understand them to be nominally	11:07:58
6	faster, continuously nominally faster.	
7	Q What do you mean by "nominally faster"?	
8	A Like every given iteration of a given computer	
9	technology seems to get faster and cheaper. That's just	
10	generally understood. Sometimes it's referred to as	11:08:24
11	Moore's law.	
12	Q Was improving the speed at which the digital	
13	files could be downloaded an objective that SightSound	
14	regarded as important in evolving its system over time?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:08:50
16	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think so. It was a	
17	given that it would.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q When you say "it was a given that it would,"	
20	you mean it was a given that the speed would improve as	11:09:03
21	technology improved?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q I'd like to, again, just come up with	
24	nomenclature referring to the systems responsive to	
25	Topic 12.	11:09:36

	5 -	_
1	Can we just refer to those as the "SightSound	
2	systems"?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Is there a reason you don't want	
4	to use the definitions that were established in	
5	Mr. Hair's deposition for those systems?	11:09:47
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Can we just refer to those as the "SightSound	
8	systems"?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q For the SightSound systems, how much money did	11:10:07
11	SightSound invest in creating those systems?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Can you give me an estimate?	11:10:26
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Please do.	
20	A SightSound spent something north of	11:10:36
21	\$45 million.	
22	Q And how much revenue did SightSound recover	
23	from the sales or rentals of digital audio signals or	
24	digital video signals in connection with those	
25	SightSound systems?	11:11:16

	Page /I	1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: A nominal amount. It was on par	
3	with the systems being proof-of-concept exercises.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Can you give me an estimate of how much	11:11:32
6	revenue?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: No, I don't I don't recall	
9	specifically.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:11:41
11	Q Was it less than \$100,000?	
12	A I don't know.	
13	Q If you had to figure that out, where would you	
14	look?	
15	A I would look to Mr. Alex LePore.	11:11:52
16	Q Are there any particular documents you would	
17	look to?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Are there any components of the SightSound	
20	systems that still exist today?	11:12:26
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I believe the no. I think the	
23	system all of the various components were	
24	decommissioned when our business strategy changed.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:12:54

<b></b>	Page /2	1
1	Q When was that?	
2	A Circa 2002 or '3, 2002.	
3	Q And what do you mean by "decommissioned"?	
4	A We went offline and ceased the sale the	
5	download sale of audio and video over the SightSound	11:13:24
6	system.	
7	Q Can you be any more precise about when that	
8	occurred?	
9	A Yeah. I believe it would I would narrow it	
10	to 2002. I think in my prior answer I said 2002, 2003.	11:13:44
11	I'm thinking it was 2002.	
12	Q When SightSound went offline and ceased the	
13	download sale of audio and video over the SightSound	
14	system, what became of the equipment, hardware, software	
15	data associated with that system?	11:14:14
16	A It's my recollection that it was I use the	
17	term "decommissioned," powered down everything, erased	
18	so that the equipment itself could be sold.	
19	Q And was it sold?	
20	A I believe so.	11:14:32
21	Q Who made the decision to decommission that	
22	system?	
23	A The board, board of directors.	
24	Q Were you in favor of doing so?	
25	A I was.	11:14:50

		1
1	Q When the SightSound system was decommissioned	
2	in 2002 or 2003, was the software that was running that	
3	system saved?	
4	A I don't believe so.	
5	Q When SightSound decommissioned its system in	11:15:11
6	2002 or 2003, was the data on the system saved?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Why not?	11:15:29
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: As I previously answered, we	
13	decommissioned the system and then sought to sell the	
14	various components, so eliminating any data from, for	
15	example, memory that you are going to sell to someone	11:15:51
16	else is just an appropriate practice.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Why didn't SightSound back up the data onto	
19	another device that it could that would allow it to	
20	store that data more permanently?	11:16:08
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: Cost reasons.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Did it occur to you at the time that that data	
25	might be relevant in a subsequent litigation?	11:16:23

	rage 74	1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Who was involved in the decision not to save	
5	the software and data associated with the SightSound	11:16:42
6	system when it was decommissioned in 2002 or 2003?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't believe there was a	
9	decision to, quote, not save the software or data	
10	associated. There was a decision to not let such data	11:17:08
11	go out to someone who purchased any of the equipment.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Well, at some point that data was erased by	
14	SightSound; correct?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:17:23
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Who made that decision?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I would think Arthur Hair.	11:17:33
21	(Exhibit 184 was marked for identification by	
22	the Court Reporter.)	
23	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
24	Exhibit 184, a document from the Bates range SST-21837	
25	through 21912.	11:18:27

1	Q My first question, Mr. Sander, is: What do you	
2	understand this document to be?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: It appears to be screen captures.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:18:51
6	Q From?	
7	A SightSound.com.	
8	Q Seeing the document, do you have any ability to	
9	date it?	
10	A Around the turn of the Century.	11:19:02
11	Q Roughly 2000?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q And do these screen captures, then, reflect the	
14	various audio and video offerings from the SightSound	1
15	website around the year 2000?	11:20:07
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Is there any way now to go back to replicate,	
20	for any given time, what was on the SightSound website?	11:20:31
21	A Yes.	
22	Q How would you do that?	1
23	A I would use the way-back time machine.	
24	Q Have you tried to do that, to go back and view	
25	SightSound.com for various periods?	11:20:51

	Page /6	) 1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know if I did it	
3	specifically for that, but I'm generally aware of its	
4	functionality.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:21:14
6	Q I just want to make a list of the years that	
7	the SightSound system was in place. 1995, it was in	
8	place for some time, and then it was in place from 1998	
9	through 2002 or 2003.	
10	Do I have that right?	11:21:43
11	A I believe I've answered that. I think it was	
12	2002.	
13	Q Okay.	
14	A I should clarify. I'm not certain when the	
15	system was ultimately decommissioned. I believe it was	11:21:56
16	in 2002.	
17	Q But other than the shutdown between the '95	
18	system and the '98 system, the system was up and running	
19	throughout the 1998-through-2002 time period as far as	
20	you know?	11:22:13
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And then it was decommissioned in around 2002,	
23	and there's been no subsequent SightSound system?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q In 1995, what was SightSound's investment in	11:22:26

	Page //	1
1	the system?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Can you even ballpark it for me?	11:22:43
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't I yes, I could	
8	ballpark it.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Please.	11:23:04
11	A Maybe well, several hundreds of thousands of	
12	dollars.	
13	Q Several hundreds of thousands?	
14	A I'm just, in my mind, trying to go back and	
15	reconstruct capital-raising use of those funds, so,	11:23:28
16	yeah, maybe certainly six figures.	
17	Q And between the well, while that system was	
18	up and running in 1995 before it was shut down during	
19	'96 and '97, what revenue did SightSound obtain in	
20	connection with that system?	11:24:03
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: De minimis.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Can you be more specific?	
25	A Or, as I answered previously, that when John	11:24:12

		14ge 7.	
	1	Doerr had suggested that we alter our strategy, we	
	2	it's my recollection that we didn't process the credit	
	3	card payments, so it may have been zero.	
	4	Q And how many credit card payments were there?	:
	5	A My recollection is it's a handful.	11:24:36
	6	Q So four or five kind of thing?	
	7		
	8	of time was very limited.	
	9	Q But it was single-digit credit card payments,	11.04.55
	10	you think?	11:24:55
	11	A Yes.	
	12	Q And SightSound didn't process them because it	
	13	wasn't worth the money?	
	14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	15	THE WITNESS: It was because we changed our	11:25:00
	16	strategy.	
	17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	18	Q Would it have cost more to secure that money	
	19	than it would have than SightSound would have gained	
	20	in the products?	11:25:14
	21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
	23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	24	Q What was your salary in 1995 from SightSound?	
	25	A I don't believe that I took one. I don't	11:25:30
- 1			Ī

		rage 75	
1	recall.	I'm sorry. I don't recall.	
2		Were you compensated at all by SightSound in	
3	1995?	more you compensate at all squares and	
4		Well, I owned part of the company, and that	
5		e central driver of what my what you are	11:25:52
6		izing as compensation. When you are an	
7		eur, you are trying to build something. It	
8	-	ave to be a paycheck.	
9		Okay. So other than an equity stake, you don't	
10	~	receiving any cash from SightSound in 1995; is	11:26:06
11	that righ		
12	3	As I sit here today, I don't recall.	
13		And then same question for 1998: Were you	
14		ed with cash by SightSound in 1998?	
	-	By 1998, I believe so.	11:26:26
15			
16		And what was your '98 compensation?	
17		I don't recall.	
18		Can you ballpark it for me?	
19		No. I don't recall.	11.06.47
20		If you had to reconstruct it, how would you do	11:26:47
21	that?		
22		I suppose I would go back and look at my tax	
23	returns.		
24		Do you still have them?	
25	A	For 1998, no. No.	11:26:56

		rage ou	
1		Q Does SightSound have records of who it paid,	
2	what	it paid its executives back in the '98, '99, 2000	
3	time	frame?	
4		A I don't know. I don't know.	
5		Q And in the year 2000, did you receive cash from	11:27:15
6	Sigh	tSound?	
7		A I believe so, yes.	
8		Q And what were you paid?	
9		A I don't recall specifically, but maybe it	
10	was	I don't recall. The nature of my employment was	11:27:42
11	also	up and down because it was a start-up company.	
12		Q For the year 2000, can you give me an estimate	
13	of w	hat you were paid?	
14		A I don't know. Hundred, 200,000 bucks.	
15		Q And in 2001, were you paid cash by SightSound?	11:28:03
16		A Yes, I believe so.	
17		Q And how much were you paid?	
18		A Similar amount.	
19		Q Roughly 100,000 to 200,000?	
20		A I don't recall specifically, but, yes, I think	11:28:21
21	so.		
22		Q And in 2002, were you paid by SightSound?	* .
23		A Yes. Or a portion, yes.	
24		Q And would you estimate that for me, please.	
25		A I can't recall as I sit here today. There was	11:28:38

<b></b>	Page 81	1
1	a time when it went down because of illiquidity, so I	
2	don't recall specifically.	
3	Q And that was roughly 2002 time frame?	
4	A I think so.	
5	Q And can you quantify at all how much it went	11:28:56
6	down?	
7	A Well, then it I think I've already asked	
8	I've already answered that question. It would go up and	
9	down. We raised capital, and then when capital was	
10	available and sufficient to pay salaries, probably might	11:29:20
11	have been making 200,000 or more, but, you know, then	
12	so in that era, I would say maybe 200-, 250,000 bucks	
13	after a successful round of financing.	
14	Q And what time frame do you associate with that	
15	era?	11:29:46
16	A Sometime in 2000.	
17	Q And how long did it last, that era?	
18	A I'm here today. You mean capital sufficient	
19	for the company to exist? It never stopped after that.	
20	Q My question is: With what time frame do you	11:30:05
21	associate the era when there was a capital infusion in	
22	the company you would draw down a salary in the	
23	neighborhood of 200-, \$250,000?	
24	A I'd say from '99 to 2005.	
25	Q From the years 1998 through 2002 when the	11:30:38

	5	-
1	SightSound system was up and running, can you estimate	
2	for me the revenue that was associated with that system	
3	annually?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I think I've already answered.	11:31:03
6	As I said, it was a proof-of-concept system, so maybe	
7	I don't know specifically. I can't remember	
8	specifically.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Can you just ballpark it for me?	11:31:23
11	A Six figures, under, somewhere around there.	
12	Q So \$100,000 or less, roughly?	
13	A I can't recall specifically.	
14	Q Under SightSound's system, how were consumers	
15	to play the music that they downloaded? What was the	11:32:03
16	concept there?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: How would they play it?	
19	MR. BATCHELDER: Yes.	
20	THE WITNESS: Numerous ways.	11:32:25
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Would you give me some examples?	
23	A They could play it on their computer. They	
24	could play it on a handheld portable device of some	
25	kind. They could play it on a what we today would	11:32:39
	4 4 4	
i		I .

	rage of	, 1
1	call a tablet, a smaller device with a stylus.	
2	Q You mentioned a handheld portable device.	
3	What do you have any specific devices in	
4	mind?	
5	A Yes.	11:33:05
6	Q What do you have in mind?	
7	A A Compaq iPAQ.	
8	Q Would you describe that device for me? First	
9	of all, would you spell that device for me.	
10	A C-o-m-p-a-q, i-P-A-Q, I believe. That's my	11:33:19
11	recollection.	
12	Q Thank you.	
13	And would you describe the Compaq iPAQ?	
14	A It's a handheld portable device with audio	
15	output. A screen running Windows CE, I believe.	11:33:39
16	Q When SightSound sold music over its system in	
17	1995, was there some technology on that system for	
18	limiting the ability of consumers to reproduce or replay	
19	it?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:34:07
21	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q The same question for the time period 1998	
24	through 2002.	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:34:16

	raye ou	7
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, we had those abilities.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q And when did you first have them?	
4	A I don't remember specifically.	
5	Q Can you provide me an estimate?	11:34:37
6	A We may have always had such capabilities, but	
7	they became business requirements in dealing with	
8	copyright holders after we demonstrated that music and	
9	movies could be sold download over the Internet.	
10	Q So when you say "we may have always had such	11:35:00
11	capabilities," you mean they may have been present in	
12	the '95 system, but you don't remember one way or the	
13	other?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And is it fair to say, then, that, as you sit	11:35:12
16	here, you don't remember a given time at which you were	
17	made aware that SightSound was making a change to	
18	introduce that ability to its system?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Did SightSound sell to consumers any hardware	11:35:35
21	or software that consumers could use to download, store,	
22	or play back any of the audio files or video files that	
23	they were downloading from SightSound's website?	
24	A No.	
25	Q Why not?	11:36:01
1		1

	Page 85	, <b>1</b>
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: We collaborated with the hardware	
3	manufacturers.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q What manufacturers?	11:36:23
6	A What time frame?	
7	Q If your answer changes depending on the time	
8	frames, you can just clarify that in your answer, if you	
9	would.	
10	A My general recollection is a pan	11:36:40
11	specifically, panoply of device makers: Compaq, Gateway	
12	2000, Microsoft. We had interactions with many of the	
13	computer hardware companies, as well as the software,	
14	the creators of the operating system.	
15	Q A moment ago, you said that the SightSound	11:37:18
16	collaborated with these entities, and you said that in	
17	response to my question about why SightSound didn't sell	
18	to consumers hardware or software to facilitate the	
19	downloading or storing or playing of the files.	
20	Why was it that SightSound concluded that this	11:37:43
21	collaboration made it unnecessary for SightSound to sell	
22	such hardware or software to consumers?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: Our focus was on the download	
25	sale of music and movies to everyone, regardless of the	11:38:02

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

<u> </u>	Page 86	) <b>1</b>
1	platform or device.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q What were the fruits of SightSound's	
4	collaboration with the hardware manufacturers that you	
5	were just describing?	11:38:32
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: Numerous, numerous historic	
8	firsts as demonstration of the capabilities and capacity	
9	of downloading music and movies.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:38:56
11	Q Did the hardware manufacturers or software	
12	manufacturers that you were describing that you	
13	collaborated with change their product offerings in	
14	order to encourage or facilitate customer use of	
15	SightSound offerings?	11:39:18
16	A I think so.	
17	Q How so?	
18	A Examples?	
19	Q Please.	
20	A Gateway Computers created a product which was	11:39:32
21	television, where you could get the desired video onto	
22	the television. Compaq created the iPAQ, which was a	
23	first handheld portable device to receive a purchased	
24	movie that for subsequent portable playback.	
25	Panasonic maybe I misspoke. Texas Instruments with	11:40:09

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

_			
	1		
	1	their DLP projector did a demonstration with us of a	
	2	movie sold over the Internet that was then subsequently	:
	3	displayed for theatrical exhibition, so and in those	
	4	exhibitions, alterations were made it's my belief	
	5	that they would do things because they liked the idea of	11:40:45
	6	the virtue of having movies or music be available on	
	7	their devices.	
	8	Q And in your understanding, were those changes	
	9	made specifically to facilitate or encourage customer	
	10	use of SightSound's systems as opposed to other systems?	11:41:05
_	11	A I don't know.	
-	12	MR. BATCHELDER: The videographer needs to	
	13	change the tape, so why don't we take a break here.	
	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Disc 1 of	
	15	Scott Sander.	11:41:18
	16	Off the record at 11:40.	
	17	(Recess taken.)	: :
-	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 2 of Scott	
	19	Sander.	
	20	On the record at 11:51.	11:52:26
	21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
***************************************	22	Q For the SightSound systems that we have been	
***************************************	23	talking about responsive to Topic 12 in the 30(b)(6)	
	24	notice, what were the various costs that went into	
	25	establishing those systems and operating them	11:52:45
U			1

	1.030	
1	day-to-day?	
2.	MR. DiBOISE: Objection. Disagree that the	
3	questions you have been asking relate to Topic 12.	
4	You can answer.	
5	THE WITNESS: I believe I've already answered	11:52:55
6	that because I had expressed previously that something	
7	north of \$45 million.	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: Let me clarify. I didn't mean	
9	to give me a total dollar estimate. I meant to lay out	
10	the categories of of the of the costs. That is, I	11:53:22
11	assume there was some expenditure for securing the	
12	content, for example.	
13	Q Would you answer whether that's true and then	
14	what the other categories were?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:53:40
16	THE WITNESS: You said let me clarify, but then	
17	I became more confused.	
18	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. Let me let me	
19	start over.	
20	Q For the SightSound systems that we have been	11:54:04
21	talking about that offered for sale audio files and	
22	video files, there were certain costs that SightSound	
23	incurred in setting up those systems and in running	
24	them, and my question is to elicit a list of the	
25	categories of those things that were associated with	11:54:26

	Page 89	1
1	those costs.	
2	Is that clear?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to attempt to	
5	construct a list of where all of our costs were?	11:54:38
6	MR. BATCHELDER: Yeah, as best you can.	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Same objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't know that I can. There	
9	was no cost that was unassociated with our sole	
10	business.	11:54:58
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q One of the costs was securing from copyright	
13	holders the right to display the content and to sell the	
14	content; correct?	
15	A The cost to obtain the right I don't know.	11:55:14
16	The I guess I'm having difficulty with the concept of	
17	whether that was a an expenditure or I don't	
18	understand.	
19	Q When SightSound secured from copyright holders	
20	the right to sell their content, how were the copyright	11:55:55
21	holders paid by SightSound? Was it cash or were they	
22	paid a percentage of sales or what was the formula?	
23	A A percentage of sales.	
24	Q So there was no cash up front?	
25	A I don't believe so.	11:56:15

	rage 70	, 7
1	(Exhibit 185 was marked for identification by	
2	the Court Reporter.)	
3	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
4	Exhibit 185, a document that spans the Bates range	
5	STI-13695 through 13707.	11:57:24
6	Q Do you recognize this document?	
7	A No.	
8	Q Do you recognize the formatting of the content?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What do you recognize it to be?	11:57:49
11	A Sales of particular recordings.	
12	Q On the page ending 3696 in the upper left-hand	
13	corner, it says SightSound.com.sales.	
14	Do you see that?	
15	A Yes.	11:58:26
16	Q And what is that?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: It appears to be monthly totals	
19	for the year 1999.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:58:46
21	Q And have you seen sales figures in this format	
22	before associated with SightSound?	
23	A I suppose so, yes.	
24	Q In the left-hand column on that same page,	
25	3696, there are a series of entries starting with raw	11:59:10

	Page 91	1
1	sales and ending back to manager.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And what are those?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:59:23
6	THE WITNESS: We are looking at a paper	
7	printout of something that was on a computer screen, so	
8	I I suppose those were links to the information	
9	categorized that way.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:59:50
11	Q So was there a database that was referred to as	
12	"SightSound.com.sales"?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know that you would call	
15	it database. I there there was the ability to	12:00:00
16	track sales electronically.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q And do electronic records of these sales still	
19	exist?	
20	A I don't know.	12:00:28
21	Q If you need to know the answer to that, where	
22	would you go?	
23	A Alex LePore.	
24	Q The page we have been looking at, 3696, on the	
25	top it says, Monthly Totals for the Year 1999.	12:00:50

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

	Page 92	1
1	Da way and that?	
1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And the total appears to be \$5,326?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Do you have any well, is it your	12:01:07
6	understanding that that number captures the sales from	:
7	the SightSound system as it existed in 1999?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: No.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:01:23
11	Q Why not?	
12	A This appears to commence in April.	
13	Q Is it your understanding, then, that the	
14	that number, the \$5,326, reflects the sales of audio	
15	files and video files from SightSound's system through	12:01:44
16	the months April through December 1999?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I think so.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Looking at the next page of Exhibit 185, the	12:02:08
21	page ending in 13697, there at the top, it's Monthly	
22	Totals for the Year 2000.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And there, in the lower right-hand entry, the	12:02:17
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1		

	Page 93	) 7
1	monthly total is \$39,691.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And is it your understanding that that figure	
5	reflects the total sales from the SightSound system for	12:02:35
6	the entirety of the year 2000?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: I suppose so.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Again, focusing on the entries in the left-hand	12:03:02
11	column, was it possible to sort the data by these	
12	various categories?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: I think so.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:03:17
16	Q And are you aware of any data currently within	
17	SightSound's possession, custody, or control that allows	
18	you to sort the historical sales data by those	
19	categories?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	12:03:31
21	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q When were these data well, as far as you	
24	know, these data no longer exist?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	12:03:53

```
BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               In electronic form?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
 3
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
                                                                 12:04:00
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               And if they were destroyed, would you have any
      understanding as to when they were destroyed?
 7
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Well, they weren't destroyed.
                                                                 12:04:26
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
10
               I'm referring to electronic data, not this
11
      hard-copy printout.
12
               I don't know.
           Α
13
               Okay. And for years other than '99 and 2000,
1.4
                                                                 12:04:38
      are you aware of any hard-copy or electronic versions of
15
      data that would allow the reconstruction of the sales
16
      from the SightSound system month by month?
17
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
18
               THE WITNESS: I'm not.
19
                                                                 12:04:54
20
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               What about year by year?
21
           0
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
22
               THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
23
               (Exhibit 186 was marked for identification by
24
                                                                 12:05:29
               the Court Reporter.)
25
```

_			
	1	MR. BATCHELDER: Sadly, using these glasses	
	2	more and more.	
	3	I've had marked, as Exhibit 186, a document	
	4	that spans the Bates range SST-36864 through 6882.	
	5	Q And my first question is: Do you recognize	12:06:01
	6	this document?	
	7	A I recognize the content.	
	8	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
	9	A It appears to be Arthur's notes.	
	10	Q Is any of the content content that you	12:06:40
	11	generated?	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection well, no.	
	13	Withdrawn.	
	14	THE WITNESS: I generated?	
	15	MR. BATCHELDER: Yes.	12:06:53
	16	THE WITNESS: Like inputted it into Arthur's	
	17	device? No.	
	18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	19	Q My my question isn't limited to devices, but	
	20	is any of this content did you write any of this?	12:07:01
	21	A I don't know. I don't believe so.	
	22	Q Okay.	
	23	A I believe this is Art's.	
	24	Q At some point SightSound included among its	
	25	offerings the service of going out to third parties to	12:07:25

		ı
1	build systems that would allow the third parties to sell	
2	their own music or movies to consumers; is that fair?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And did SightSound ever succeed in selling such	
5	services to any third parties?	12:07:56
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: Well, we changed our strategy.	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: We'll we'll get to that in	
9	a moment.	
10	Q The question now is: Did SightSound ever	12:08:31
11	succeed in selling such services to any third parties?	
12	A No.	
13	Q For how long were those services a part of	
14	SightSound's offerings?	
15	A Briefly.	12:08:51
16	Q Can you be more specific?	
17	A Perhaps a series of months or a window of time.	
18	Maybe maybe a year, maybe.	
19	Q And what year?	
20	A Well, it may have been attempts during multiple	12:09:17
21	years for windows of time, but.	
22	Q What year or years do you associate with having	
23	those services a part of SightSound's offerings?	
24	A Maybe 2001 through '3.	
25	Q And what was it that prompted SightSound to	12:09:54

		1
1	make those services a part of its offerings? Why did	
2	do you believe that was a good idea?	
3	A We were attempting to revolutionize the	
4	distribution of music and movies over the Internet.	
5	Q And why was making those services a part of	12:10:29
6	your offerings a good idea in connection with that	
7	objective?	
8	A We felt that it may enable us to generate	
9	revenue.	
10	Q What changed your mind?	12:10:45
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: What changed our mind? We didn't	
13	change our mind; we changed our strategy.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q At some point you dropped those services from	12:11:09
16	your offerings; correct?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Why?	
19	A We had we entered into a different business	
20	strategy.	12:11:22
21	Q Please describe that strategy.	
22	A We focused on defending and licensing our	
23	intellectual property.	
24	Q Why not do both?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	12:11:50

	rage 50	
1	THE WITNESS: We felt the defending and	
2	licensing of our intellectual property strategy was	
3	superior.	·
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Even assuming the truth of that, why not do	12:12:14
6	both?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: Limited resources.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q How do you explain the fact that no consumers	12:12:27
11	or entities took you up on your offers to perform the	
12	service of implementing for them a system that would	
13	allow them to sell digital audio files or video files?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: A lack of vision on their part.	12:12:50
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q To whom did SightSound extend that offer?	
18	A I don't remember specifically, but I don't	
19	remember the specific entities, but, generally, the I	
20	think the movie studios or a subset of the movie	12:13:22
21	studios.	
22	Q Did SightSound make that offer to the major	
23	motion picture studios?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I said I think I	12:13:40

	rage 99	, 7
1	answered a subset.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q There were five or six major motion picture	
4	studios in the 2001-through-2003 vintage; is that fair?	:
5	A That's fair.	12:14:00
6	Q And you are saying that SightSound made this	
7	offer of services to a subset of those five or six?	
8	A I don't recall the distinction, offer of	
9	services versus we were generally engaged with the	
10	major studios.	12:14:25
11	Q Is it the case, though, that as to the offer of	
12	services of going into the major motion picture studio	
13	and setting up a system that would allow that studio	
14	to to sell movies itself, you don't recall a	
15	studio by studio which one SightSound talked to?	12:14:48
16	A No.	
17	Q So you do recall?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Okay. Which ones?	
20	A Walt Disney Company, Warner Brothers, and MGM.	12:14:59
21	Q And why did you not approach the other major	
22	motion picture studios with this idea?	
23	A I didn't say we didn't. I said I don't recall.	
24	I don't know.	
25	Q Okay. But you do recall for those three?	12:15:23

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

	rage 100	, 1
1	A Yes, sir.	
2	Q Okay. And as to Disney, what do you recall of	
3	those communications? And in particular let me focus	
4	the question.	
5	As to Disney, what reasons, if any, did Disney	12:15:34
6	cite to you for its decision not to go forward?	
7	A I don't know. I'm sorry. Cite to us? They	
8	didn't have to explain to us.	
9	Q Whether or not they had to, though, did they?	
10	A I don't believe so.	12:15:52
11	Q And did Warner Brothers cite a reason for not	
12	going forward with those services from SightSound?	
13	A I don't recall.	
14	Q Did MGM?	
15	A Yes.	12:16:05
16	Q What reasons?	
17	A Intellectual property strategy.	
18	Q Are you done with your answer?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Would you elaborate?	12:16:29
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: That the a bad patent license	
23	request by one of the a request for a licensing of	
24	the patents that would have that was unacceptable to	
25	MGM.	12:17:05

800-869-9132

Page 00100

		_
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q You are referring to a a request that	
3	SightSound made?	
4	A No.	
5	Q That who made?	12:17:14
6	A Microsoft.	
7	Q What request?	
8	A For a license.	
9	Q Can you explain more? I just I don't	
10	understand what you are telling me about Microsoft	12:17:35
11	offering a license to SightSound patents.	
12	Can you put some meat on that?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: No. That no. Microsoft	
15	offering a license to SightSound patents. No.	12:17:48
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Do I understand you correctly that Microsoft	
18	offered MGM a license to SightSound patents?	
19	A No.	
20	Q What is it that Microsoft did that MGM found	12:17:58
21	unacceptable?	
22	A Requested a license to the SightSound patents	
23	that was that had terms that were unacceptable.	
24	Q And Microsoft requested that from MGM?	
25	A Yes.	12:18:17

r		rage 102	1
1	Q	Did it do so in writing?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	Did you get a copy of that writing?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	Has that been produced in this case as far as	12:18:32
6	you know	?	
7	A	I believe so.	
8	Q	What do you understand to have been the terms?	
9	A	That the license would be royalty-free.	
10	Q	To Microsoft?	12:18:47
11	А	Yes.	
12	Q	In exchange for what from Microsoft?	
13	А	Their investment in the system in conjunction	
14	with MGM	[.	
15	Q	What investment?	12:19:08
16	А	Their proposed investment, I should say.	
17	Q	Was it just a money investment or something	
18	else?		
19	А	It was a money investment and something else.	
20	Q	And what was the money?	12:19:23
21	А	As I sit here today, my recollection is that	
22	the init	ial portion would be 10 million.	
23	Q	And subsequent portions?	
24	А	I don't recall with specificity the the	
25	follow-o	n the nature of the follow-on rounds.	12:19:48

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

	Page 103	) <b>1</b>
1	Q Was there some running royalty?	
2	A No. That was no.	
3	Q The following rounds were milestone triggered?	
4	A I don't recall.	
5	Q In addition to the money, what else from	12:20:08
6	Microsoft was part of the proposal?	
7	A Marketing support.	
8	Q Anything else?	
9	A I don't believe so.	
10	Q Did Microsoft offer any software services in	12:20:27
11	connection with the deal?	
12	A I don't believe so.	
13	Q How was it that Microsoft's proposal killed	
14	MGM's interest in going forward with SightSound's offer	
15	to provide the services?	12:20:47
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Microsoft would get a	
18	royalty-free license to the SightSound patent portfolio	
19	that was vital to the to the deal.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:21:13
21	Q Your understanding was that MGM was not	
22	interested in the transaction without those investments	
23	from Microsoft?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: No.	12:21:30

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

, ..., **,** ..., , ..., , ..., , ..., , ..., , ...,

	rage 10-	•
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q And I'm still trying to understand the linkage	
3	between Microsoft's request and MGM's decision not to go	
4	forward.	
5	Can you explain?	12:21:40
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: MGM's objection or MGM's	
8	withdrawal from the proposed transaction was based upon	
9	Microsoft requesting a royalty-free license that would	
10	have extinguished in MGM well, I believe in MGM's	12:22:07
11	opinion the value of the SightSound patents because	
12	Microsoft would have a royalty-free license.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q So what I'm trying to get at is, who if you	
15	know, why didn't MGM say, "Microsoft, we are not	12:22:31
16	interested in your piece of it. We want to go forward	
17	with SightSound and accept its offerings"?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:23:00
21	Q And MGM didn't communicate to you anything	
22	along those lines as to why?	
23	A No.	
24	Q Aside from your communications with Disney and	
25	Warner Brothers and MGM, were there any other	12:23:16

	9	=
1	communications that you can remember as you sit here	
1	-	
2	between SightSound and any other entity regarding the	
3	possibility that SightSound would work with that entity	
4	to create a system that the entity could use to sell	
5	audio or video signals online?	12:23:45
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I can remember, as I sit here,	
8	that those were those are the ones that I remember.	
9	I don't remember specifically other offers. Those were	
10	sufficiently in-depth interactions that I recall them	12:24:13
11	specifically.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Did SightSound publicize that offering in any	
14	way other than in one-on-one communications with	
15	individual entities?	12:24:29
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
18	(Exhibit 187 was marked for identification by	
19	the Court Reporter.)	
20	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	12:25:13
21	Exhibit 187, a document spanning SST-24812 through	
22	24818, and the cover page is titled "Concept Plan for	
23	the Formation of Digital Sight/Sound," presented to	
24	Mr. Michael Milken.	
25	Q Do you recognize this document?	12:25:38
1		1

		rage 100	, 1
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	And what do you recognize it to be?	
3	А	Something that Art created.	
4	Q	Did you have any role in its creation?	
5	A	No.	12:25:53
6	Q	Were you associated with Mr. Hair in any	
7	business	venture that became SightSound at the time that	
8	this was	created?	
9		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.	12:26:20
11	BY MR. B.	ATCHELDER:	
12	Q	How so?	
13		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14		THE WITNESS: We were trying to start the	
15	company.		12:26:39
16	BY MR. B	ATCHELDER:	
17	Q	Were you involved in the decision to approach	
18	Michael	Milken?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	Did you think it was a good idea?	12:26:48
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	Why?	
23	A	He had substantial capital.	
24	Q	Any other reasons?	
25	A	No.	12:27:05

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

6 Q Did he respond in any way? 7 A Yes. 8 Q How? 9 A We met. 10 Q When was that? 11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s. 12 Q What did you propose? 13 A An investment in SightSound. 14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.			1 age 10 /	1
MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. BATCHELDER:  Q Did he respond in any way?  A Yes.  Whow?  A We met.  Q When was that?  A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.  Q What did you propose?  A An investment in SightSound.  Q Anything else?  A No, I don't believe so.  Q What came of it?  A He did not invest.  A He did not invest.  A Yes.  Q Did he say why?  A Yes.  Q Did he say?  A He was dedicating his resources to curing  cancer.  Q Did he give any other reasons?  A No.	1	Q	What was this document, Exhibit 187,	
4 THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  5 BY MR. BATCHELDER:  6 Q Did he respond in any way?  7 A Yes.  8 Q How?  9 A We met.  10 Q When was that?  11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.  12 Q What did you propose?  13 A An investment in SightSound.  14 Q Anything else?  15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	2	communic	cated to Mr. Milken?	
5 BY MR. BATCHELDER: 6 Q Did he respond in any way? 7 A Yes. 8 Q How? 9 A We met. 10 Q When was that? 11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s. 12 Q What did you propose? 13 A An investment in SightSound. 14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	3		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
6 Q Did he respond in any way? 7 A Yes. 8 Q How? 9 A We met. 10 Q When was that? 11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s. 12 Q What did you propose? 13 A An investment in SightSound. 14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	4		THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
7 A Yes. 8 Q How? 9 A We met. 10 Q When was that? 11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s. 12 Q What did you propose? 13 A An investment in SightSound. 14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	5	BY MR. F	BATCHELDER:	12:27:25
8 Q How? 9 A We met. 10 Q When was that? 11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s. 12 Q What did you propose? 13 A An investment in SightSound. 14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	6	Q	Did he respond in any way?	
9 A We met.  10 Q When was that?  11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.  12 Q What did you propose?  13 A An investment in SightSound.  14 Q Anything else?  15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	7	А	Yes.	
10 Q When was that?  11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.  12 Q What did you propose?  13 A An investment in SightSound.  14 Q Anything else?  15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	8	Q	How?	
11 A I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.  12 Q What did you propose?  13 A An investment in SightSound.  14 Q Anything else?  15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	9	А	We met.	
12 Q What did you propose?  13 A An investment in SightSound.  14 Q Anything else?  15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	10	Q	When was that?	12:27:44
A An investment in SightSound.  A An investment in SightSound.  A No, I don't believe so.  A No, I don't believe so.  A He did not invest.  A He did not invest.  A Yes.  A Yes.  A He was dedicating his resources to curing  cancer.  A No.	11	А	I can't recall specifically. In the '90s.	
14 Q Anything else? 15 A No, I don't believe so. 16 Q What came of it? 17 A He did not invest. 18 Q Did he say why? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What did he say? 21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	12	Q	What did you propose?	
15 A No, I don't believe so.  16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	13	A	An investment in SightSound.	
16 Q What came of it?  17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	14	Q	Anything else?	
17 A He did not invest.  18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	15	А	No, I don't believe so.	12:28:09
18 Q Did he say why?  19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	16	Q	What came of it?	
19 A Yes.  20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	17	A	He did not invest.	
20 Q What did he say?  21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing  22 cancer.  23 Q Did he give any other reasons?  24 A No.	18	Q	Did he say why?	
21 A He was dedicating his resources to curing 22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	19	А	Yes.	
22 cancer. 23 Q Did he give any other reasons? 24 A No.	20	Q	What did he say?	12:28:21
Q Did he give any other reasons?  A No.	21	A	He was dedicating his resources to curing	
24 A No.	22	cancer.		
	23	Q	Did he give any other reasons?	
25 //	24	A	No.	
l l	25	//		12:29:30

		ı
1	(Exhibit 188 was marked for identification by	
2	the Court Reporter.)	
3	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
4	Exhibit 188, a document Bates range SST-25004 through	
5	25151 and is titled "Business Plan for Digital	12:29:42
6	Sight/Sound, Inc."	
7	Q Do you recognize this document?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
10	A Something that Arthur created during that time	12:30:08
11	frame.	
12	Q What time frame?	
13	A The '90s.	
14	Q Can you be any more specific?	
15	A No.	12:30:37
16	Q Did you have any role in its creation?	
17	A Arthur and I collaborated, but I did not create	
18	documents. That was Art's purview.	
19	Q Did you review it before it went out?	
20	A I don't know.	12:31:15
21	Q Is that something you typically did in	
22	connection with something like business plans?	
23	A Yeah, I don't know that this went out. You see	
24	there's no name on it.	
25	Q When business plans went out, did you typically	12:31:43

····	rage 103	, 1
1	review them for accuracy?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q And do you recognize this as a document that,	12:32:10
6	with the name filled in, did go out to any third	
7	parties?	
8	A I don't.	
9	Q Did SightSound from time to time create	
10	business plans that did get distributed to third	12:32:24
11	parties?	
12	A It did.	
13	Q For purposes of stimulating investment?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12:32:46
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
18	in 25009, there's a title in the upper left-hand corner	
19	"The Business."	
20	Do you see that?	12:33:07
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And then about halfway down the page, there's a	
23	title "Music Distribution Rights."	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	12:33:17

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132 www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
1
               In the first sentence after that title "Music
      Distribution Rights," it says, Digital Sight/Sound
 2
 3
      currently represents one band, The Gathering Field.
               Do you see that?
                                                                  12:33:35
               Yes.
               Does that help you date this document?
               Yes, I suppose it was 1995 or early '96.
      don't know.
               By the time SightSound's system went back up in
                                                                  12:34:04
      1998, how many bands did it represent?
10
               I don't recall.
11
           Α
12
               Can you ballpark it?
13
           Α
               Yes.
               Please.
14
           Q
                                                                  12:34:19
               When we went up in 1998, perhaps a dozen.
15
16
               What's the largest number of albums that was
17
      available for sale by SightSound on its system at any
18
      given time?
               I don't recall specifically.
19
           Α
                                                                  12:34:46
               Can you ballpark that?
20
               Maybe a hundred.
21
           Α
               Same question as to movies.
22
23
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: There were movies and television
24
                                                                  12:35:04
      shows and -- do you mean all video recordings?
25
```

	rage III	•
1	MR. BATCHELDER: Concentrating on movies.	
2	THE WITNESS: I I can't recall specifically.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Can you give me an estimate?	
5	A Hundreds.	12:35:19
6	Q Hundreds?	
7	A Yeah.	
8	Q Can you be any narrower than that?	
9	A I don't know. I don't think so.	
10	Q Was it closer to 200 than 900?	12:35:30
11	A Maybe. I okay. I don't I don't	
12	specifically recall.	
13	Q Okay. Coming back to document Exhibit 188 and	
14	page 25009, that paragraph titled "Market Penetration	
15	Strategy," in the second sentence there, it says, When	12:36:00
16	the library of music reaches an appropriate level,	
17	Digital Sight/Sound will target small record labels for	
18	inclusion in the Digital Sight/Sound library.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A Yes.	12:36:16
21	Q Did that ever happen?	
22	A I don't know. It might have gotten lost in the	
23	middle.	
24	Q You don't have any memory of it happening?	
25	A I I don't I don't recall, because the	12:36:40

1	strategy described here was altered when we went offline	
2	after the meeting with Mr. Doerr.	
3	Q Sitting here now, do you have any memory of	
4	SightSound approaching small record labels for inclusion	
5	in the Digital Sight/Sound library?	12:37:21
6	A I don't recall.	
7	Q The next sentence on page 25009 of Exhibit 181	
8	says, Building on this strategy and once a critical mass	
9	has been achieved, Digital Sight/Sound will target major	
10	performing artists who have the flexibility to break	12:37:43
11	ranks.	
12	Do you see that?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And did that ever happen?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	12:37:53
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q So that my question is clear, I'm asking: Did	
18	SightSound target the major performing artists described	
19	in the sentence I just read?	
20	A No, I don't I don't believe so.	12:38:11
21	Q Why not?	
22	A Because by the time we re-emerged in 1998, it	
23	was a two-prong strategy of proving the capabilities and	
24	discussing the possibilities with the major record	
25	labels not going specifically to artists of those	12:38:44

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 113	
1	labels.	
2	Q The next sentence in the paragraph we have been	
3	reading from, page 25009, says, Once this newly formed	
4	electronic market has evolved beyond infancy, Digital	
5	Sight/Sound will target the big six record labels for	12:39:06
6	inclusion in the Digital Sight/Sound music library.	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And was SightSound ever successful in	
10	convincing any of the big six record labels to include	12:39:18
11	their wares in the Digital Sight/Sound library?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Why not?	
14	A Because we changed our strategy.	
15	Q What strategy change are you referring to?	12:39:33
16	A A focus on defense and licensing of	
17	intellectual property.	
18	Q And what time change do you associate excuse	
19	me.	
20	What time frame do you associate with that	12:39:59
21	change?	
22	A 2002.	
23	Q Looking on page 25010, you will see there's a	
24	heading at the top, "The Competition."	
25	Do you see that?	12:40:17

		Page 114	
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Immediately under that heading, it says,	
3	Ineffici	ent distribution model, and then there's a	
4	sentence	: Digital Sight/Sound's objective is to	
5	position	itself in the newly emerging Internet market by	12:40:31
6	signing	as many recording artists in as broad a spectrum	
7	of music	as is possible before potential competitors	
8	enter th	e market.	
9		Do you see that?	
10	А	Yes.	12:40:43
11	Q	Was that, indeed, SightSound's strategy?	
12	А	Circa 1995? Yes.	
13	Q	Did that change at some point?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	When?	12:41:01
16	А	When when we decommissioned the system.	
17	Q	2002?	
18	A	Yes, I believe so.	
19	Q	The next paragraph is titled "Lack of Action."	
20		Do you see that?	12:41:31
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	And the final sentence in that paragraph refers	
23	to the A	t-Home Network.	
24		Do you see that?	
25	A	Yes.	12:41:45

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	rage III	, 1
1	Q Did SightSound have some relationship with	
2	At-Home Network?	
3	A No.	
4	Q Did it pursue that relationship?	
5	A Yes.	12:42:01
6	Q And why did it not consummate?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q What relationship did SightSound pursue?	12:42:09
11	A A more close marketing affiliation with At-Home	
12	Network.	
13	Q When you say "more close," was there some	
14	marketing affiliation between SightSound and At-Home?	
15	A No.	12:42:31
16	Q Can you describe what the market affiliation	
17	was that you were pursuing?	
18	A By definition, we could sell to someone	
19	utilizing the At-Home Network.	
20	Q And and how would that have worked?	12:42:52
21	A If the consumer had the At-Home Network and	
22	they purchased the desired recording from us.	
23	Q So you made this proposal to At-Home Network,	
24	and was there any response?	
25	A I don't I don't recall. It would need to	12:43:10

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

_			•
	1	ultimately be a response because, at this time, this was	
	2	concurrent with taking the proof-of-concept first system	
	3	offline, and the At-Home Network had as its major	- - - -
	4	investor John Doerr. These were related discussions.	
	5	Q If I could take you to the next and final	12:43:36
	6	paragraph of page 25010 titled "Competitive Advantage,"	
	7	do you see that?	
	8	A Yes.	
l	9	Q The last sentence there begins: Other than	
	10	approximately \$1, which Digital Sight/Sound estimates	12:44:04
	11	will be paid as royalties to the recording artist, the	
	12	remaining proceeds will be maintained by Digital	
	13	Sight/Sound.	
	14	Do you see that?	
	15	A Yes.	12:44:17
	16	Q Do you understand that to be \$1 out of the	
	17	15.98 or 16.98 fees referenced above in that paragraph?	
	18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	19	THE WITNESS: No.	
	20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:44:36
	21	Q What do you understand the \$1 to be from?	
	22	A The desired recording, which could be a single.	
	23	Q When SightSound system was up and running, how	
	24	much did a single cost?	
	25	A In 1995? \$1.	12:45:20

	Page II/	1
1	Q What about in 1998?	
2	A I believe it's my recollection we shifted to	
3	99 cents.	
4	Q And what about 2002?	
5	A I think the same. It might it may well have	12:45:39
6	been different for different recordings.	
7	Q And how much was an album?	
8	A I believe that depended as well, but it was	
9	obviously multiples of that. I don't I don't recall	
10	specifically.	12:45:57
11	MR. BATCHELDER: Why don't we stop now and	
12	break for lunch.	:
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 12:45.	
14	(Lunch recess taken.)	
15	000	13:35:52
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 118	ì
1 2	AFTERNOON SESSION 1:35 P.M.	
	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 1:35.	
3		
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	13:36:01
5	Q Has there ever been a time in which	13:30:01
6	SightSound's principal focus was selling audio signals	
7	as opposed to video signals or video signals as opposed	
8	to audio signals?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What time?	13:36:31
11	A Circa 2000.	
12	Q And what was the primary focus?	
13	A Video signals.	
14	Q For how long?	
15	A Till 2002.	13:36:59
16	Q Until the system went offline?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And was there ever a time when SightSound's	
19	primary focus was selling audio signals as opposed to	
20	video signals?	13:37:20
21	A Yes.	
22	Q What time?	
23	A 1998.	
24	Q For how long?	
25	A Half a year.	13:37:36
		]

	rage 113	, 1
1	Q So was that all within 1998?	
2	A Into 1999.	
3	Q How far into 1999?	
4	A April 13th.	
5	Q What happened on April 13th?	13:38:04
6	A We sold the first movie download.	
7	Q Between April 13th, 1998 and 2000, did	
8	SightSound have a principal focus as between audio and	
9	video?	
10	A Between April 13th, 1998 and 2000, we focused	13:38:47
11	on both.	
12	Q All right. So let's start with the the	
13	first time frame that you identified there, the the	
14	time frame for about a half a year, somewhere around '98	
15	and into '99, where SightSound's primary focus was on	13:39:15
16	selling audio as opposed to video.	
17	Why was that SightSound's primary focus?	
18	A We were still negotiating for the right to sell	# 1 1
19	the first movie.	
20	Q And how long had SightSound tried to secure	13:39:38
21	rights to sell movies?	
22	A During that same time period.	
23	Q Roughly how long?	
24	A '98 into '99.	
25	Q And how many months, roughly?	13:39:56

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

Page 00119

	Page 120	
1	A Maybe six.	
2	Q Why did it take so long?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: It didn't.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	13:40:36
6	Q Why did it take six months?	
7	A I don't know.	
8	Q In 2002 excuse me.	
9	In year 2000, roughly when during that year did	
10	SightSound begin to focus primarily on selling video as	13:40:57
11	opposed to audio?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: In the year 2000?	
14	MR. BATCHELDER: Yes.	
15	THE WITNESS: For the year 2000.	13:41:18
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q So starting at the beginning of the year?	
18	A No.	
19	Q When?	
20	A I think I already answered that, at April of	13:41:25
21	1999.	
22	Q Is that April 13th?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Oh, okay. I thought the April date that you	
25	gave me was for '98.	13:41:44

	Page 121	1
1	A No.	
2	Q Maybe I just misunderstood.	
3	Okay. And so starting in April '99,	
4	SightSound's primary focus shifted to video; correct?	
5	A No.	13:42:01
6	Q What's incorrect?	
7	A SightSound's primary focus.	
8	Q I thought you had said that in April 13th,	
9	1999, SightSound's primary focus became selling video as	
10	opposed to audio?	13:42:22
11	A But you put limits as to just the sale of audio	
12	and video. Our primary focus was our patent rights.	
13	Q Okay. So as between selling video and audio,	
14	starting in April '99, SightSound's primary focus as	
15	between those two was selling video; correct?	13:42:48
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Why did that shift?	
18	A Success in obtaining high-quality video to	
19	sell.	
20	Q What do you mean by "high-quality video"?	13:43:13
21	A Popular popular shows like South Park.	
22	Q Did you also regard the quality of SightSound's	
23	movie offerings to be high quality in that time frame?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13:43:45

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

Page 00121

	rage 122	· 7
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q And for how long was that true?	
3	A Since April 13th of 1999.	
4	Q So since SightSound had its first movie	
5	available for distribution on its system, you regarded	13:44:11
6	SightSound's movie offerings to be high quality as	
7	measured by the kind of movies that consumers wanted; is	
8	that fair?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13:44:25
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q How much money has SightSound received from	
13	investors over the years?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I think I answered that already.	13:44:44
16	Approximately something north of 45 million.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q In addition to money received from investors,	
19	has SightSound done any borrowing?	
20	A Yes.	13:45:12
21	Q How much has it borrowed?	
22	A I don't know specific precisely, but a	
23	portion of that 46 would be borrowing.	
24	Q Can you estimate it?	
25	A Perhaps 6 million.	13:45:32

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

Page 00122

1	Q	So roughly 6 million borrowing and roughly	
2		on in investment?	
3	А	Roughly.	
4	Q	Of the money that SightSound has borrowed, what	
5		ge of it has been paid back?	13:46:00
6	А	I don't know precisely.	
7	Q	Can you ballpark it for me?	
8	A	The the outstanding debt, approximately	
9	6 millio		
10	Q	Is still outstanding today?	13:46:37
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	So has SightSound paid back any of its debt?	
13	А	Over the life of the company?	
14	Q	Yes.	
15	А	Yes.	13:46:55
16	Q	And of the \$40 million of investment, has	
17	SightSou	and returned any of that money to investors; that	
18	is, has	it cashed out any of the investments?	
19	А	I don't believe so.	
20	Q	And how much money has SightSound spent over	13:47:15
21	the year	rs?	
22	А	You said if you asked me a question that was	
23	confusin	ng to me, I could I there there are	
24	differen	at entities that you collectively referred to as	
25	"SightSc	ound," and so I can't I don't know the answer	13:47:53
			1

	rage 124	<u>.</u>
1	to the question for all the entities.	
2	Q All right. Do you know the answer to the	
3	question for any of them?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Which ones do you know the answer for?	13:48:09
6	A For Holdings.	
7	Q And what's the answer?	
8	A As I said, approximately 46 million, plus or	
9	minus.	
10	Q And do you know the answer for any others?	13:48:18
11	A No.	
12	Q Okay. So all of the money that has ever been	
13	invested in or loaned to SightSound Holdings is spent;	
14	is that right?	
15	A Yes.	13:48:44
16	Q If I could bring you back to the Rule 30(B)(6)	
17	notice that we looked at, which is Exhibit 177. Of	
18	course, it's the last document.	
19	A It's the last thing I find, yeah.	
20	Q If I could ask you to turn, again, to the	13:49:31
21	Schedule A in the back with the list of topics and,	
22	again, to Topic 12.	
23	A Okay.	
24	Q We have been talking for much of of the day	
25	so far about SightSound's 1995 system and its 1998	13:49:44

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Tage 125	7
1	system that offered for sale certain audio signals and	
2	video signals.	
3	And bringing you back to Topic 12, the question	
4	is: Is it your understanding that those systems	
5	practiced the patents-in-suit?	13:50:05
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection. Object to the	
7	preamble. I also object to the question as asked, calls	
8	for a legal conclusion, may call for expert testimony.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	12 50 25
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	13:50:25
11	Q Does SightSound have a position in this	
12	litigation on the question of whether the 1995 system	
13	and the 1998 system practiced one or more asserted	
14	claims of the patents-in-suit?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Same objections.	13:50:41
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Does SightSound have a position in this	
19	litigation as to whether the '95 system or the '98	
20	system embody, integrate, use, or practice one or more	13:50:52
21	asserted claims of the patents-in-suit?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Same objections.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Do you understand that, in this litigation,	13:51:13
1	·	

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		1
1	SightSound is accusing Apple, through the use of its	
2	iTunes Store, of infringing the patents-in-suit?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Do you understand that Apple, through the use	
5	of its iTunes Store, has profitably sold audio files?	13:51:34
6	A I don't know Apple's profits.	
7	Q Do you believe that App Apple's iTunes	
8	Store has been a profitable enterprise?	
9	A I don't know.	
10	Q Would you describe Apple's iTunes Store as	13:52:03
11	successful?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Why?	
14	A It is my understanding that they have the	
15	overwhelming majority of the market share for download	13:52:23
16	sale.	
17	Q And what market are you referring to?	
18	A The download sale of audio and video	
19	recordings.	
20	Q How do you explain Apple's success in running	13:52:46
21	its iTunes Store as opposed to the level of success	
22	achieved by SightSound with its 1995 and 1998 systems?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: Timing.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	13:53:21

	Page 127	•
1	Q Anything else?	
1	Q Anything else?  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2		
3	THE WITNESS: No.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	13:53:42
5	Q If someone were to argue that Apple's success	
6	arose from, at least in significant part, its ability to	
7	persuade major record labels to allow their goods to be	
8	sold on iTunes, how would you respond?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for speculation,	
10	incomplete hypothetical.	13:54:06
11	THE WITNESS: If someone were to argue that	
12	Apple's success arose, from at least in significant	
13	part, of its ability to persuade maj major record	
14	I don't think I understand the question.	
15	MR. BATCHELDER: I'll start over.	13:55:01
16	Q You have been talking about the success of the	
17	iTunes Store, and my question is: If someone were to	
18	argue that, at least in a significant part, that success	
19	was attributable to Apple's ability to persuade major	
20	record holder or, excuse me, major record companies	13:55:15
21	to permit their offerings to be sold on the iTunes	
22	Store, how would you respond?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for speculation,	
24	incomplete hypothetical.	
25	You can answer.	13:55:30
1		1

	1 2 3 2 2 2	
1	THE WITNESS: I believe I've already answered.	
2	I believe that the timing was the thing. The record	
3	labels chose to sell their music.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Why do you think that the major record labels	13:55:57
6	were ready to do that in 2004 and not 2002?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: Piracy.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Is there anything that you believe Apple did	13:56:14
11	better than SightSound that helps to explain why Apple	
12	was able to succeed with the iTunes Store in a way that	
13	SightSound was not able to succeed with its systems?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: No.	13:56:35
16	MR. BATCHELDER: What number are we on?	:
17	THE REPORTER: 189.	
18	(Exhibit 189 was marked for identification by	
19	the Court Reporter.)	
20	MR. BATCHELDER: I've marked, as Exhibit 189, a	13:57:48
21	document spanning the Bates range STI-13150 through	
22	13159.	
23	Q My first question is whether you recognize this	
24	document?	
25	A Yes.	13:58:07
1		1

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	raye 123	1
1	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
2	A A limited license to Henry Moore.	
3	Q And who is Henry Moore?	
4	A He was a guy in Philadelphia.	
5	Q How did this license come to be?	13:58:53
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: Chris Reese prepared it, I	
8	expect.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Prior to the preparation of the document,	13:59:15
11	though, what communications between SightSound and Henry	
12	Moore led to this agreement?	
13	A I don't recall.	
14	Q Were you involved in any way?	
15	A I don't believe so.	13:59:27
16	Q Were there any communications to SightSound	
17	about how Mr. Moore or Moore Multimedia Publishing would	
18	make use of its license rights?	
19	A I don't remember.	
20	Q Were any documents exchanged between SightSound	13:59:53
21	and Mr. Moore or Moore Multimedia Publishing that led to	
22	this agreement?	
23	A I don't know.	
24	Q When this agreement was signed, did you have	
25	any expectation about how the licensee would make use of	14:00:18

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		age 150
1	the license?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q What was your understanding?	
4	A That it was limited to one year.	
5	Q Aside from the time frame when this agree	ement 14:00:32
6	was signed, did you have any expectation about how	the
7	licensee would make use of the license?	
8	A I don't recall.	
9	Q You did sign the agreement; correct?	
10	A Yes.	14:00:54
11	Q Has SightSound received any royalties und	ler
12	this agreement?	
13	A I don't think so.	
14	Q After the signing of this agreement, were	there
15	any communications between SightSound and Mr. Moor	re 14:01:17
16	about whether the license rights were being exerci	sed by
17	Moore or Moore Publishing?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:01:34
21	Q You are not aware of any?	
22	A I'm not.	
23	Q In Section 4.2, there is a royalty provis	sion of
24	1 percent of net sales.	
25	Do you see that?	14:01:59

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 131	Ī
1	A Yes.	
2	Q How did that royalty rate come to be? In other	
3	words, what were the negotiations that led to that?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: The internally in SightSound,	14:02:13
6	there was contemplation to grant limited low royalty	
7	rate to independent artists as a as a strategy of	
8	outreach that was distinct from dealings with the major	
9	record labels or movie studios.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:02:56
11	Q What do you mean by the phrase "independent	
12	artists"?	
13	A Not signed to a major record label.	
14	Q Was Henry Moore an independent artist?	
15	A That was my understanding, or he was seeking to	14:03:06
16	aggregate independent artists.	
17	Q How did you come to that understanding?	
18	A I knew that he was not a major record label.	
19	Q Did someone communicate to you that he was	
20	seeking to aggregate artists?	14:03:25
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Aside from the License Agreement that we have	
25	been discussing, Exhibit 189, has SightSound entered	14:03:43

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
into any other License Agreements to any of the
1
      patents-in-suit?
 2
 3
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: No, I don't think so.
                                                                  14:04:01
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
 5
               Did SightSound enter into a contract with
 6
      Polygram?
 8
           A
               Yes.
               Polygram paid $55,000 to SightSound?
                                                                  14:04:19
               Yes.
10
           Α
               For what?
11
           Q
               An exclusive negotiating period.
12
           Α
               How long was that period?
13
           Q
               Five weeks.
14
           Α
                                                                  14:04:30
               During that period, did SightSound provide
15
      information to Polygram about SightSound's business and
16
      offerings?
17
18
           A
               Yes.
               Did Polygram interview SightSound employees?
19
           Q
                                                                  14:04:51
2.0
           Α
               Yes.
               And did SightSound provide information in
21
           Q
22
      writing?
                I don't -- I don't recall. That was the
23
      agreement you just referenced.
24
                                                                  14:05:09
               What SightSound employees did Polygram
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

			1
	1 interv	lew in connection with that analysis?	
	2 A	Myself and Mr. Hair.	
	3 Q	Did SightSound make available any third parties	
	4 that we	ere working on its behalf to communicate with	
	5 Polygra	am during that window?	14:05:25
	6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	7	THE WITNESS: Our lawyers.	
	8 BY MR.	BATCHELDER:	
	9 Q	What about bankers?	
1	.0 A	No.	14:05:36
1	.1 Q	You said the consideration window was five	
1	.2 weeks?		
1	.3 A	Yes.	
1	.4 Q	Did you get the sense that Polygram had thought	
1	.5 pretty	hard about the issue of whether to go forward?	14:05:53
1	. 6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
-	.7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
-	BY MR.	BATCHELDER:	
-	.9 Q	It ultimately decided not to go forward?	
2	20 A	They did.	14:06:04
2	?1 Q	What reasons did they cite?	
2	22	MR. DiBOISE: Sorry?	
Ź	23	THE WITNESS: What reasons did Polygram cite?	
2	24	MR. BATCHELDER: That's the question.	
2	25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	14:06:17

	3	-
1	THE WITNESS: Timing. Timing.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q Could you further explain?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: It was I can't speculate as to	14:06:25
6	what happened in Polygram's executives' minds. I do	
7	generally understand that there was a difference between	
8	those who wanted to proceed and those who felt that it	
9	was too soon.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:06:58
11	Q What was the time period associated with this?	
12	A 1993.	
13	Q Did Polygram ever come back to SightSound and	
14	say, "The timing was bad in '93, but I think the time is	
15	right now"?	14:07:09
16	A I don't recall.	
17	Q Did you ever reapproach Polygram and suggest	
18	that "I know the timing wasn't good from your	
19	perspective in 1993, but what about now?"	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	14:07:22
21	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't know.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q You don't remember doing so?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	14 07 00
25	THE WITNESS: Polygram had changed.	14:07:29

	rage 133	' 1
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q You don't remember having that communication?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: What communication? I'm sorry.	
5	I don't understand.	14:07:39
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Do you remember going back to Polygram after	
8	they said no in the 1993 time frame and saying, "My	
9	understanding was that, from your perspective, the	
10	timing wasn't right in 1993, but what about now? Is the	14:07:52
11	timing right now?"	
12	A No.	
13	Q Did you have a communication like that?	
14	A I don't remember.	
15	Excuse me. Could we break while he digs	14:08:38
16	through the box? Do you mind?	
17	Q Do you need a break?	
18	A Just for a moment.	
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:07.	
20	(Recess taken.)	14:08:48
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 2:12.	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked a document as	
23	Exhibit 32. It's been premarked, so I'm just going to	
24	hand it if you would hand one copy to the witness.	
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	14:13:16

	Page 136	) 1
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Exhibit 32 is has a heading right over the	
3	table "Parties Who Receive the Patent License Offer or	
4	Notice of Possible Infringement."	
5	Have you seen this document before?	14:13:27
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And do you understand it to be a complete list	
8	of parties who have received a patent license offer or	
9	notice of possible infringement from SightSound?	
10	A I don't know.	14:13:52
11	Q As you sit here, are there any entities who you	
12	believe received a patent license offer from SightSound	
13	or a notice of possible infringement from SightSound but	
14	do not appear on this list?	
15	A I don't know. This looks like quite a	14:14:09
16	comprehensive list, but as I sit here, I cannot say	
17	whether it's everyone that ever received such an offer.	
18	I don't know.	
19	Q But no one leaps to mind?	
20	A No.	14:14:41
21	Q And as you sit here, do you notice any entities	
22	on this list that you believe did not receive from	
23	SightSound a patent license offer or notice of possible	
24	infringement?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Sorry. Just hold on a second.	14:14:56

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
So just so I understand, is the list
      over-inclusive?
 2
               MR. BATCHELDER: Exactly.
 3
               Are there any entities listed here that don't
                                                                 14:15:11
      belong?
               MR. DiBOISE: I don't know.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               And Moore Multimedia is in the left-hand column
      near the bottom. We have just talked about that
                                                                 14:15:27
      license, but to confirm, you are not aware that any of
10
      the other entities on Exhibit 32 have actually entered
11
      into a License Agreement with SightSound; is that
12
      correct?
13
               Yes.
14
           Α
                                                                 14:15:38
               In your understanding in October of 1993, was
15
      an offer made to purchase patent rights from SightSound
16
      and Mr. Hair for $10 million?
17
18
               Was an offer made? I don't recall.
19
               MR. BATCHELDER: 190?
                                                                 14:17:07
20
               THE REPORTER: Yes.
21
               MR. BATCHELDER: Thanks.
22
               (Exhibit 190 was marked for identification by
               the Court Reporter.)
23
               MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as
24
                                                                 14:17:12
      Exhibit 190, a document spanning the Bates range
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
SST-8779 through 8787.
               The first page of this document has on the top
 2
      "Newco Offer," and then there's a paragraph describing
 3
      an offer.
                                                                  14:17:34
               Do you see that --
              Yes.
           Α
               -- paragraph?
           Q
           Α
              Yeah.
               Have you seen it before?
                                                                  14:17:39
               No.
10
           Α
               Would you read that paragraph to yourself,
11
           Q
      please, and let me know when you have finished.
12
               I'm finished.
           Α
13
               Thanks.
14
           0
                                                                  14:18:02
               Do you have any reason to doubt the factual
15
      accuracy of that paragraph on the face page of
16
17
      Exhibit 190?
18
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: Do I have a reason to doubt the
19
                                                                  14:18:29
      factual accuracy of this paragraph? No.
20
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
21
               Do you have any independent knowledge about
22
23
      this subject?
24
               Could you ask that again, please?
               Do you have any independent knowledge about the 14:18:44
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 139	1
1	subject of this	
2	A Independent of this paragraph?	
3	Q Yes.	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And what do you know?	14:18:49
6	A That in the earliest days, circa 1993, a record	
7	retailer, which was controlled by a guy named Bill	
8	Teitelbaum, he was one of the few people that sort of	
9	got it and tried to do something with us.	14:19:14
10	Q Did he make a written offer to SightSound and	14.17.14
11	Mr. Hair?	
12	A Apparently.	
13	Q I'm sorry?	
14	A I said "apparently."	
15	Q And what leads you to say that?	14:19:41
16	A Because this is the the next pages are from	
17	Teitelbaum or his entity or his, quote, group. Appears	
18	to be the creator of this document.	
19	Q And do you have any understanding as to what	
20	patent rights Mr. Teitelbaum was seeking to acquire?	14:20:15
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know that it was patent	
23	rights. I thought there was an investment to Newco, to	
24	create a company.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:20:55

		1 4 9 2 1 0	1
	1	Q If I could turn you back to the cover of	
	2	Exhibit 190, and that first sentence describes the offer	
	3	as being for the sale of the '573 patent.	
	4	Do you see that?	
	5	A I see that.	14:21:19
	6	Q Do you think that's accurate or inaccurate?	
	7	A That appears to be accurate.	
	8	Q So your understanding is that it was a	
	9	\$10 million offer for the sale of the '573 patent?	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	14:21:55
	11	THE WITNESS: No, but no.	
	12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	13	Q Why no?	
	14	A Because there would be participation there	
-	15	would be a revenue participation. It wasn't a one-time	14:22:41
	16	sale and everything's done.	
	17	Q What do you mean by the phrase "revenue	
	18	participation"?	
	19	A Thereafter, all revenues shall be distributed	
	20	equally between Newco and inventor.	14:22:58
	21	Q Were you involved in the decision as to whether	
	22	or not this offer would be acceptable?	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q What was your involvement?	
	25	A Commencing in 1993, Arthur and I were were	14:23:24

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		1 dgC 111	1
1	involved	with each other on SightSound strategy.	
2	Q	And what was your counsel on this question?	
3	. A	I did not think Bill Teitelbaum was a good guy.	
4	Q	Why not?	
5	А	Business practices and general impression at	14:23:52
6	National	Record Mart.	
7	Q	You didn't trust him?	
8	А	No.	
9	Q	So you counseled against entering into this	
10	deal?		14:24:20
11	A	As I sit here today, it's my recollection.	
12	Q	For the reasons that you have just cited?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	And did Mr. Hair feel the same way?	
15	А	I don't know.	14:24:51
16	Q	Did he express to you that he felt the same	
17	way?		
18	А	I don't remember.	
19		(Exhibit 191 was marked for identification by	
20		the Court Reporter.)	14:25:18
21		MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
22	Exhibit	191, a document spanning the Bates range	
23	SST-7842	through 7848.	
24	Q	Have you seen this document before?	
25	А	Yes.	14:25:52

```
What do you recognize it to be?
1
               I think it was part of the original
2
      organization of what you have been collectively
3
      referring to as "SightSound."
               Why was this transaction entered into?
                                                                 14:26:15
               MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that counsel's
6
      question would require you, in providing your answer, to
      reveal any discussions you may have had with counsel --
8
      attorneys -- by "counsel" that's what I mean there -- I
9
                                                                 14:26:38
10
      would caution you not to do so when answering his
      question. However, if you can answer his question
11
12
      without revealing those discussions, if any had
      occurred, feel free to do so.
13
               Do you understand the instruction?
14
                                                                 14:26:49
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
               MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question.
17
               THE WITNESS: No.
18
               MR. DiBOISE: Then I'll instruct you not to
19
      answer the question on the basis of attorney-client
                                                                 14:26:58
20
      privilege.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
21
22
               Are you going to follow that advice?
23
               Yes.
               Were there business reasons as opposed to legal
24
           0
                                                                 14:27:04
      reasons for entering into the Patent License Agreement
25
```

	Page 143	•
1	that is Exhibit 191?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Same cautionary instruction.	
3	Could you answer the question?	
4	THE WITNESS: No.	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Based on the instruction?	14:27:29
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Okay.	
8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
9	Q If you could turn to the signature page, you	
10	signed on behalf of both contracting entities; correct?	14:27:35
11	A Yes.	
12		
13	Exhibit 191, Mr. Hair also signed on behalf of both	
14	contracting entities; correct?	14:27:59
15	A Yes.	14.27.33
16	Q Were the contracting entities both also	
17	represented by the same lawyers?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Was this a negotiated transaction, that is, the	
20	Patent License Agreement of Exhibit 191?	14:28:32
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection. It's "yes" or "no" or	
22	"I don't know." I mean, I'm not going to instruct you	
23	not to answer "yes" or "no."	
24	THE WITNESS: A negotiated transaction?	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:29:02

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
Yeah, my question is: Is the Patent License
1
      Agreement reflected in Exhibit 191 -- was it a
 2
      negotiated transaction?
3
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
                                                                 14:29:10
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
 5
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
 6
               Do you recall any negotiations?
           Q
               MR. DiBOISE: Again "yes" or "no."
8
               THE WITNESS: No.
                                                                 14:29:25
10
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
               If I could ask you to turn to Section 3, in the
11
      first line of Section 3, it says that Parsec Sight/Sound
12
      hereby grants to Digital Sight/Sound the exclusive right
13
      and license. Then it goes on to refer to certain patent
14
                                                                 14:29:45
      rights.
15
16
               Do you see that?
17
               Yes.
           Α
18
               Was there a business reason as opposed to a
      legal reason for conferring that exclusive right and
19
                                                                 14:29:57
20
      license?
               MR. DiBOISE: If you can make that distinction.
21
               THE WITNESS: I can't.
22
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
23
              You can't answer the question?
24
                                                                 14:30:08
25
             Make that distinction.
           Α
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 145	•
1	Q Okay.	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Then I'll instruct him.	
3	MR. BATCHELDER: Okay. You are instructing him	
4	not to answer?	14-20-14
5	MR. DiBOISE: Yes.	14:30:14
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q All right. If I could ask you to turn within	
8	Exhibit 191 to the page ending in Bates 7844, looking at	
9	Sections 4, 5, and 6 of the agreement, you will see	
10	Section 4 sets out an initial fee of \$1 million.	14:30:32
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	- - -
13	Q And that was to be paid to Parsec Sight/Sound	
14	by Digital Sight/Sound under this agreement; correct?	
15	A Yes.	14:30:48
16	Q Did that payment ever occur?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Assuming it did not occur, is there a reason	14:31:12
21	why?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q What reason?	
24	A The entities were recombined.	
25	Q How long after this agreement was consummated	14:31:38
1		1

	rage 140	
1	were the entities recombined?	
2	A I don't remember.	
3	Q This agreement was dated August 1995.	
4	Can you even estimate for me how much longer or	
5	what how much time elapsed after the consummation of	14:32:00
6	this agreement; that is, how much time elapsed between	
7	the consummation of this agreement and the recombining	
8	of the entities?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What's your estimate?	14:32:21
11	A Three or four years.	
12	Q Why wasn't the initial payment on paragraph	
13	excuse me, the initial fee spelled out in paragraph 4 of	
14	Exhibit 191 paid between the signing of the agreement	
15	and the recombining of the entities?	14:32:44
16	A You know, I don't know exactly when we	
17	recombined them. As I sit here and think about it more,	
18	I don't I don't remember when Digital and Parsec were	
19	combined into SightSound.	
20	Q Putting aside the specific date, is there a	14:33:07
21	reason that the initial fee set out in Section 4 of	
22	Exhibit 191 wasn't paid at or shortly after the	
23	consummation of the agreement?	
24	A I don't recall.	
25	Q There's an annual licensing fee set out in	14:33:27

	rage 147	
1	Section 5 of the agreement.	
2	Was an annual licensing fee ever paid under	
3	this agreement?	
4	A I don't know.	
5	Q Assuming no annual licensing fee was paid, is	14:33:43
6	there a reason why?	
7	A Assuming no fee was paid? We weren't executing	
8	the method protected by the patent.	
9	Q Meaning your system had been shut down?	
10	A Yes.	14:34:20
11	Q Did did any money change hands between the	
12	two licensing parties to Exhibit 191 pursuant to its	
13	terms?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	14:34:45
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Are you familiar with an entity called Adams	
18	Capital?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Did SightSound ever enter into negotiations	14:35:05
21	with Adams Capital?	
22	A Discussions, yes.	
23	Q Did SightSound ever make a proposal to Adams	
24	Capital?	
25	A I don't recall.	14:35:24

		raye 140	1
1	Q	Was any deal ever consummated?	
2	А	No.	
3	Q	Do you recall why?	
4	А	No.	·
5		THE REPORTER: 192.	14:35:36
6		(Exhibit 192 was marked for identification by	
7		the Court Reporter.)	
8		MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
9	Exhibit	192, a document spanning the Bates range	
10	SST-8856	through 8864.	14:36:15
11	Q	Do you recognize this document?	
12	А	No.	
13	Q	On well, first of all, you see on the cover	
14	page thi	s is addressed to a Joel Adams at Adams Capital	
15	Manageme	ent.	14:36:44
16		Do you see that?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	And your name is listed on the second page of	
19	the sign	nature block.	
20		Do you see that?	14:36:58
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Did this communication ever go to Adams Capital	
23	Manageme	ent?	
24	А	I don't know.	
25	Q	Do you have any reason to think it did not?	14:37:11
L			_

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		rage 149	1
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	What's that reason?	
3	А	It's unsigned.	
4	Q	Any other reason?	
5	А	No.	14:37:20
6	Q	Who draft Exhibit 192?	
7	А	I think Art.	
8	Q	Did you have any role in drafting?	
9	А	I don't remember.	
10	Q	In the second paragraph of the document,	14:37:49
11	there's	a reference to SightSound creating an affiliated	
12	company,	Virtual Records.	
13		Do you see that?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Did Virtual Records ever get created?	14:38:07
16	А	No.	
17	Q	Why not?	
18	А	All overtures to potential investors ended up	
19	in a dis	cussion about the patents.	
20	Q	How does that answer the question about why	14:38:40
21	SightSou	nd did not end up creating Virtual Records?	
22	А	Investors consistently expressed an interest in	
23	combine	owning the patent rights, as well as any	
24	interest	in any operating business.	
25	Q	And what does that have to do with Virtual	14:39:02

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Tage 100	
1	Records not getting created?	
2	A Everything.	
3	Q How so?	
4	A Separating the value of the patent rights from	
5	the operating business was unattractive to investors.	14:39:16
6	Q What was SightSound's vision for Virtual	
7	Records?	
8	A To attract different types of investor capital.	
9	Q And what was the envisioned business plan for	
10	Virtual Records?	14:40:15
11	A To operate the system and download the music.	1
12	Q On the bottom of that first page, there's a	1
13	proposal for Adams Capital Management to invest between	ĺ
14	4 million and \$9 million.	
15	Do you see that?	14:40:49
16	A Yes.	
17	Q And that would result in a 22.2 percent to	
18	50 percent ownership position.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A Yes.	14:41:05
21	Q Ownership position in what?	
22	A Digital Sight/Sound.	
23	Q Was Digital Sight/Sound at the time the entity	
24	that owned the patents?	
25	A No.	14:41:28

	<del></del>	
1	Q Did it have an exclusive license to the	
2	patents?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q So did SightSound propose that for a \$9 million	
5	investment, Adams Capital Management could secure a	14:42:06
6	50 percent share of an entity that had an exclusive	
7	license to practice the patents?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I, myself, am	
10	confused by the language in this paragraph in the	14:43:34
11	letter, 22.2 percent to 50 percent.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q What is it that's confusing?	
14	A Did SightSound propose that for a \$9 million	
15	investment, Adams Capital Management could secure a	14:43:57
16	50 percent share of an entity that had an exclusive	
17	license to practice the patents. Option to purchase.	
18	I'm confused by the range of percentages that are	
19	expressed here.	
20	Q What is it about that range that's confusing?	14:44:24
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: All right. They would have an	
23	option, it appears, to purchase up to 50 percent. The	
24	mathematics work out based upon the amount of money	
25	potentially invested to range from 22.2 percent to	14:45:05

	rage 102	- -
1	50 percent.	
2	MR. BATCHELDER: The videographer needs to	
3	change the tape, so let's break here.	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Disc 2.	
5	Off the record at 2:44.	14:45:16
6	(Recess taken.)	
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This is Disc 3 of	
8	Scott Sander.	
9	On the record at 2:49.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	14:50:50
11	Q Directing your attention back to Exhibit 192,	
12	in the final paragraph on the cover page, there's a	
13	reference there to ACM will receive an option to	
14	purchase between 4 million and \$9 million of shares of	
15	Digital Sight/Sound valued at approximately \$128.57 per	14:51:11
16	share which would result in a 22 percent to 50 percent	
17	ownership position respectively.	
18	Do you see that sentence?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Is it your understanding, based on that	14:51:30
21	sentence, that the \$4 million investment that's	
22	referenced there would result in a 22 percent ownership	
23	share in Digital Sight/Sound?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: Is it my understanding that the	14:51:42

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		1090 200	
	1	sentence results in I'm confused.	
	2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	3		
	4	sentence on the cover page of Exhibit 192 that straddles	14:52:26
	5	the first and second page of that exhibit, is it your	14.02.20
	6	understanding that the \$4 million referenced there, if	
	7	made by Adams Capital Management as an investment, would	
	8	result in a 22.2 percent share of Digital Sight/Sound?	
	9	A Yes.	
	10	Q Is it also your understanding that if it	14:52:43
	11	invested 9 million as opposed to 4 million, it would	
	12	receive a 50 percent share in Digital Sight/Sound?	
	13	A Yes.	
	14	THE REPORTER: 193.	
	15	(Exhibit 193 was marked for identification by	14:52:55
	16	the Court Reporter.)	
	17	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
	18	Exhibit 193, Bates ranges SST-8865 through 8867, and	
	19	these are two letters, both to Mr. Joel Adams.	
	20	Q Starting with the first letter, the one dated	14:54:08
	21	November 10, 1995, have you seen that letter before?	
	22	A I don't remember.	
	23	Q There's a reference in the first paragraph of	
-	24	that letter to \$600,000 of seed funding.	
	25	Do you see that in the third line?	14:54:37
			<b>E</b>

	Page 154	I
1	A Yes.	
2	Q There's also a reference near the bottom of the	
3	page do you see those five enumerated entries?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And there's a 24.84 percent share reference	14:54:53
6	there in No. 3.	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Do you understand what's being proposed is that	
10	for \$600,000, Adams Capital Management would get a	14:55:08
11	24.84 percent share?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q If I could direct your attention, then, to the	
14	November 17, 1995 letter, which is a part of	
15	Exhibit 193.	14:57:07
16	This is a letter that you co-signed; correct?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And there are seven enumerated entries there in	
19	the body of the letter.	
20	Do you see those?	14:57:23
21	A Yes.	
22.	Q In Entry No. 3, there's a \$300,000 investment	
23	by Adams.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	14:57:32

	rage 130	1
1	Q And then there's another \$300,000 investment	
2	referenced in Item No. 5.	
3	Do you see those?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q So for that combined \$300,000 investment, Adams	14:57:42
6	would get what? What percentage of Digital Sight/Sound?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: The combined \$300,000 investment	
9	that actually suggests a combined \$600,000 investment?	
10	Is that the question?	14:58:47
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q My question is directed to if both the \$300,000	
13	investment in Item No. 3 and the \$300,000 investment in	
14	Item No. 5 if both of those are made, combining to	
15	form a \$600,000 investment, under the proposal that's	14:59:00
16	set forth in this November 17 letter, then Adams Capital	
17	would receive what share?	
18	A 20,000 shares.	1
19	Q And what percentage share would that be of	
20	Digital Sight/Sound?	14:59:18
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q You are familiar with a company called	
25	V2 Music?	14:59:31

	raye 130	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q And did SightSound enter into negotiations with	
3	V2 Music?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Were you involved?	14:59:41
6	A Yes.	
7	Q What was your role?	
8	A I don't know that my I can't recall the	
9	specific negotiations, but we were I was in my	
10	capacity as trying to do business development with Art.	15:00:03
11	Q Were you the lead negotiator for SightSound?	
12	A I was lead in arranging the meetings.	
13	(Exhibit 194 was marked for identification by	
14	the Court Reporter.)	- - - -
15	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had a document marked	15:00:53
16	bearing the Bates range SST 24870. It's a one-page	
17	document, and it's an April 28th, 1997 letter to a	
18	Mr. Jeremy Pearce.	
19	Q Have you seen this document before?	
20	A Yes.	15:01:06
21	Q In what capacity?	
22	A President of Digital Sight/Sound.	
23	Q Did you draft this letter?	
24	A I don't remember.	
25	Q Did you send it?	15:01:26

		Page 157	
	1	A I don't remember.	
	2	Q Was a letter sent from you to Mr. Pearce	
	3	conveying an offer in connection with V2?	
	4	A I don't remember.	
	5	Q You will see in the third paragraph of the	15:01:44
	6	letter there is a reference, in the first sentence, to	
	7	dropping the royalty rate from 5.0 percent to	
	8	0.5 percent.	
	9	Do you see that?	
	10	A Yes.	15:02:12
	11	Q Were there such communications between V2 and	
	12	SightSound, that is, on the subject of dropping the	
	13	royalty rate from 5 to .5 percent?	
	14	A I believe so.	
	15	Q And did SightSound agree to that drop?	15:02:30
	16	A Yes.	
	17	Q After SightSound agreed to drop the royalty	
	18	rate to .5 percent, V2 still decided not to go forward	
	19	with the deal?	
	20	A Yes.	15:02:52
	21	Q What reasons did it give, if any?	
	22	A I don't remember.	
	23	(Exhibit 195 was marked for identification by	
	24	the Court Reporter.)	
	25	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	15:03:55
- 1			

	Page 138	•
1	Exhibit 195, a document spanning the Bates range	
2	SST-15891 through 15915, and the title page that is on	
3	the very top "Board Prospectus" dated August 15th, 1997.	
4	Q Have you seen this document before?	
5	A I don't recall seeing this.	15:04:18
6	Q Assuming that SightSound issued this	
7	prospectus, is this something that you would have	
8	reviewed in the ordinary course of discharging your	
9	duties and responsibilities?	
10	A Yes.	15:04:47
11	Q Do you have any reason to think that this	
12	prospectus was not distributed to potential investors?	
13	A I don't I don't know.	
14	Q On the cover page of Exhibit 195, it references	
15	2,977,825 shares Digital Sight/Sound common stock.	15:05:38
16	Do you see that?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q What is that?	
19	A Sorry?	
20	Q What do you understand that to refer to?	15:05:50
21	A 2,977,825 shares Digital Sight/Sound, Inc.,	
22	common stock?	
23	Q Right.	
24	What do you understand that to refer to? Is	
25	that a number of shares that SightSound was seeking to	15:06:07
1		1

```
sell at this time?
               Yes. Yes.
 2
               If you could turn to the page ending in the
 3
      Bates-stamped 5893, do you see on the top there's a
                                                                  15:06:33
      title "Prospectus Summary"?
               Do you see that?
           Α
               Yes.
               You will see in the fourth line there's a
      reference to "entertainment e-commerce"?
                                                                  15:06:48
               Yes.
10
           Α
               What does that phrase mean?
11
               Selling music and movies download over the
12
      Internet.
13
               What does the phrase "e-commerce" mean to you?
14
                                                                  15:07:07
               Shorthand for electronic commerce.
15
               And what does "electronic commerce" mean to
16
17
      you?
18
               It means commerce that's done in -- as distinct
19
      from physically shipping things on trucks.
                                                                  15:07:37
               Is entertainment e-commerce selling of
20
      entertainment in a way that does not involve shipping
21
22
      things on trucks?
23
               Yes.
               In the second paragraph of page 15893, there's
24
                                                                  15:07:58
      a reference to a band called The Gathering Field.
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco
www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 160	
1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And there's a reference in that last sentence	
4	to expiration of the Entertainment e-commerce Agreement.	
5	Do you see that?	15:08:12
6	A Yes.	
7	Q How long was the term of the agreement between	
8	SightSound and The Gathering Field?	
9	A I don't remember.	
10	Q Did it expire because its term ran, or did one	15:08:26
11	party or the other terminate it?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q In the third paragraph under the title	15:08:43
16	"Prospectus Summary," you see near the bottom it says,	
17	The company offers a variety of entertainment e-commerce	
18	services to record labels.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A I'm sorry. Where?	15:08:55
21	Q I'm looking at the	
22	A Where?	
23	Q the third paragraph under "Prospectus	
24	Summary"	
25	A Okay.	15:09:02
1		

	raye 101	1
1	Q and the final sentence of that paragraph.	
2	It says, Today, the company offers a variety of	
3	entertainment e-commerce services to record labels.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A Yes.	15:09:13
6	Q What were those services?	
7	A Preparing the recordings and artwork for	
8	presentation on the Internet and download sale.	
9	Q What artwork are you referring to?	
10	A Album cover art and related artwork related	15:09:38
11	to the specific recording.	
12	Q And SightSound was in the business of	
13	generating that artwork?	
14	A No.	
15	Q What services were related to that artwork was	15:09:55
16	SightSound providing?	
17	A We would take it from whatever source it was	
18	and make it functional for for graphical use user	
19	interface on the web.	
20	Q And how long was SightSound in the business of	15:10:15
21	doing that sort of artwork-related offering?	1
22	A In 1995 and then from 1998 till 2000.	
23	Q So the whole time that its system was up and	
24	running?	
25	A Yes.	15:10:37

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

			2 9 1	ı
	1	Q	If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
	2		, you will see near the top there's a title	
	3		ng Short Operating History, History of Losses,	
		_		
	4	unproven	Business, and No Assurance Profitability."	15:10:54
	5	7	Do you see that?	13.10.34
	6	A	Yes.	
	7	Q	In the first sentence there, it says that	
	8	2	nd has incurred substantial net losses in each	
	9	fiscal p	eriod since its inception.	
	10		Do you see that?	15:11:12
	11	А	Yes.	
	12	Q	Was that an accurate statement?	
	13	А	Yes.	
	14	Q	Was Apple in any way responsible for any of	
	15	those lo	sses?	15:11:33
	16		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	17		THE WITNESS: No.	
	18	BY MR. B	ATCHELDER:	
	19	Q	If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
	20	in 15896	, there's a subheading there that begins	15:11:53
	21	"Depende	nce on High Quality Audio and Video Content."	
	22		Do you see that?	
	23	А	Yes.	
	24	Q	In that paragraph, the third sentence begins:	
	25	The comp	eany's success is dependent on its ability to	15:12:19
•				

-			
	1	motivate the major owners of audio and video recordings	
	2	to purchase the company's e-warehousing and	
	3	e-distribution services.	
	4	Do you see that?	
	5	A Yes.	15:12:33
	6	Q Was that an accurate statement?	
	7	A As to distribution, yes.	
	8	Q And did SightSound ever succeed in motivating	
	9	the major owners of audio and video recordings to	
	10	purchase the company's e-warehousing and e-distribution	15:12:57
	11	services?	
	12	A Yes.	
	13	Q How so?	
	14	A We distributed content such as Miramax Films,	
	15	Comedy Central, Barney, etc.	15:13:15
	16	Q In connection with well, let me just say	
	17	this: Your answer now is directed all to video, at	
	18	least your examples were?	
	19	A Yes.	
	20	Q How would you rate SightSound's success in	15:13:49
	21	motivating the major owners of audio recordings to	
	22	purchase the company's e-warehousing and e-distribution	
	23	services?	
	24	A We decommissioned our distribution system prior	
	25	to their adoption of down of download sale.	15:14:09

	1	Q	So SightSound never succeeded on that notion;	
	2	correct?		
	3	А	On what metric?	
	4	Q	On the metric of motivating the major owners of	
	5	audio re	cordings to purchase the company's e-warehousing	15:14:43
	6	and e-di	stribution services?	
	7	А	No.	
	8	Q	I want to make sure that my question is relying	
	9	with you	r answer.	
	10		When you say "no," what do you mean?	15:14:58
	11	А	As to major audio recording labels, adopting	
	12	our part	icular distribution system that operated until	
	13	2002, th	ey they did not.	
	14	Q	Moving on in the same page in Exhibit 195,	
	15	page 158	96, there is a subheading in the middle of the	15:15:25
	16	page "De	ependence on Unique Web Spaces."	
	17		Do you see that?	
	18	А	Yes.	
	19	Q	And what does that reference "unique web	
	20	spaces"	mean to you?	15:15:42
	21	А	Point of all graphical user interface for music	
	22	and movi	es.	
	23	Q	In that paragraph, SightSound refers to the	
	24	creating	g unique web spaces which aggregate content for	
	25	the conv	venience of the customer, but, more importantly,	15:16:11
l				

	rage 100	_
1	entertain the customer up to the moment of purchase.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q How do you rate SightSound's success on that	
5	more important metric of entertaining the customer up to	15:16:24
6	the moment of purchase?	
7	A It was excellent.	
8	Q If I could ask you to turn, then, to the next	
9	page, SST-15897, under the paragraph "Competition," do	
10	you see that paragraph?	15:16:50
11	A Yes.	
12	Q There's a reference toward the bottom. You see	
13	about seven lines up or so the final word of the line is	
14	"such," sentence beginning: Such competitors may be	
15	able to undertake?	15:17:11
16	A Yes.	
17	Q It says, Such competitors may be able to	
18	undertake more extensive marketing campaigns, adopt more	
19	aggressive pricing policies, and devote substantially	
20	more resources to developing e-warehousing and	15:17:29
21	e-distribution services than the company.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Why was that an important thing to note in this	
25	document?	15:17:43
		1

		7
1	To decomplete such as this can leave a always	
1	A In documents such as this, our lawyers always	
2	required us to put in innumerable risk factors.	
3	Q If SightSound could be outperformed by a	
4	competitor engaged in more extensive marketing	15 10 07
5	campaigns, adopt more aggressive pricing policies, and	15:18:07
6	devote substantially more resources to developing	
7	e-warehousing and e-distribution services than	
8	SightSound did, would that provide a competitive edge to	
9	that competitor vis-a-vis SightSound?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	15:18:19
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Is it at least likely, in your mind?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection; calls for speculation.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	15:18:48
16	(Exhibit 196 was marked for identification by	
17	the Court Reporter.)	
18	MR. BATCHELDER: I've marked, as Exhibit 196, a	
19	two-page document, SST-8868 through 8869.	
20	Q Have you seen this document before?	15:19:44
21	A I don't remember it.	
22	Q You see your name in the signature block?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Did you create any of the content of	
25	this document?	15:20:04
ı		I

1	A I don't recall.	
1		
2	Q This appears to be in the form of a template,	
3	given that, in the upper left-hand corner, it's	:
4	addressed to Mr. VC.	
5	Do you see that?	15:20:30
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Do you understand that to be a reference to	
8	Mr. Venture Capitalist?	
9	A I think characterizing it as template is is	
10	accurate. Yes, VC would be venture capitalist.	15:20:41
11	Q And was this template followed; that is, were	
12	there communications sent out with this content to one	
13	or more venture capitalists or potential investors?	
14	A I don't recall it.	
15	Q You see in the middle of the first page there's	15:21:02
16	a heading "Why haven't I heard of Digital Sight/Sound	
17	and Parsec Sight/Sound?"	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And underneath that, it says, We've been	15:21:15
21	working behind the scenes developing strategies to	
22	enable large-scale transition to electronic commerce by	
23	the major owners of audio and video recordings.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	15:21:29

	Tage To	
1	Q Was that an accurate statement as of October	
2	1997?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And then in the paragraph underneath that, it	
5	says, These discussions commenced in 1993 following the	15:21:43
6	issuance issuance of the '573 patent. Audio and	
7	video content owners who have participated in these	
8	strategy and private discussions include, and then it	
9	lists several entities.	
10	Do you see those?	15:22:00
11	A Yes.	
12	Q And as to those listed entities, is the passage	
13	that I just read an accurate description?	
14	A An accurate description? Yes.	
15	Q It is accurate?	15:22:12
16	A Yes.	
17	Q So as of this date, SightSound had entered into	
18	substantive discussions with each of the entities listed	
19	on the this first page of Exhibit 196?	
20	A Yes.	15:22:25
21	Q All right. Now, I know the last one, N2K, is	
22	an entity that SightSound sued; correct?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Other than N2K, did SightSound enter into deals	
25	with any of the other entities listed on the face sheet	15:22:40

		Page 169	
1	of Exhib	it 196?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	Which ones?	
4	А	Polygram.	
5	Q	And what was the deal?	15:22:49
6	А	The the exclusive negotiating period.	
7	Q	This is the the \$55,000 deal for a five-week	
8	look?		
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	Okay. Aside from that deal, were any other	15:23:03
11	deals en	tered into with any of the other entities on	
12	this lis	t?	
13	A	Ever?	
14	Q	Yes.	
15	А	Yes.	15:23:18
16	Q	With who else?	
17	A	A wholly owned subsidiary of the Walt Disney	
18	Company.		
19	Q	I couldn't quite hear you. Could you say it	
20	louder.		15:23:26
21	А	A wholly owned subsidiary of Walt Disney	
22	Company,	Miramax Films.	
23	Q	And what was the deal?	
24	А	To distribute the content.	
25	Q	What content?	15:23:33

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

			rage 170	
	1	יד	Metion nigtures	
	1	F	•	
	2	Ç		
	3	F		
	4	Ç		
	5	P	Yes.	15:23:40
	6	Ç	When was that?	
	7	P	2000. You should put a question mark behind	
	8	that r	umber because plus or minus 2000, 2001.	
	9	Ç	And why was it limited to 12? Did you seek	
1	10	more?	Let's put it that way.	15:24:06
:	11	F	Did we seek more movies?	
:	12	Ç	Yes, from Miramax.	
	13	I	Yes.	
	14	Ç	Why was it limited to 12?	
	15	I	Demonstration project.	15:24:18
	16	Ç	How long did the project last?	
	17	Į.	Until we went offline in 2002.	
	18	Ç	So aside from the Polygram \$55,000 deal, the	
	19	deal v	with Miramax for 12 movies, and the N2K lawsuit,	
	20	did Si	ghtSound enter into any other transactions with	15:24:43
	21	any of	the entities listed on the face sheet of 196?	
	22	I	Yes.	
	23	(	) What other entities?	
	24	Ī	BMG Sony BMG.	
	25	(		15:25:04
		·	~ -	
-				

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco
www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 1/1	1
1	A Sony acquired BMG.	
2	Q And what deal did you enter into with Sony BMG?	
3	A They acquired the N2K agreement.	
4	Q Could you explain more what you mean by them	
5	acquiring the agreement?	15:25:28
6	A As the record labels consolidated, Bertelsmann	
7	Music Group, which had acquired N2K, which we were party	
8	to Patent License Settlement Agreement with that entity,	
9	then was merged into Sony, so by virtue of these various	
10	combinations over time, there is there is a there	15:25:52
11	is an agreement with that has that now survives	
12	into Sony BMG N2K. Does that make sense?	
13	Q I think so.	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q Let me just summarize it so I have it clear	15:26:09
16	that that Sony and BMG became a successor-in-interest	
17	to Bertelsmann under the Settlement Agreement involving	
18	SightSound on the one hand and N2K and Bertelsmann on	
19	the other hand?	
20	A Yes.	15:26:27
21	Q Okay. All right. Focusing again on	
22	Exhibit 196 and the entities listed on the face sheet,	
23	other than the transactions you just described for me,	
24	are there any other entities listed here as to which	
25	SightSound has entered into any transactions?	15:26:42

	rage 172	1
1	A No.	
2	Q Okay. All right. And then turning to	
3	page 8869 within Exhibit 196, there are a variety of	
4	names and entities listed there as folks to whom	
5	SightSound systematically presented the patent and	15:27:12
6	described the company's unique position of control.	
7	Do you see those?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And is that an accurate statement as to the	
10	the people and entities that are listed there?	15:27:22
11	A Yes.	
12	Q And did SightSound engage in those	
13	presentations in order to stimulate investments from	
14	those people and entities?	
15	A Yes.	15:27:36
16	Q And did any of these people in any of these	
17	entities make investments in SightSound?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Which ones?	
20	A Microsoft.	15:27:53
21	Q Any others?	
22	A No.	
23	Q What investment did Microsoft make in	
24	SightSound?	
25	A I believe they made nominal bridge loans, a	15:28:02

	Page 173	) <b>1</b>
1	bridge loan to us.	
2	Q Roughly, how much money?	
3	A I can't I can't remember. Several hundred	
4	thousand dollars.	
5	Q Several hundred thousand?	15:28:14
6	A Yeah, plus or minus.	
7	Q And is that were those loans ever paid back?	
8	A I don't know.	
9	Q Did SightSound or, excuse me, did Microsoft	
10	secure anything in return for those loans?	15:28:40
11	A No.	
12	Q Is there a reason that SightSound has not sued	
13	Microsoft under the patents-in-suit?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that an answer to	
15	your question would require you to divulge any	15:29:02
16	communication you've had with counsel, I would caution	
17	you not to so divulge those communications in answer to	
18	counsel's question.	
19	Can you answer the question without divulging	
20	such communications?	15:29:18
21	THE WITNESS: No.	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Then I would instruct you	
23	under pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and	
24	work product privileges not to answer counsel's	
25	question.	15:29:27

	1490 271	
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Do you, Scott Sander, have a belief as to	
3	whether Microsoft today is infringing any of the	
4	patents-in-suit?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that your	15:29:38
6	understanding is in part informed by your discussions	
7	with counsel, I would caution you, in answering	
8	counsel's question, not to reveal what counsel have	
9	discussed with you concerning the subject matter of his	
10	question.	15:30:05
11	Do you understand	
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
13	MR. DiBOISE: my admonition?	
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Okay. You can answer the	15:30:13
16	question.	
17	THE WITNESS: I cannot.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Did SightSound enter into any transaction with	
20	AT&T?	15:30:18
21	A Yes.	
22	Q What transaction?	
23	A It was a provisional license it was a	
24	provisional arrangement in the event that AT&T spun out	
25	into a separate entity, division of AT&T Bell Labs	15:30:48

	rage 175	
1	called A2B Music.	
2	Q So it was a provisional arrangement that would	
3	have gone forward if AT&T had spun out A2B Music as a	
4	separate entity?	
5	A Yes.	15:31:14
6	Q And it never did?	
7	A AT&T never spun A2B correct.	
8	Q All right.	
9	A No. No, they did not spin it out.	
10	Q And so aside from that provisional agreement,	15:31:26
11	there was no ultimately consummated agreement between	
12	AT&T and SightSound?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Did SightSound extend to AT&T a non-exclusive	
15	license offering?	15:31:50
16	A I don't recall the particulars of that	
17	arrangement.	
18	Q I'm looking now in the middle of the page 8869	
19	that we have been looking at within Exhibit 196.	
20	There's the question there's a heading "When Will You	15:32:06
21	Begin Offering Licenses?"	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q And underneath it, it says, Non-exclusive	
25	licenses have been extended to AT&T and N2K.	15:32:14

	rage 170	, 1
1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Do you have any reason to believe that's not	
4	accurate?	
5	A No.	15:32:21
6	Q Okay. And did AT&T ever take SightSound up on	
7	its offer to enter into a non-exclusive license?	
8	A Yes, but it didn't consummate because they	
9	didn't meet the standard of spinning out the division.	
10	(Exhibit 197 was marked for identification by	15:33:21
11	the Court Reporter.)	
12	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
13	Exhibit 197, a one-page document stamped SST-8787.	
14	Q And have you seen this document before?	
15	A Yes.	15:33:40
16	Q I couldn't hear you.	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Is that your signature on the bottom?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q In the final paragraph, it begins: Currently,	15:33:48
21	three of the major record labels are reviewing our	
22	License Agreement.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q What three labels is that referring to?	15:34:00

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco 800-869-9132 www.merrillcorp.com/law

Page 00176

_			
	1	A I can't recall which. What is the date of	
	2	this? April. I don't recall.	
	3	Q So earlier you had referred to Disney and	
	4	Warner Brothers and MGM.	
	5	Are those the same as the three major record	15:34:27
	6	labels that are being referenced there?	
	7	A I don't recall.	
	8	Q Was it an accurate statement at the time that	
	9	three of the major record labels were reviewing a	
	10	SightSound License Agreement?	15:34:42
	11	A Yes.	
	12	Q And were any of them consummated?	
	13	A No.	
	14	Q Were any of those three on the verge of a final	
	15	round of negotiations?	15:34:59
	16	A I don't know.	
	17	Q Did SightSound ever enter into negotiations	
	18	with major record labels in which negotiations got close	
	19	enough that you would say they were in the final round	
	20	before a license was signed?	15:35:14
	21	A A final round? No.	
	22	(Exhibit 198 was marked for identification by	
	23	the Court Reporter.)	
	24	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. I've had marked,	
	25	as Exhibit 98, a document spanning	15:36:26

		rage in	
1		THE REPORTER: 198. 198.	
2		MR. DiBOISE: You said "98."	
3		MR. BATCHELDER: Oh. I'm sorry.	
4		I've had marked, as Exhibit 198, a document	
	anannina		15:36:37
5		SST-8810 through 8812.	13.30.37
6	Q	Have you seen this document before?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	All right. Who is Ken Adams?	
9	А	An executive with the Coca Cola Company.	
10	Q	And did you send him this document,	15:37:02
11	Exhibit	198?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	What were you looking to accomplish?	
14		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15		THE WITNESS: It was in preparation for a	15:37:21
16	meeting.		
17	BY MR. B.	ATCHELDER:	
18	Q	What were your objectives?	
19	А	Introduce ourselves prior to the meeting.	
20	Q	What were your objectives for the meeting?	15:37:34
21	А	Obtain Coca Cola's support of Virtual Tour '98.	
22	Q	What was Virtual Tour '98?	
23	А	Multimedia download sale of music from multiple	
24	bands pr	esented as a specific online event.	
25	Q	Did it go forward?	15:38:15

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		Page 179	1
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	How long did it last?	
3	A	I don't I don't remember specifically.	
4	Q	In terms of generating a well, first of all,	
5	let me as	sk you: What did it cost SightSound to put on	15:38:30
6	Virtual 1	Four '98?	
7	А	I don't recall the specifics.	
8	Q	Millions of dollars?	
9	A	No, I don't believe so.	
10	Q	Can you give me any ballpark?	15:38:41
11	A	It was for a finite period of time, so whatever	
12	our burn	rate was at that time for that window of time.	
13	Q	In terms of generating a return on investment,	
14	how do yo	ou rate the success of Virtual Tour '98?	
15	А	I think it was very successful.	15:39:16
16	Q	Why do you say that?	
17	A	Because it demonstrated the virtue and ability	
18	of digita	al download as a way to introduce unsigned	
19	bands.		
20	Q	Did major record label artists participate?	15:39:43
21	А	No, they did not.	
22	Q	Did you want them to?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Did you seek their participation?	
25	A	No.	15:39:56

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 180	•
1	Q Why not?	
2	A Because we had an expectation and understanding	
3	from our various meetings that timing was not right.	
4	Q Was that your understanding as of this time	
5	frame, December 1997?	15:40:11
6	A Yes.	
7	Q If I could direct your attention to the second	
8	paragraph on SST-8810, in the last sentence there, you	
9	say, The event will showcase music from major record	
10	label artists.	15:40:31
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Did it?	
14	A No.	
15	Q Why not?	15:40:38
16	A The artists were independent artists.	
17	Q Why did you tell Ken Adams that the event would	
18	showcase music from major record label artists?	
19	A I think that's already been answered. I had	
20	hoped that it would.	15:41:02
21	Q This says it lasted for or it would last for	
22	98 days.	
23	Is that was that accurate?	
24	A I can't recall.	
25	Q And and when did the Virtual Tour take	15:41:13
1		1

```
place?
1
           Α
               1998.
           Q
               Do you remember the months?
           Α
               No.
                                                                 15:41:42
               MR. BATCHELDER: Why don't we take a short
 6
      break.
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:40.
 7
               (Recess taken.)
8
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 3:52.
 9
                                                                 15:53:55
               (Exhibit 199 was marked for identification by
10
               the Court Reporter.)
11
               THE REPORTER: 199.
12
13
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
               MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as
14
                                                                 15:54:00
      Exhibit 199, a document spanning SST-8694 through 8708.
15
      The top and cover page is titled "Information
16
      Memorandum," and the bottom of the cover page says
17
      Goldman Sachs & Co., March 9, '98.
18
19
               Have you seen this document before?
                                                                 15:54:24
20
              Yes.
           Α
               Did you review this before it was sent out to
21
22
      third parties?
23
           Α
               Yes.
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
24
                                                                 15:54:44
25
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
```

	raye 102	1
1	Q What was the directive from SightSound to	
2	Goldman Sachs?	
3	A Discussing raising capital.	
4	Q Over what time span?	
5	A 1998. I don't know when that I don't recall	15:55:03
6	when that relationship expired.	
7	Q What led it to expire?	
8	A The passage of time.	
9	Q And was there a in other words, was there a	
10	time-bounded contract with Goldman?	15:55:36
11	A I don't recall the specifics of the arrangement	
12	with Goldman.	
13	Q Did you ever terminate the relationship	
14	affirmatively, you or SightSound?	
15	A I don't recall.	15:55:51
16	Q Was Goldman successful in raising capital for	
17	SightSound?	
18	A I don't recall who was. We raised capital in	
19	1998, I believe. I don't recall the attribution to	
20	Goldman, us. I don't remember specifically.	15:56:15
21	Q Was Exhibit 199 provided to third-party	
22	potential investors?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q If I could direct your attention to the page	
25	ending 8696 titled "Executive Summary" at the top, do	15:56:56

	Page 183	1
1	you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q In the first paragraph, the phrase "the	
4	company" is used to refer to Digital and Parsec.	
5	Do you see that?	15:57:14
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And then in the second paragraph, the second	
8	sentence says, Management believes that third parties	
9	wishing to generate revenue by utilizing download sale	
10	of digital audio and digital video recordings over the	15:57:28
11	Internet will need to license the Hair patents and pay a	
12	royalty to the company.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Was that an accurate statement?	15:57:37
16	A Yes.	
17	Q There's a heading in the middle of page 8696	
18	that reads: Independent Producer of Music-Oriented	
19	Cutting-Edge Web Events.	
20	Do you see that?	15:58:03
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And then there's a reference in the third line	
23	to cutting-edge, web-based, music-oriented programming.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	15:58:12

	Page 184	ī
1	Q Did SightSound wind up creating web-based,	
2	music-oriented programming?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And can you describe that for me?	
5	A With using Virtual Tour '98 as an example, a	15:58:25
6	consumer could go and to a graphical user interface,	
7	sample 30-second free samples of the song, choose to	
8	download the song for purchase, and just be made aware	
9	of the music through a graphical interface.	
10	Q Aside from having a graphical interface and the	15:58:59
11	availability of free samples, was there any other	
12	music-oriented programming that SightSound made	
13	available to consumers?	
14	A We had flash animations, which I would	
15	characterize as part of the graphical user interfash	15:59:17
16	or interface.	
17	Q Anything else?	
18	A The previews of the songs and sometimes	
19	introduction of the songs by voiceover.	
20	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page within	15:59:40
21	Exhibit 199 that ends 8702, there's a heading at the top	
22	"Current Projects."	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And at the bottom of that first paragraph, it	15:59:58

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	Page 185	i
1	says, Digital's properties produce revenue from three	
2	sources: co-branding sponsors, advertisers, and	
3	customers purchasing download recordings.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A Yes.	16:00:15
6	Q Was that an accurate statement?	
7	A Designed to produce revenue from these sources?	
8	Q The statement that I just read says, Digital's	
9	properties	
10	A Yes.	16:00:42
11	Q produce revenue from three sources.	
12	Do you see that?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And was that an accurate statement?	
15	A Yes.	16:00:49
16	Q So let's go through those three categories.	
17	The first is co-branding sponsors.	
18	How much revenue was generated by SightSound in	
19	connection with co-branding sponsors over the years?	
20	A I don't recall specifically the amount of	16:01:00
21	revenue. It was the co-branding sponsor for Virtual	
22	Tour '98 was a company called Avery Dennison.	
23	Q Can you estimate the amount of revenue	
24	associated with co-branding sponsors?	
25	A I really can't. I don't recall it right now.	16:01:21

	Page 186	) <b>1</b>
1	Q The second category listed in that same	
2	sentence is advertisers.	
3	Can you estimate the amount of advertising	
4	revenue that SightSound generated?	
5	A We ultimately didn't pursue an	16:01:38
6	advertising-supported model, so I estimate it to be	
7	zero.	
8	Q And and do you make that estimate with	
9	confidence?	
10	A Yes.	16:01:50
11	Q Why did you choose not to include advertising	
12	as a revenue-generating source?	
13	A In the era of 1998 this was at the very	
14	early days of people gaining awareness of the capacity	
15	and the capability of the Internet it was important,	16:02:14
16	when talking to potential investors, not to put limiting	
17	statements in because they all wanted you to go make	
18	money from every conceivable source.	
19	Q My question was: Why did SightSound choose not	
20	to pursue advertising as a revenue source?	16:02:40
21	A We chose to focus exclusively on download and	
22	then, ultimately, patent licensing.	
23	Q Aside from Avery Dennison, did SightSound have	
24	any other co-branding sponsors?	
25	A No.	16:02:56

	rage 107	
1	Q On the bottom of page 8702, you will see	
2	there's a statement: Virtual Tour '98 has been	
3	custom-designed for participation by the major record	
4	labels.	
5	Do you see that?	16:03:30
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Was that an accurate statement as of this date,	
8	March 1998?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And did that design ultimately change?	16:03:40
11	A Yes.	
12	Q When?	
13	A By the time we launched Virtual Tour '98.	
14	Q And it changed because the major record labels	
15	expressed no interest?	16:04:02
16	A The timing wasn't right.	
17	Q The next sentence says, Digital will only	
18	accept music that is property of the major record labels	
19	for inclusion in Virtual Tour '98.	
20	And that, I take it, was also your plan as of	16:04:19
21	March of 1998?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Why was that your plan?	
24	A We were actually trying to seek various	
25	business relationships with a first-mover record label.	16:04:36
		,

	rage 100	1
1	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page that	
2	ends 8703 within Exhibit 199, you will see at the top	
3	there's a reference to an "EJ"?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q What is that?	16:05:02
6	A That was our terminology for an electronic	
7	jockey instead of a disc jockey.	
8	Q And did the EJ-related programming ever get	
9	implemented with SightSound?	
10	A Yes.	16:05:19
11	Q It was a part of Virtual Tour '98?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Other than in connection with Virtual Tour '98,	
14	did did SightSound use the EJ programming?	
15	A No.	16:05:28
16	Q Why not?	
17	A We moved to focus more specifically on album	
18	cover art and song samples and motion picture trailers	
19	and what's called "key art" for motion pictures and	
20	television shows.	16:05:47
21	Q Why, though, did you not continue to pursue the	
22	EJ programming?	
23	A It was not as scalable.	
24	Q Can you explain your answer?	
25	A Yeah. If you it's the difference between	16:06:04

1	having MTV on the Internet where you can also invoke a	
2	download with they called them VJs, right? It was	
3	DJs were disc jockeys, VJs were video jockeys on MTV,	
	and EJs were electronic jockeys on SightSound.	
4	By doing this this experiment with Virtual	16:06:34
5		10.00.01
6	Tour '98, we were convinced of the potential for	
7	download sale of music and movies, obviously. We always	
8	had been convinced of that, but we also saw, through	
9	doing it, the limitations of having to produce	
10	programming around each having to produce original	16:06:55
11	programming to convey the content, so we focused on the	
12	album cover art, 30-second samples of music, and then	
13	trailers and key art for motion pictures or television	
14	shows.	
15	Q How many EJ segments did SightSound wind up	16:07:13
16	implementing?	
17	A Maybe 11, 12, you know, something like that.	
18	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page within	
19	Exhibit 199 stamped 8707, there's a reference at the	
20	bottom there to AT&T and A2B Music.	16:07:52
21	Do you see that?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q The first sentence says, Digital will support	
24	the music file format developed by A2B Music.	
25	Do you see that?	16:08:04
i		I.

<u></u>	Page 190	1
1	A Yes.	
2	Q And did that ultimately happen?	
3	A I don't recall the actual format on Virtual	
4	Tour '98. I don't remember.	
5	Q Aside from Virtual Tour '98, did did	16:08:24
6	SightSound implement any file formatting associated with	
7	A2B Music or AT&T?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:08:40
11	Q You will notice there's a reference there to	
12	A2B server tools that would compress, encrypt, and	
13	transmit digital audio music and integrate with	
14	Digital's back office.	
15	Do you see that?	16:09:04
16	A I do.	
17	Q Now that you see that phrase, does that refresh	
18	your recollection as to whether SightSound ever	
19	performed compression and encryption in connection with	
20	its systems?	16:09:17
21	A No.	
22	Q On page page 8708 within Exhibit 199,	
23	there's a reference to Avery Dennison Corporation.	
24	This is the entity you referenced earlier?	
25	A Yes.	16:09:39

	rage 191	1
1	Q And it says, Avery Dennison is the sponsor and	
2	co-developer of the sticker and poster layer within	
3	Digital properties.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A Yes.	16:09:47
6	Q What is the "sticker and poster layer"?	
7	A It was something that TBWA/Chiat/Day cooked up	
8	to as an added feature for Virtual Tour '98.	
9	Q I missed the name. TBW?	
10	A TBWA/Chiat/Day.	16:10:04
11	Q So this was used only in connection with	
12	Virtual Tour '98?	
13	A The stickers and posters?	
14	Q Yes.	
15	A Yes.	16:10:22
16	Q Was that a successful venture, that sticker and	
17	poster venture?	
18	A I think so.	
19	Q Did SightSound wind up cancelling that	
20	arrangement before the contract term had been fulfilled?	16:10:38
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.	
23	(Exhibit 200 was marked for identification by	
24	the Court Reporter.)	
25	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	16:11:38

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		•
1	Exhibit 200, a document spanning STI-13102 through	
2	13116.	
3	Q Have you seen this document before?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q What do you understand it to be?	16:11:57
6	A Software License Agreement.	
7	Q And earlier you had referenced, I think, what	
8	you referred to as a "provisional agreement."	
9	First of all, do I have that right?	
10	A Yes.	16:12:22
11	Q And is the Software License Agreement that is	
12	in Exhibit 200 the provisional agreement you were	
13	referring to earlier?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I think so.	16:12:36
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Would you take a moment to confirm?	
18	A I I recall an arrangement with A2B Music	
19	that required A2B Music to be spun out of AT&T Labs, and	
20	so I don't know if there were multiple documents in and	16:13:11
21	around that transaction back in 1998, but I generally	
22	remember it to be based upon AT&T spinning A2B Music	
23	into a separate entity.	
24	Q I just want to make sure that I'm using your	
25	terminology correctly in that when you were referring	16:13:37

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

1	earlier to something being provisional, you were	
2	referring to this document and not another one?	
3	A I don't know that	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: no.	16:13:45
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q You don't what?	
8	A I don't know that.	
9	Q Okay.	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Just give me a second.	16:13:49
11	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Just give me a second.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Are you aware of any signed agreement between	
15	AT&T and SightSound other than Exhibit 200?	16:13:57
16	A No.	
17	Q If I could invite your attention to the page	
18	ending in 131088 13108, I'm looking at Article 3.2	
19	titled "Content Distribution Fee."	
20	Do you see that?	16:14:37
21	A Yes.	
22	Q My reading of this is starting at the second	
23	line. It says, AT&T's royalty shall be the greater of	
24	26 cents per transmission of an encrypted sound	
25	recording or 26 percent of any and all moneys or other	16:15:00

	rage 15	٦
1	consideration received by DSS at any time from each	
2	music download of any and all encrypted sound	
3	recordings.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A Yes.	16:15:13
6	Q And did you, in fact, understand those to be	
7	the royalties associated with this deal?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:15:42
11	Q You just don't remember?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Did you have an understanding as to what AT&T	16:15:57
16	was offering in consideration for the content	
17	distribution fee set forth in Section 3.2?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: No.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:16:08
21	Q Did you approve of the terms set forth in this	
22	contract that you signed, that is, Exhibit 200?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25	THE REPORTER: 21.	16:16:37

Page 00194

	Tage 193	1
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
2	(Exhibit 201 was marked for identification by	
3	the Court Reporter.)	
4	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
5	Exhibit 201, a document Bates-stamped 98-01182945A	16:17:29
6	through 2948A.	
7	Q Have you seen this document before?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Sorry. Which numbers were you	
9	reading?	
10	MR. BATCHELDER: The ones in the lower	16:17:52
11	right-hand corner.	
12	MR. DiBOISE: There are two sets of numbers.	
13	MR. BATCHELDER: Oh, I'm sorry. Let's just use	
14	the SST numbers. I didn't see them.	
15	Yeah, the Bates range for 201 is SST-24634	16:18:00
16	through 24637. Thank you.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q You have seen this before?	
20	A Yes.	16:18:14
21	Q Thank you.	
22	And what do you recognize it to be?	
23	A A description of the Virtual Tour '98.	
24	Q And who was Nicki Slate?	
25	A An executive at Atlantic Records.	16:18:33

	rage 196	<u></u>
1	Q And what were you hoping to accomplish with	
2	this deal memo?	
3	A To provoke Atlantic Records to think about	
4	digital download.	
5	Q Were you seeking, ultimately, a License	16:19:02
6	Agreement between SightSound and Atlantic Records?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q On the cover page of Exhibit 201, you will see	
9	there's a heading "The Company" in the middle.	
10	A Yes.	16:19:50
11	Q And then five lines up from the bottom of that	
12	paragraph, there's a sentence that says, Throughout the	
13	10 years of thought and preparation, the goal of Digital	
14	Sight/Sound has been to create a company which would	
15	service the major record labels.	16:20:10
16	Do you see that?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Was that an accurate statement?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And by the 10 years of preparation, you're	16:20:15
21	referring to 1988 through 1998?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q As of today, has SightSound ever serviced the	
24	major record labels?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	16:20:49

	rage 197	7
1 2	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "serviced"? BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q I'm using the words of your measurement from	
	the sentence I just read that said that the goal of	
4		16:21:07
5	Digital Sight/Sound has been to create a company which	10.21.07
6	would service the major record labels.	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q How so?	
9	A I think we introduced them to the virtue and	
10	capability and possibilities of digital download as an	16:21:23
11	alternative to piracy.	
12	Q And up through today, has SightSound generated	
13	any revenue in connection with its servicing of the	
14	major record labels?	
15	A Yes.	16:21:39
16	Q How so?	
17	A Patent licensing revenue.	
18	Q How much revenue?	
19	THE WITNESS: Can I ask a you question about	
20	the N2K and the Napster Settlement Agreements?	16:22:01
21	MR. DiBOISE: Sure.	
22	THE WITNESS: Is that	
23	MR. DiBOISE: You can you can answer. I'm	
24	not certain how I understand why that license that	
25	Settlement Agreement is implicated.	16:22:21
	-	
1		

		<b>.</b>
1	THE WITNESS: Because major record label	
2	content was sold.	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
4	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm asking you if that's	
5	privileged information as to those Settlement	16:22:43
6	Agreements.	
7	MR. DiBOISE: And I'm asking you if you can	
8	answer the question.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:23:02
11	Q You don't know what?	
12	A If I can answer the question.	
13	Q Within the bounds of privilege?	
14	A Yes.	
15	MR. BATCHELDER: Could I suggest that you two	16:23:10
16	step outside and try to work this out.	
17	MR. DiBOISE: And the question, just so we are	
18	clear, is: Up through today, has SightSound generated	
19	any revenue in connection with its servicing of the	
20	major record labels?	16:23:20
21	MR. BATCHELDER: That's the question.	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:22.	
23	(Recess taken.)	
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 4:24.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:25:47

	Page 199	•
-		
1	Q Would you like me to repeat the question?	
2	A No.	
3	Q What's your answer?	
4	A No.	
5	Q Your answer was no, SightSound has not	16:25:53
6	generated any revenue in connection with its servicing	
7	of the major record labels?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q If I could ask you to turn to page ending in	
10	SST-24635 of that Exhibit 201, and in that second	16:26:27
11	paragraph under the "Micro Shows" heading, there's a	
12	reference to Jam TV, MTV Online, and My Launch.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And did SightSound ever undertake any	16:26:47
16	activities in connection with those entities?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Why not?	
19	A Change in strategy away from the Internet micro	
20	show, as I previously described.	16:27:11
21	Q Is it the case that SightSound initiated	
22	discussions with those channels?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: I'm sorry?	
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:27:21
1		1

	rage 200	1
1	Q And did the channels express interest?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And SightSound simply changed its mind?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q At the bottom of that page we have been looking	16:27:29
6	at, 24635, there's a reference to micro shows in	
7	development.	
8	Now, you see the six enumerated entities there?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q So you have told me about the first one,	16:27:53
11	Virtual Tour '98.	
12	Did the other entries go forward, the Road Trip	
13	'98, the COR, the Music At Work, the Classical Tastings,	
14	and the Soundtrack Prerelease Party?	
15	A No.	16:28:10
16	Q None of them did?	- -
17	A No.	
18	Q Why not?	
19	A Change in strategy.	
20	Q What explained that change?	16:28:14
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I believe I've already answered	
23	it; the lack of scaleability of making programming and,	
24	instead, focusing just on album art, 30-second previews,	
25	and download sales.	16:28:33

		Page 201	
1	BY MR.	BATCHELDER:	
2	Q	Was SightSound ever a licensee of A2B Music?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	And you are consulting a document in connection	
5	with ar	nswering that question.	16:29:09
6		What document are you consulting?	
7	А	License Agreement.	
8	Q	Can you reference it by	
9	А	Oh	
10	Q	the exhibit number?	16:29:17
11	A	you know what? I stand corrected. My	
12	answer	is no, A2B Music, because this is between	
13	SightSo	ound and AT&T. I'm not trying to be too difficult	
14	or too	precise. I'm just confused.	
15	Q	So we have a clear record	16:29:43
16	А	Okay.	
17	Q	let me repeat the question.	
18		Was SightSound ever a licensee of A2B Music?	
19	А	No.	
20		MR. DiBOISE: Object.	16:29:54
21	BY MR.	BATCHELDER:	
22	Q	What is SDMI?	
23	А	The Secured Digital Music Initiative.	
24	Q	Did SightSound have any dealings with SDMI?	
25	А	Yes.	16:30:33

	1490 200	,
1	Q Would you summarize them for me, please?	
2	A Secured Digital Music Initiative was a I	
3	would summarize it as a stall-and-delay tactic	
4	coordinated by the major record labels.	
5	Q Would you explain what you mean by that?	16:30:58
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I meant they were stalling and	
8	delaying adoption of digital download while, at the same	
9	time, giving lip service and sanctimonious declarations	
10	about the importance of their copyright.	16:31:35
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Was SightSound ever a supporter of SDMI?	
13	A I don't remember the I don't remember the	
14	strategy with SDMI. I believe it was short lived in the	
15	late '90s. Maybe maybe it carried forward.	16:31:58
16	MR. BATCHELDER: 202? Thanks.	
17	(Exhibit 202 was marked for identification by	
18	the Court Reporter.)	
19	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
20	Exhibit 202, a document STI-13148 through 49.	16:32:29
21	Q Have you seen this document before?	
22	A I don't remember seeing this.	
23	Q At the top of page 13149, there's a three-word	
24	phrase, "For Immediate Release."	
25	Do you see that?	16:32:57

	Page 203	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Is this a SightSound press release?	
3	A Yeah yes, it appears so.	
4	Q You you're quoted in the middle paragraph	
5	there. Would you read that for me and let me know when	16:33:12
6	you are done.	
7	A Since 1993, we have been warning	
8	Q I'm sorry, sir. I just meant for you to read	
9	it to yourself and let me know when you are done reading	
10	it.	16:33:26
11	A Okay. Yes.	
12	Q Thank you.	
13	Are those quotes from you accurate?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Did SightSound become an ally of SDMI?	16:33:56
16	A I don't think so.	
17	Q Did Mr. Hair participate in SDMI?	
18	A He may have spoken on a panel, but I don't	
19	recall specifically.	
20	Q Was there a time when SightSound believed that	16:34:29
21	SDMI was a good thing and then changed its mind?	
22	A No.	
23	Q So it's your understanding that SightSound	
24	consistently thought SDMI was a bad idea?	
25	A Yes.	16:34:52

	Page 204	1
1	THE REPORTER: 203.	
2	(Exhibit 203 was marked for identification by	
3	the Court Reporter.)	
4	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
5	Exhibit 203, a Private Placement Memorandum dated	16:35:48
6	April 27, 1999. The Bates range is SST-8421 through	
7	8453.	
8	Q Have you seen this document before?	
9	A Yeah.	
10	Q What do you recognize it to be?	16:36:20
11	A One of our various documents over time of	
12	attempting to raise capital.	
13	Q This document was distributed to third-party	
14	potential investors?	
15	A I believe so.	16:36:36
16	Q Were you involved in generating this document?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And did you review it for accuracy before it	
19	was sent to third parties?	
20	A Yes.	16:36:55
21	Q If I could direct your attention first to,	
22	within Exhibit 203, the page 8425, this is the section	
23	titled "Introduction."	
24	A 8425? Yes.	
25	Q In the there's a paragraph that begins: The	16:37:56

		1
1	company was incorporated, the third paragraph in?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And near the bottom of that paragraph, about	
4	five lines up, it says, Effective as of August 15th,	
5	1997, Digital Sight/Sound and the company terminated the	16:38:22
6	Exclusive Patent License Agreement as amended and	
7	replaced it with a Non-Exclusive Patent License	
8	Agreement, granting to Digital Sight/Sound certain	
9	non-exclusive rights to the patent claims.	
10	Do you see that?	16:38:36
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Why was that action undertaken?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: So to the extent that your answer	
14	would require you to reveal any discussions with	
15	counsel, I would caution you not to reveal such	16:38:55
16	discussions in answer to this question.	
17	Do you understand my instruction	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19	MR. DiBOISE: my admonition?	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	16:39:06
21	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
22	THE WITNESS: No.	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Because okay. So I'll	
24	instruct you.	
25	MR. BATCHELDER: So you are instructing him not	16:39:11

```
1
      to answer?
               MR. DiBOISE:
                             Right.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
3
               And you are going to follow that advice?
                                                                  16:39:17
           Ά
               Yes.
               There's a paragraph -- looks like it's one,
           Q
      two, three -- the fourth paragraph on page 8425 begins:
7
      The company envisions entertainment e-commerce as a
8
      platform change.
 9
                                                                  16:39:41
               Do you see that?
10
           Α
               Yes.
11
               And -- and what did that phrase mean?
12
               "Platform change"?
13
           Α
14
               Yes.
           O
                                                                  16:39:57
               The quoted phrase? From -- recorded music and
15
      movies have transitioned over time through various
16
      platforms; music, for example, vinyl to 8-track tapes to
17
      cassette tapes to compact discs and, ultimately, to
1.8
      digital download.
19
                                                                  16:40:23
               The final sentence in that paragraph says,
20
      Additionally, the company is planning to offer services
21
      to potential clients in other industries.
22
23
               Do you see that?
24
           Α
               Yes.
                                                                  16:40:34
25
               What other industries is that referencing?
```

	rage 207	1
1	A Instructional videos, download news; in other	
2	words, not limited to simply motion pictures or musical	
3	recordings.	
4	Q In the next paragraph on page 8425, you will	
5	see, in the middle of that paragraph, there's a	16:41:05
6	reference to well, it says, The company is one of the	
7	first to begin using Windows Media Technologies 4.0.	
8	Do you see that?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And how was that used?	16:41:16
11	A The Arthur was the engineer. It was my	
12	understanding that he installed base of computers was	
13	overwhelmingly overwhelmingly a Microsoft operating	
14	system world, and so that was that was the focus, to	
15	enable the sale of music and movies to people with	16:41:46
16	computers, and, overwhelmingly, they had Microsoft.	
17	Dell, Compaq, Gateway, whatever, would be running on a	
18	Microsoft operating system.	
19	Q The Windows Media Technology excuse me,	
20	Windows Media Technologies 4.0, this paragraph describes	16:42:09
21	it working along with a Windows Media Rights Manager,	
22	and it says, It enables the company to compress and	
23	encrypt audio and video files.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	16:42:25

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

_			
	1	Q Does this refresh your recollection about	
	2	whether or when SightSound had the ability to	
	3	compress and encrypt audio/video files that it was	
	4	selling over its system?	16:42:45
	5	A No.	10:42:40
	6	Q And as you sit here today, do you have a memory	
	7	of whether SightSound ever had that ability?	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	9	THE WITNESS: I think I already answered that	
	10	the various systems' the first one, I think,	16:43:00
	11	operating was Sun Microsystems, Microsoft, whatever	
	12	capabilities existed, I imagine, from the day we first	
	13	started selling music in '95, but Arthur was the	
	14	engineer.	
	15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:43:25
	16	Q And I just want to have a clear record on this.	
	17	In your answers now, you said you imagined that that	
	18	capability existed starting in 1995, but Arthur was the	·
	19	engineer.	
	20	Is there a point you are not sure	16:43:34
	21	A Yes.	
	22	Q and he is the guy to ask?	
	23	A He is the guy to ask.	
	24	Q As of this time frame, April 27th, 1999, is it	
	25	fair to say that SightSound was that as between video	16:43:51
- 1			I

Page 00209

r	Page 203	, 1
1	and audio, SightSound was prioritizing video?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Vague.	
3	THE WITNESS: No.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q No. Is that your answer?	16:44:05
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Under the heading "Current Activities" that is	
8	on the bottom of page 8425, it begins with: The company	
9	sells motion pictures in download fashion Internet	
10	users.	16:44:33
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q And then in that same paragraph, kicking over	
14	to the next page, it references two motion pictures, Pi,	
15	that is, P-i, and a production called Films That Suck.	16:44:46
16	Were those the only two motion pictures that	
17	SightSound had available as of this Private Placement	
18	Memorandum?	
19	A April 27th? I don't know.	
20	Q Looking on the first full paragraph of	16:45:15
21	page 8426, it begins: The company also sells music in	
22	download fashion.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And then in the third sentence, it says, The	16:45:29

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

_			
	1	company intends to offer audio only Non-Exclusive Patent	
	2	License Agreements.	
	3	Do you see that?	
	4	A Yes.	
	5	Q As of this time, April of 1999, was it the case	16:45:42
	6	that SightSound was offering Non-Exclusive Patent	
	7	License Agreements for audio signals but not for video	
	8	signals?	
	9	A Yes.	
	10	Q Why?	16:46:03
	11	A We we had, as a strategy, the objective of	
	12	licensing audio re to audio download systems and	
	13	retaining exclusively for SightSound video rights.	
-	14	Q Why was that your strategy? Why differentiate	
	15	between the two media?	16:46:43
	16	A It was a reflection of our content focus.	
	17	Q Are you done with your answer?	
	18	A Yes.	
	19	Q Can you explain it further?	
	20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	16:47:03
	21	THE WITNESS: The it was our assessment that	
	22	the recording music industry was still not ripe for	
	23	transition to this method and that their increasingly	
	24	difficult problem of piracy was proving illustrative to	
	25	the movie studios, and we felt that the record labels	16:47:39

```
still weren't ripe.
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
 2
               Despite that belief, it's your testimony that
 3
      in that time frame, SightSound was still focusing
                                                                 16:48:18
      equally as between those two media?
               MR. DiBOISE: Objection.
               THE WITNESS: Yes. You -- the question is not
      clear to me.
 8
      BY MR. BATCHELDER:
                                                                 16:48:32
10
               What's confusing you?
               You repeatedly seem to indicate a totality of
11
      SightSound's business being about the distribution of
12
      audio or video and not about the protection of its
13
      intellectual property rights, and all activities that
14
                                                                 16:48:57
      SightSound was taking were holistically, strategically
15
      intertwined at any given moment. The first principal
16
17
      was always the patent rights.
               As between the video media and the audio media,
18
      you said a couple of answers ago that the audio industry
19
                                                                 16:49:26
20
      wasn't ready or wasn't ripe, but the video industry
21
      believed it was, and so my question is: As between
22
      those two media --
               I don't believe I said that.
23
               Okay. I certainly didn't mean to
24
      mischaracterize, so where -- where did I get that wrong? 16:49:37
25
```

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		7
1	A We were quite convinced that the audio	
2	recording holders were not ripe yet. We had not yet	
3	concluded as to the willingness of the video recording	
4	holders to proceed, and we received some encouraging	
5	first signals, so we didn't know that they were ripe	16:49:58
6	either.	
7	Q And	
8	A But you got to try something.	
9	Q And before shutting down your system in the	
10	2002 time frame, did you have a firmer understanding of	16:50:17
11	whether the video industry was, indeed, ripe for this	
12	kind of a distribution system?	
13	A Yes.	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:50:33
16	Q And what was your understanding?	
17	A That neither audio nor video, not a major	
18	neither major audio or video content rights holders were	
19	yet ripe to reap the benefits of our method.	
20	Q Is it the case that, at some point, SightSound	16:50:58
21	decided to go public?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Why was that decision made?	
24	A Relative cost of capital.	
25	Q Meaning it would be a cheaper way to raise	16:51:40

	rage 213	, 1
1	money than your alternatives?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: It was it was an alternative.	
4	It was an attractive alternative.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:51:56
6	Q For raising capital?	
7	A When we commenced the effort.	
8	Q I didn't understand your last response.	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: Is that a question?	16:52:06
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Would you explain, please?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: Explain. Could you clarify?	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	16:52:19
16	Q You said, "When we commenced the effort," and I	
17	didn't understand what you were trying to get across.	
18	Would you explain your answer?	
19	A Yes. The the so-called Internet bubble was	
20	propelling any number of very advantageous valuations	16:52:34
21	for Internet companies; that those market conditions	
22	changed radically, and what would have been a good idea	
23	was foreclosed because of dramatic capital market	
24	changes.	
25	Q And what time frame do you associate with those	16:53:00

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		•
1	dramatic changes?	
2	A I can't remember exactly as I sit here today.	
3	I mean, I remember it, but I don't remember. What was	
4	it, 2000, 2001, I think? I mean, I'm sure it's on	
5	Wikipedia.	16:53:20
6	(Exhibit 204 was marked for identification by	
7	the Court Reporter.)	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
9	Exhibit 204, a document titled "Minutes of Special	
10	Meeting of Directors of SightSound.com Incorporated."	16:54:04
11	Q Have you seen this document before?	:
12	A Yes.	
13	Q And this appears to reflect that at the end of	
14	January 2000, SightSound called a special meeting of	
15	directors and discussed going public; is that fair?	16:54:24
16	A Yes.	
17	Q You were the president at the time?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q So looking at the third paragraph of	
20	Exhibit 204, The chairman called the meeting to order	16:54:44
21	and asked the president to report on meetings with	
22	investment bankers. The president reported on recent	
23	meetings held with a number of investment banking firms.	
24	The president recommended that the corporation hire	
25	WR Hambrecht to take the corporation public.	16:54:58

Page 00215

	raye 21.	<i>)</i>
1	Is that an accurate statement?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And did SightSound hire WRH?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q How soon after this, that is, after	16:55:14
6	January 31st, 2000, did SightSound decide not to go	
7	public?	
8	A I don't recall.	
9	Q Was it a difficult decision not to go public?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	16:55:34
11	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't recall the	
12	I don't know that it was a difficult decision, no. No,	
13	it was not a difficult decision.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Do you recall investment banks asking	16:55:56
16	SightSound to explain why it should go public,	
17	suggesting that it shouldn't, and SightSound,	
18	nonetheless, explaining why SightSound still thought it	
19	should?	
20	A No.	16:56:15
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q You don't remember that kind of dialogue?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't.	16:56:22

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
MR. BATCHELDER: All right. It's right around
1
      5:00 o'clock. I suggest we break for the day, and we
2
     can meet in the morning, 9:00 or 9:30, whatever your
     preference is.
                                                                 16:56:38
               MR. DiBOISE: How long tomorrow?
               MR. BATCHELDER: I think most of the day, but
6
      I'll try to end as early as I can.
7
               MR. DiBOISE: Would it help if we went another
8
     hour tonight to get us done earlier in the afternoon?
9
               MR. BATCHELDER: Well, it would -- I think it
                                                                 16:56:50
10
      would probably be better if I just tried to winnow
11
12
      things down and just try to go as quickly as I can
13
      tomorrow.
14
               MR. DiBOISE: Okay.
                                                                 16:57:01
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This is the end of
15
      Disc 3 of Scott Sander.
16
               Off the record at 4:56.
17
               (Whereupon, the deposition was adjourned at
18
19
               4:56 p.m.)
20
                               ---000---
21
22
23
24
25
```

	raye
1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
2	foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at
3	, California, this day of
4	, 2013.
5	
6	
7	Signature of the witness
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, RACHEL FERRIER, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
5	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
6	within-entitled cause;
7	That said deposition was taken down in
8	shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and
9	place therein stated, and that the testimony was
10	thereafter reduced to typewriting by computer under my
11	direction and supervision and is a true record of the
12	testimony given by the witness;
13	That before completion of the deposition,
14	review of the transcript [X] was [ ] was not requested.
15	If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
16	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are
17	appended hereto.
18	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
19	attorney for either or any of the parties to the said
20	deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of
21	this cause, and that I am not related to any of the
22	parties thereto.
23	DATED: January 2,62013
24	
25	RACHEL FERRIER, CSR NO 6948
	RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

## Merrill Legal Solutions



### INSTRUCTIONS FOR READING/CORRECTING YOUR DEPOSITION

To assist you in making changes and /or corrections to your deposition testimony, please follow the directions below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish them and attach the pages to the back of the errata sheet.

Please read your transcript carefully. If you find any errors or changes you wish to make, insert the changes and/or corrections on the errata sheet by listing the page and the line number reference and then the change you wish to make.

Please do not make any changes and /or corrections on the face of the transcript.

Please do NOT change any of the questions.

After completing your review, please sign the last page of the errata sheet, above the designated "Signature" line and return the Errata sheets to Merrill Legal Solutions at 135 Main Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 or fax them to (415) 357.4301.

#### **ERRATA SHEET**

Page	Line	
<del></del>		Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
<del></del>		Change:
		Reason:

## $2001\text{-}448406 - DEPOSITION \ OF \ SCOTT \ SANDER - VOL. \ 1-DECEMBER \ 18,2012$

Page	Line		
		Change:	
		Reason:	***************************************
	-	Change:	
		Reason:	
		Change:	
		Reason:	
	<del></del>	Change:	
		Reason:	
		Change:	
		Reason:	
-	North of Annahouse	Change:	
		Reason:	
		Change:	
		Reason:	
	<b></b>		
	Subject to the a	bove changes, I certify that the trar	script is true and correct.
	No changes have	e been made. I certify that the tran	script is true and correct.
(signatı	ıre)		(date)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ORGINAL

SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO.: 2:11cv01292-DWA

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER

VOLUME 2

Wednesday, December 19, 2012

REPORTED BY: RACHEL FERRIER, CSR 6948

(1-448408)

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

### SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/19/2012

Page 220

		rage 220
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2		
3	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
4	Mr. Batchelder	224
5		
6	EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICA	ATION
7	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	Exhibit 205 Memorandum from Alex LePore Re Company Stock Valuation	
9	dated 4/12/00 (Bates SST-11096 - 11105)	224
10	Exhibit 206 Registration Form S-1 (Bates STI-13552 - 13633)	277
12	Exhibit 207 Settlement Agreement betwee	n
13	SightSound Technologies and BeMusic	
14	(Bates SST-7870 - 7876)	
15	Exhibit 208 Allen & Company Projections (Bates ALLEN 1062 - 1067)	297
16	Exhibit 209 Settlement Agreement betwee SightSound Technologies and	
17	Napster (Bates SST-20567 - 20573)	305
19	Exhibit 210 Daily Variety article, Nove 2000	
20	(Bates SST-25454 - 25455)	316
21	Exhibit 211 Pittsburgh Business Times article, December 2000 (Bates SST-25429 - 25430)	322
22		322
23	Exhibit 212 Prospectus (Bates SST-17135 - 17181)	324
24	Exhibit 213 Article, November 2000 (Bates STI-13634 - 13636)	326
25		

### SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/19/2012

Page 221

<b></b>			Page 221
1	EXH	IBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 214	Draft letter from Scott Sander (Bates STI-13184 - 13195)	328
4	Exhibit 215		
5	DANIBIC 213	(Bates APPLE 48879 - 48881)	339
6	Exhibit 216	Article (Bates APPLE 48882 - 48884)	342
7		(Batter Miller 10002 10001)	
8		000	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
               BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to the laws
 2
      governing the taking and use of depositions, on
      Wednesday, December 19, 2012, commencing at 9:03 a.m.
      thereof, at Ropes & Gray, 1900 University Avenue, 6th
 4
 5
      Floor, East Palo Alto, California 94303, before me,
      RACHEL FERRIER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
 6
      personally appeared SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER, called as
 7
      a witness by Defendant, who, being by me duly previously
 8
      sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in said
10
      action.
11
                       APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
      For the Plaintiff and the Witness:
12
13
               ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
                    JAMES A. DiBOISE, Attorney at Law
               BY:
14
               Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
               San Francisco, California 94111
               Telephone: 415.471.3281
15
               Email: james.diboise@aporter.com
16
      For the Defendant:
17
               ROPES & GRAY
18
               BY: JAMES R. BATCHELDER, Attorney at Law
               1900 University Ave, 6th Floor
               East Palo Alto, California 94303
19
               Telephone: 650.617.4018
20
               Email: james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
21
22
      ALSO PRESENT: PETER HIBDON, Videographer
                               ---000---
23
24
25
```

### SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/19/2012

Page 223

<del></del>		1
1	EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA	
2	WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2012	
3	9:03 A.M.	
4	00o	
5		09:03:39
	PROCEEDINGS	09.03.33
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.	
7	Here begins Disc 1, Volume 2 in the deposition	
8	of Scott Sander in the matter of SightSound	
9	Technologies, LLC, versus Apple Inc.	
10	Today's date is December 19th, 2012, and the	09:03:51
11	time is 9:03 a.m.	
12	Counsel, please identify yourselves and state	
13	whom you represent.	
14	MR. BATCHELDER: James Batchelder from Ropes &	
15	Gray on behalf of defendant, Apple.	09:04:04
16	MR. DiBOISE: James DiBoise Arnold &	
17	Porter representing the plaintiff and the witness.	
18	00	
19	SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER	
20		
21	called as a witness, having been previously	
22	duly sworn, was examined and testified further	
23	as follows:	
24	000	
25	//	09:27:22
		1

		1
1	EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q Mr. Sander, you understand you are still under	
4	oath?	
5	A Yes.	09:04:19
6	(Exhibit 205 was marked for identification by	
7	the Court Reporter.)	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
9	Exhibit 205, a document with a Bates range SST-11096	
10	through 11105. It is titled "Memorandum" from Alex	09:04:28
11	LePore regarding company stock valuation dated	
12	April 12th, 2000.	
13	Q Have you seen this document before?	
14	A I don't recall seeing it.	
15	Q Do you have any understanding as to how, if at	09:04:45
16	all, this document was used by SightSound?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q Do you have any understanding as to why, in	09:05:02
21	April 2000, SightSound undertook a company stock	
22	valuation analysis?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: No.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:05:15

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco
www.merrillcorp.com/law

1	Q As you sit here now, knowing the company's	
2	business, can you think of a reason why that would have	
3	been done?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: No.	09:05:23
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
 8	in 097, the second page of the document, you see in the	
9	middle of the page there's a heading "Pre-1999 Business	
10	and Financing Activities."	09:05:47
11	Do you see that?	
12	And it begins discussing the period from March	
13	1996 through March 1998.	
14	Do you see that's the opening phrase in that	
15	paragraph?	09:06:04
16	A Yes.	
17	Q And it says in this paragraph, It was clear	
18	over this period of time that the company's value did	
19	not change dramatically.	
20	Is that a fair statement describing the period	09:06:15
21	of time March 1996 through March 1998?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q You don't know?	09:06:27

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	raye 220	
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: No.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Just so we have a clear record, you are saying	
5	you don't know whether that's an accurate statement?	09:06:40
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't.	
8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
9	Q That same paragraph goes on to say, The company	
10	attempted to launch several different music distribution	09:07:02
11	strategies from 1995 to 1998, only to realize less than	
12	\$600 in gross revenue for the entire three-year period.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Is that an accurate statement?	09:07:18
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q Is it the case that from 1995 to 1998, the	
20	company realized less than \$600 in gross revenue for the	09:07:34
21	entire three-year period?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?	09:07:41

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco 800-869-9132 www.merrillcorp.com/law

Page 00228

		1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: No.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q The statement that I just read refers to the	
5	company launching several different music distribution	09:07:53
6	strategies from 1995 to 1998.	
7	What strategies did it launch during that time	
8	period for music distribution?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	00.00.05
10	THE WITNESS: I believe I've answered that	09:08:05
11	previously.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Would you just list the strategies now for me	
14	so we have a clear record.	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:08:29
16	THE WITNESS: As I stated previously, the	
17	strategy was to launch a proof-of-concept site. That	
18	strategy altered based upon a meeting with Mr. John	
19	Doerr, and that strategy altered again in 1998.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:08:56
21	Q What was the 1998 strategy alteration that you	
22	are referring to?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: To commence selling music	
25	downloads again.	09:09:10

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	1490 220	_
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Staying on page 11097 from Exhibit 205, the	
3	second paragraph under the subheading "Pre-1999 Business	
4	and Financing Activities" begins with the sentence,	
5	quote: From its inception through 1998, the company	09:09:33
6	solely focused on the music business, end quote.	
7	Do you see that sentence?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Is that accurate?	
10	A No.	09:09:42
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q The next sentence of that paragraph says, It	
14	was clear from numerous meetings and proposals that the	
15	major industry players were not prepared to distribute	09:10:01
16	their products in digital fashion.	
17	Do you see that?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Is that accurate?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:10:09
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q A couple of sentences later, it says, Without	
24	an agreement to distribute music for the major labels,	
25	the company concluded that its ability to be successful	09:10:27

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

### SCOTT CHRISTOPHER SANDER - 12/19/2012

Page 229

	1490 223	
1	was severely limited.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Is that accurate?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:10:34
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Did the company ever draw that conclusion?	
9	A No.	
10	Q Staying on page 11097 of Exhibit 205, there are	09:10:58
11	two bullet points at the bottom of that page. The first	
12	of those bullet points begins with the sentence: The	
13	company initially attempted to sign artists to	İ
14	agreements whereby the company would distribute the	
15	artists' music directly over the Internet.	09:11:20
16	Do you see that?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Is that accurate?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:11:26
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q The next sentence begins: This did not prove	
23	successful.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A Yes.	09:11:43

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

-			
	1	Q And then the rest of that paragraph goes on to	
	2	explain why this did not prove successful.	
	3	Would you read the rest of that paragraph to	
	4	yourself, please, and let me know when you are done.	
	5	A Yes.	09:11:58
	6	Q Do you agree with those reasons?	1
	7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection withdrawn.	
	8	THE WITNESS: I do.	
	9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	10	Q Given those reasons, why was it the company's	09:12:15
	11	initial strategy to sign artists to agreements whereby	
	12	the company would distribute the artists' music directly	
	13	over the Internet?	
	14	A Speed of licensing.	
	15	Q Is it the case that having when the company	09:12:46
	16	launched that strategy, the company did not foresee that	
	17	it wouldn't work for the reasons that are cited in that	
	18	bullet point?	
	19	A I don't know if I understand the question.	
	20	Q I'll withdraw it.	09:13:08
	21	Let's turn to the second bullet point; that is,	
	22	the bottom bullet point on page 11097 of Exhibit 205.	
	23	It begins: The company approached record labels without	
	24	outsourcing their Internet distribution strategy by	
	25	permitting SightSound.com to sell music digitally in	09:13:28

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

Page 00233

	1490 201	
1	exchange for 30 percent royalty payment.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Is that accurate?	
5	A Yes.	09:13:36
6	Q Did any record label take SightSound up on that	
7	offer?	
8	A No.	
9	Q The next sentence says, Alternatively, the	
10	company offered the labels a license on the use of the	09:13:55
11	company's intellectual property.	
12	Do you see that?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Did any record label take SightSound up on that	
15	offer?	09:14:06
16	A No.	
17	Q The remainder of that bullet point, as it kicks	
18	over to the next page of the document to page 11098,	
19	explains the reasons why the record labels didn't take	
20	SightSound up on either one of those offers.	09:14:31
21	Would you read those reasons to yourself,	
22	please, and let me know when you are done, those three	
23	reasons.	
24	A I'm done.	
25	Q The first reason listed is that the labels were	09:14:54
		_

1	afraid that the encryption would be hacked, resulting in	
2	the free distribution of the legal copies.	
3	Do you see that?	٠
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Is that true?	09:15:05
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
9	Q The second reason listed is that the companies	
10	did not believe that SightSound.com's patent was valid.	09:15:13
11	Is that accurate?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q The third reason is that the record labels	09:15:23
16	prefer to distribute music without using a third-party	
17	service provider.	
18	Is that accurate?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	09:15:32
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q On the top of page 11098 of Exhibit 25 (sic),	
23	there's a a bullet point that begins: The last	
24	strategy.	
25	Do you see that?	09:15:46

	rage 233	
1	A I do.	
2	Q It begins: The last strategy the company	
3	pursued was to produce special music content such as its	
4	micro shows. Again, the company was not able to win the	
5	timely cooperation of the record labels or individual	09:15:58
6	artists to successfully launch the strategy.	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Is that accurate?	
10	A No.	09:16:07
11	Q The next paragraph on page 11098 says, In the	
12	meantime, other companies emerged in the digital	
13	distribution business. These companies were clearly	
14	competitive threats and, in many cases, found to be	Maria de la companya
15	infringing on the use of the company's intellectual	09:16:30
16	property. SightSound.com offered the companies	
17	considered to be infringing a License Agreement.	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Is that accurate?	09:16:40
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Roughly how many such offers did SightSound	
23	make?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	09:16:48

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	1 3 9 2 2 3	1
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Ballpark?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: I I don't recall.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:16:53
6	Q The paragraph goes on to say, No one agreed to	
7	become a licensee. The companies either believed	
8	SightSound.com's patent claims do not apply to their	
9	specific method of doing business or that the patents	
10	were not valid.	09:17:09
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Is that accurate?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:17:13
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Toward the bottom of page 11098, you will see	
18	the the second-to-last paragraph begins:	
19	SightSound.com intends.	
20	Do you see that?	09:17:33
21	A I do.	
22	Q The second sentence of that paragraph says,	
23	SightSound.com does not currently have plans to offer	
24	Non-Exclusive Patent License Agreements to potential	
25	licensees desiring to conduct entertainment e-commerce	09:17:45

1	for video applications.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Was that accurate?	
5	A Yes.	09:17:56
6	Q Is that accurate today?	
7	A No.	
8	Q When did that change?	
9	A When we emerged from re-examination.	
10	Q And why did it change when you emerged from	09:18:19
11	re-examination?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Just to caution you, to the	
13	extent that your the company's decision was based on	
14	any advice of counsel, please be cautious and do not	
15	reveal any of that coun any of counsel's advice in	09:18:44
16	answer to this question.	
17	Do you understand my admonition?	
18	THE WITNESS: I do.	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
20	THE WITNESS: No.	09:18:55
21	MR. DiBOISE: Then I instruct you on the basis	
22	of the attorney-client and work product protections.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q Is it your testimony, Mr. Hair, that without	
25	revealing attorney-client-privileged communications, you	09:19:09

1	can't tell me why it is that SightSound.com reversed	
2	course and decided to offer licenses for video	
3	applications?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:19:32
5	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question,	09:19:32
6	because I think you referred to me as Mr. Hair.	
7	MR. BATCHELDER: Apologies.	
8	Q Is it your testimony that you cannot, without	
9	revealing attorney-client-privileged communications,	
10	tell me why it is that SightSound reversed course and	09:19:50
11	decided to begin licensing video applications under the	
12	patents-in-suit?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:20:04
16	Q The final paragraph on page 11098 begins with	
17	the sentence: The company concluded in 1998 that the	
18	second patent greatly increased its value.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A Yes.	09:20:18
21	Q Is that referring to the second patent-in-suit	
22	in this case?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Is that an accurate statement; that is, did the	
25	company conclude in 1998 that the second patent greatly	09:20:35

_			
	1	increased the company's value?	
	2	A Yes.	
	3		
		•	
	4	A Because we believed in the value of our patent	09:20:51
	5	rights.	09.20.31
	6	Q Was there something in particular about that	
	7	patent that SightSound believed was particularly	
	8	valuable as compared to the first one?	
	9	A Yes.	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:21:12
	11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	12	Q What?	
	13	A Its issuance.	
	14	Q Anything else?	
	15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:21:21
	16	THE WITNESS: No. I don't know.	
	17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	18	Q If I could ask you to turn to page the next	
	19	page in Exhibit 205, page 11099, you will see there is a	
	20	heading near the top of the page that reads: Business	09:21:36
	21	and Financing Activities Since January 1999.	
	22	Do you see that?	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q And the first subheading is January to April,	
	25	and the first sentence there is, Unfortunately, the	09:21:52
- 1			1

1	music industry opportunity never materialized.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Is that accurate?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection withdrawn.	09:22:00
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Is it fair to say that that was no fault of	
9	Apple's?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:22:11
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Two paragraphs down I'm referring to the	
14	paragraph that begins with the words: In early 1999.	
15	Do you see that?	09:22:40
16	A Yes.	
17	Q The final sentence of that paragraph reads: In	
18	essence, the company, believing a tremendous music	
19	distribution opportunity was available since it had	
20	received the second patent, found instead that it	09:22:54
21	basically had to mortgage its future on a video	
22	distribution strategy that did not exist until the	
23	Microsoft discussions occurred.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Hold on a second. I didn't see	09:23:05

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

-			
	1	it. What where are you referring to?	
	2	MR. BATCHELDER: The last sentence of the	
	3	paragraph beginning: In early 1999.	
	4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:23:17
	6	Q Is that last sentence of the paragraph that I	
	7	just read from accurate?	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	9	THE WITNESS: No.	
	10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:23:26
	11	Q What are the Microsoft discussions that are	
	12	referenced there?	
	13	A Arthur Arthur Hair worked or interacted	
	14	with Microsoft on technical issues regarding the video	
	15	distribution via SightSound.	09:23:48
	16	Q What technical issues?	
	17	A I think you would have to ask Mr. Hair.	
	18	Q Do you know?	
	19	A I generally understand them to be systems	
	20	integration issues.	09:24:14
	21	Q Is it fair to say that SightSound embarked on a	
	22	video distribution strategy that did not exist until	
	23	those Microsoft system integration discussions occurred?	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	25	THE WITNESS: No.	09:24:32

1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Turning to page 11100 of Exhibit 205, the first	
3	full sentence on the top of that page reads: The	
4	company had exhausted nearly all of its resources in	
5	preparing for the April 13th trial.	09:24:54
6	Do you see that?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Is that accurate?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q The April 13th trial was Virtual '98?	09:25:03
11	A No.	
12	Q What was it?	
13	A It was the world's first sale of a	
14	feature-length movie download, Hollywood movie. It was	
15	the movie Pi directed by Darren Aronofsky. It was the	09:25:19
16	Sundance Sundance award-winning picture that became	
17	the first the first movie sold over the Internet.	
18	Q On page 11100, there are three bullet points in	
19	the middle of the page, and then there's a paragraph	
20	beginning with the words: Early in the offering period.	09:25:58
21	Do you see that paragraph?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q The third sentence reads: Many potential	
24	investors were concerned about the lack of available	
25	compelling content.	09:26:10

1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Is that accurate?	
4	A As to our distribution strategy, yes.	
5	Q Are you distinguishing your distribution	09:26:20
6	strategy from some other strategy?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Which other strategy?	
9	A Intellectual property defense and licensing	
10	strategy.	09:26:36
11	Q Why was there a lack of available compelling	
12	content for SightSound's distribution strategy as of the	
13	time of this memorandum?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: The record labels and the movie	09:26:55
16	studios were not yet ripe for download.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q The final sentence of that same paragraph on	
19	page 11100 reads: With consumer technology, i.e.,	
20	high-speed Internet access, faster PCs, initial	09:27:16
21	development of smart TVs well on the way, available	
22	content was the key missing ingredient.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Is that accurate?	09:27:26
		ı

		1 agc 2 4 2	
	1	A As to our distribution strategy, yes.	
	2	Q Why is it that high-speed Internet access was	
	3	well on the way but not there yet as of the time of this	
	4	memorandum, April 2000?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Hold on.	09:27:50
	6	Objection.	
	7	THE WITNESS: I I don't understand I	
	8	can't answer the question because I disagree with its	
	9	presumption.	
	10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:28:13
	11	Q Staying on page 11100, there's a paragraph	
	12	beginning: In June 1999.	
	13	Do you see that?	
	14	A Yes.	
	15	Q That sentence reads: In June 1999, the	09:28:25
	16	emphasis on raising capital was reduced and the	
	17	company's CEO, Scott Sander, moved to California for the	
	18	summer.	
	19	Is that accurate?	
	20	A Yes.	09:28:38
	21	Q The next sentence reads: From June through	
	22	August, Mr. Sander pursued countless business deals to	
	23	secure the digital distribution rights to motion	
-	24	pictures.	
	25	Do you see that?	09:28:50
***************************************			
1			1

	Page 243	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Is that accurate?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q The next two sentences read: By September	
5	1999, the company had slightly over 100 titles under	09:29:00
6	contract. The titles were largely unknown productions	
7	from independent film producers.	
8	Do you see that?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q Is that accurate?	09:29:13
11	A I can't recall the licensing prior to September	
12	1999 versus post-September '99, so the dates are a	
13	bit it's hard for me to remember precisely when, for	
14	example, the Miramax deal happened, Comedy Central deal,	
15	a deal with Showtime. So it may be accurate within that	09:29:41
16	narrow time band, yes. It may be inaccurate because	
17	those I can't recall, as I sit here today, when those	
18	other agreements were signed.	
19	Q The next sentence in that same paragraph	
20	begins: Although, we did not have high expectations	09:30:02
21	that the films would sell well.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Is that an accurate statement with respect to	
25	the films as to which you secured distribution rights	09:30:13

_			
	1	during your summer-of-1999 stay in California?	
	2	A Partially.	
	3	Q Staying on 11100, the final sentence reads:	
	4	While negotiations with most of the major studios appear	
	5	to progress well, it was also clear that we would not	09:30:44
	6	receive compelling studio-produced content for quite	
	7	some time.	
	8	Do you see that?	
	9	A Yes.	
	10	Q Is that accurate?	09:30:53
	11	A Yes.	
	12	Q Turning to the next page of Exhibit 205,	
	13	page 1101, you will see in the second paragraph there's	
	14	a reference to September, the company raising	
	15	\$10 million from Binlong Trading.	09:31:22
	16	Do you see that?	
	17	A What paragraph are you on?	
	18	Q The second paragraph.	
	19	A Yes.	
	20	Q And then three paragraphs later, it says that	09:31:41
	21	by December, so two months later, the company estimated	
	22	that it would need to begin raising additional capital,	
	23	capital by April 2000.	
	24	Do you see that?	
	25	A Yes.	09:31:59

_					
	1		0	Is that accurate?	
	1		Q 7\	Yes.	
	3			The next sentence reads: In general, the cash	
		£	Q		
	4	Tuna	rate	e exceeded the budget.	09:32:15
	5		_	Do you see that?	09.32.13
	6		A	Yes.	
	7		Q	Is that accurate?	
	8		Α	Yes.	
	9		Q	The next sentence reads: Marketing and	
	10	promo	otior	nal costs and legal costs related to patent	09:32:28
	11	defer	nse a	and patent filings were significantly over the	
	12	orig	inal	budget.	
	13			Do you see that?	
	14		A	Yes.	
	15		Q	Is that accurate?	09:32:37
	16		A	Yes.	
	17		Q	The next sentence reads: More importantly, the	
	18	compa	any o	determined that it had to fund compelling	
	19	orig:	inal	programming for exclusive Internet	
	20	dist	ribut	tion.	09:32:51
	21			Do you see that?	
	22		А	Yes.	
	23		Q	Was that accurate?	
	24		А	Yes.	
	25		Q	The second-to-last paragraph on the same page,	09:33:02
					j

_			
	1	1101, begins: In late 1999, the company held	
	2	discussions with a number of strategic partner	
	3	candidates, and then that paragraph specifically	
	4	references Showtime and NBC Internet.	
	5	Do you see that?	09:33:16
	6	A Yes.	
	7	Q Is that accurate?	
	8	A Yes.	
	9	Q The next paragraph says that Showtime and NBCI	
	10	disagreed with a valuation increase since the company's	09:33:28
	11	available content remained the same and it did not	
	12	appear that quality content would be made available any	
	13	time soon.	
	14	Do you see that?	
	15	A Yes.	09:33:39
	16	Q Is that accurate?	
	17	A I don't know.	
	18	Q That paragraph, as it transitions over to the	
	19	next page, page 1102, describes an offer that NBCI made	
	20	that involved \$7 million in promotion time and describes	09:34:02
	21	SightSound rejecting that offer because, quote, the	
	22	company did not have anything specific to promote, end	
	23	quote.	
	24	Do you see that?	
	25	A Yes.	09:34:15

	Tage 247	i
1	Q Is that accurate?	
2	A I don't recall that, that offer.	
3	Q In the middle of the page, 1102, the one, two,	
4	three fourth paragraph in reads: The company did	
5	have an existing relationship with Goldman Sachs.	09:34:44
6	Goldman had provided the company with corporate	
7	financial advice and specifically represented the	
8	company in certain equity-raising efforts.	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A I do.	09:34:55
11	Q Was that accurate?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q The next two sentences read: Goldman was not	09:35:05
16	successful in securing any investor commitments. All of	
17	the equity raised by the company was a result of	
18	management's efforts.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:35:17
21	THE WITNESS: I do.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q Was that accurate?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q On the bottom of that page, the final paragraph	09:35:23

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

-			
	1	begins with the sentence: After much consideration, the	
	2	company concluded that it was important to approach the	
	3	public capital markets.	
	4	Do you see that?	09:35:38
	5	A I do.	09:33.30
	6	Q Was that accurate?	
	7	A Yes.	
	8	Q In the next couple of sentences, it refers to	
	9	the reactions of the banks and says, The banks generally	
	10	believed that the timing was premature. Although banks	09:35:53
	11	were extremely impressed with the system SightSound.com	
	12	built, most agreed that the company needed major studio	
	13	content.	
	14	Do you see that?	
-	15	A Yes.	09:36:06
	16	Q Is that accurate?	
	17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q Do you recall communications from the banks to	09:36:18
	21	that effect around this time?	
	22	A No.	
	23	Q The final sentence of page 1102 reads: The	
	24	banks challenged management to explain the need to go	
	25	public, notwithstanding the business concerns the banks	09:36:33
	1		I

	rage 249	_
1	raised.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Was that accurate?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:36:38
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q What's inaccurate about it?	
9	A My recollection is that the was the inverse.	
	When we went into registration, the back-and-forth with	09:37:02
10		
11	bankers was about how we needed to show the necessary	
12	use of funds to justify substantial capital raise, and,	
13	at the time, our focus was twofold: Defending our	
14	intellectual property rights and maintaining and	
15	operating the system in anticipation of adoption of	09:37:34
16	digital download as the method of choice. And I would	
17	characterize it as us wanting to be in more of a	
18	tread-water mode and they wanted us to have a use of	
19	funds that was substantial.	
20	Q So it's your memory that the banks were	09:37:58
21	encouraging SightSound to go public as of this time	
22	frame and SightSound was being more cautious?	
23	A It's my memory that the banks were encouraging	
24	every Internet company from the yes. They were,	
25	essentially, encouraging all Internet companies to go	09:38:17

1	public.	
2		
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: And we were an Internet company.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:38:27
6	Q So the answer is yes, the banks were	
7	encouraging SightSound to go public in this time frame?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: Well, the bank we worked with.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:38:35
11	Q Which bank?	
12	A WR Hambrecht.	
13	Q On the top of page 1103 of Exhibit 205, it	
14	says, in the first sentence, The company believes that	
15	it should conduct an IPO for two reasons.	09:38:49
16	Do you see that?	
17	7 A Yes.	
18	Q And then the next paragraph begins with the	
19	sentence: First, each major studio received a proposal	
20	that involved the issuance of up to 16,500,000 shares of	09:39:03
21	SightSound.com common stock.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	3 A Yes.	
24	Q Is that accurate?	
25	A I think so, yes.	09:39:19

		i .
1	Q And how, if at all, did that relate to why the	
2	company should or shouldn't have gone public as of this	
3	time?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	THE WITNESS: The liquidity of the stock on	09:39:34
5		03.33.31
6	offer.	
7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
8	Q Can you explain your answer?	
9	A If a studio, as a first mover, partnered with	
10	us, it would be easier for them, as a publicly traded	09:40:16
11	company, to, its my understanding, deal with valuation	
12	issues of our stock if it were publicly traded versus a	
13	private share.	
14	Q The final sentence in that paragraph on	
15	page 1103 reads: The company believes that most of the	09:40:34
16	studios will not react to the proposal until the company	
17	registers a public offering.	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Was that accurate?	09:40:43
21	A Yes.	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Object.	
23	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
24	Q The next paragraph on 1103 begins: Secondly	
25	and more importantly, the company believes that the	09:40:54
i		1

_			
	1	organization that becomes public first in this newborn	
	2	industry will begin to define what movies over the	
	3	Internet means.	
	4	Do you see that?	09:41:05
	5	A Yes.	09:41:03
	6	Q Was that accurate?	
	7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	8	THE WITNESS: No.	
	9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	10	Q What's inaccurate about it?	09:41:20
	11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	12	THE WITNESS: I believe we already defined what	
	13	movies over the Internet meant as of the time that	
	14	Mr. LePore wrote this memorandum.	
	15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:41:35
	16	Q On page 1103, in the middle of the page,	
	17	there's a paragraph beginning with the word "generally."	
	18	Do you see that?	
	19	A Yes.	
	20	Q The final sentence of that paragraph reads:	09:41:45
	21	From August 1st, 1995 through February 29, 2000, the	
	22	company recognized less than \$10,000 in gross revenue.	
	23	Do you see that?	
	24	A Yes.	
	25	Q Was that accurate?	09:41:58
			1

		I
1	A I have no reason to doubt it.	
2		
3	beginning: Initially?	
4	A Yes.	00 40 10
5	Q That says, in the second sentence, As WRH did	09:42:19
6	further due diligence, the bank began to question	
7	whether SightSound could sustain a successful IPO. The	
8	analyst who originally evaluated the company resigned	
9	from WRH. Other analysts questioned the original	
10	valuation based on additional research and analysis.	09:42:36
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Is that accurate?	
14	A I don't know.	
15	Q Turning to page 1104 of Exhibit 205 in the	09:42:47
16	second paragraph begins: On February 25th, 2000, the	
17	company and Franchise Pictures entered into a five-year	
18	exclusive worldwide Internet distribution deal.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A Yes.	09:43:06
21	Q And what did Franchise Pictures provide to	
22	SightSound in connection with that deal?	
23	A I don't recall.	
24	Q The paragraph references Franchise Pictures	
25	receiving an equity stake in the company.	09:43:25

		rage 254	
1		Do you see that?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	What was the equity stake?	
4	A	My recollection is that it was conditional upon	
5	delivery	of titles for for distribution. I don't	09:43:36
6	know if	that was ever consummated.	
7	Q	What would the equity stake have been if it had	
8	been con	summated?	
9	А	I don't remember.	
10	Q	And roughly how many titles were involved?	09:43:54
11	A	It says here 35, at least 35.	
12	Q	Can you ballpark what the equity stake would	
13	have bee	n for those 35 movies?	
14		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15		THE WITNESS: No.	09:44:09
16	BY MR. E	PATCHELDER:	
17	Q	The final sentence of that paragraph said the	
18	transact	ion is expected to close by March 31st, 2000.	
19		Do you see that?	
20	А	Yes.	09:44:19
21	Q	Did it close?	
22	А	I don't know.	
23	Q	The next paragraph references Miramax Films.	
24		Do you see that?	
25	А	Yes.	09:44:29

		1
1	Q And it says, We issued common stock to them in	
2	exchange for Internet distribution rights.	
3	Do you see that?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q What percentage stake in the company did	09:44:36
6	Miramax receive?	
7	A I can't recall.	
8	Q Can you estimate it?	
9	A I think maybe they own 1 percent of Holdings,	
10	which would represent half a percent of LLC.	09:45:09
11	Q And that was conferred to Miramax in exchange	
12	for it doing what?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: Agreeing to release content.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:45:23
16	Q How much content?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I believe it was 12 pictures.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q There's a series of bullet points on	09:45:44
21	page 1104	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q and underneath that there's a sentence:	
24	Based on these developments, WRH believes the company	
25	has a current market capitalization between 250 and	09:45:54

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	1490 200	
1	\$300 million.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Can I where where are you?	
4	Oh, sorry.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:46:04
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Do you see the sentence I just read, sir?	
8	A I do.	
9	Q Did WRH communicate that belief to SightSound	
10	at this time?	09:46:13
11	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
12	THE WITNESS: I think so.	
13	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
14	Q Did SightSound pay taxes based on that	
15	valuation?	09:46:23
16	A I don't	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q The final excuse me, the second-to-last	09:46:34
21	paragraph on page 1104 says it begins with the phrase	
22	"By early 1998."	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And the fourth sentence in says: The company	09:46:48
1		

_			
	1	increased its share price to \$1.50, reflecting a	
	2	post-money enterprise value of roughly \$50 million.	
	3	Do you see that?	
	4	A Yes.	
	5		09:47:03
	6	reflected what the company believed someone would have	
	7	to pay to acquire it.	
	8	Do you see that?	
	9	A Yes.	
	10	Q Was that accurate?	09:47:16
	11	A I don't know.	
	12	Q At the time did you have a view that	
	13	\$50 million or thereabouts would be a fair selling price	
	14	for SightSound?	
	15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:47:40
	16	THE WITNESS: I don't recall an interest in	
	17	selling out.	
	18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	19	Q Whether or not you were interested in selling	
	20	out at the time, did you view \$50 million or thereabouts	09:47:47
	21	as a fair selling price for SightSound?	
	22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
-	23	THE WITNESS: I didn't think of it that way.	
ĺ	24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	25	Q How did you think of it?	09:48:02
1			1

p		1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I wanted to build it.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Staying with that same paragraph on page 1104	
5	of Exhibit 205, the last sentence reads: At the time,	09:48:16
6	the company believed that the commercial viability of	
7	movies over the Internet was 10 years away, but an	
8	immediate opportunity in music distribution was well	
9	within its grasp.	
10	Do you see that?	09:48:35
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Was that an accurate statement describing early	
13	1998?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: No.	09:48:40
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q What's inaccurate about it?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: We never made a distinction.	
20	From 1995, if one looks at the original SightSound	09:48:59
21	website, we talk of music and movies over the Internet.	
22	It was always our strategy to defend the IP that covered	
23	both, and, at times, our strategy reflected either	
24	operationally distribution of music or distribution of	
25	movies to prove the concept, but it was possible from	09:49:22

1	the day we did everything. So by definition, it wasn't	
2	10 years away. We did it in April of 1999.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q The final paragraph on page 1104 of Exhibit 205	
5	begins with this sentence, quote: By late 1999, the	09:49:51
6	company was still in a developmental stage but	
7	repositioned to execute on a movies-over-the-Internet	
8	strategy.	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A Yes.	09:50:03
11	Q Is that accurate?	
12	A As to distribution, yes.	
13	Q Turning to the final page of Exhibit 205, the	
14	top paragraph has a final sentence that begins: As of	
15	December 31st, 1999, the company store consists of	09:50:31
16	largely unknown independent titles.	
17	Do you see that?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Was that accurate?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:50:43
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q The next sentence says, Thus, the company finds	
24	itself at the end of the year in a position akin to	
25	building a shopping center and not having any tenants.	09:50:57

	rage 200	
1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Is that a fair analogy?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, as to distribution.	09:51:05
6	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)	
7	MR. BATCHELDER: Let's let's not mark this.	
8	Is it already marked? Yeah, let's just take it off	
9	because it's already been marked in this case. I've	
10	already done that by mistake a couple of times, but.	09:52:47
11	MR. DiBOISE: Thank you. What's the number?	
12	MR. BATCHELDER: 125.	
13	Q I've handed you a document that's been marked	
14	as Exhibit 2 125 in this matter and spans the Bates	
15	range SST-10196 through 10424, and the cover page is	09:53:01
16	titled "GE/SightSound Signing and Closing Deliveries in	
17	Connection with the Asset Purchase Agreement," and it	
18	goes on from there.	
19	Have you seen this document before?	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:53:27
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q What do you understand it to be?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: As titled on the front page, the	09:53:35

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

	rage 201	1
1	Asset Purchase Agreement.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q The	
4	A And related documents.	
5	Q Thank you.	09:53:55
6	If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
7	in 199, it's just a few pages in.	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q This is titled "Asset Purchase Agreement."	
10	Do you see that?	09:54:14
11	A I do.	
12	Q And what do you understand this document to be?	
13	A The Asset Purchase Agreement between DMT	
14	Licensing and SightSound Technologies.	
15	Q If I could ask you to turn to the signature	09:54:26
16	page it's on page 229 does that bear your	
17	signature?	
18	A It does.	
19	Q What was your role in negotiating this Asset	
20	Purchase Agreement with DMT?	09:54:47
21	A I represented SightSound Technologies, its	
22	board and shareholders.	
23	Q Were you the lead negotiator?	
24	A I was.	
25	Q If we could turn to page 6 of the agreement,	09:55:02
		_

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

1	which is	Bates-stamped 203, I'm looking at	
2	Provision	n 2.2, Purchase Price.	
3		Are you there?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	And it references the amount of \$1 in	09:55:20
6	Provision	n A.	
7		Do you see that?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	How was that amount arrived at?	
10	А	The purpose of the sale was to participate at a	09:55:33
11	level of	50 percent in the future value of the patent	
12	portfoli	o. It's my understanding that a an actual	
13	sum that	exceeded zero needed to be exchanged for legal	
14	purposes	. I'm not a lawyer, but that was my	
15	understa	nding.	09:56:04
16	Q	When you say that "The purpose of the sale was	
17	to parti	cipate at a level of 50 percent in the future	
18	value of	the patent portfolio," what did you mean?	
19	A	It was that we were entering into an	
20	arrangem	ent with General Electric where we would split	09:56:26
21	the valu	e.	
22	Q	Other than first of all, did GE ever pay	
23	that \$1?		
24	А	I believe they did. I don't I don't recall.	
25	I recall	the closing, but I assumed it was a dollar	09:56:59
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 Provision 3 4 A 5 Q 6 Provision 7 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 level of 12 portfolic 13 sum that 14 purposes 15 understa 16 Q 17 to parti 18 value of 19 A 20 arrangem 21 the valu 22 Q 23 that \$1? 24 A	Are you there?  A Yes.  Q And it references the amount of \$1 in  Provision A.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q How was that amount arrived at?  A The purpose of the sale was to participate at a level of 50 percent in the future value of the patent portfolio. It's my understanding that a an actual sum that exceeded zero needed to be exchanged for legal purposes. I'm not a lawyer, but that was my understanding.  Q When you say that "The purpose of the sale was to participate at a level of 50 percent in the future value of the patent portfolio," what did you mean?  A It was that we were entering into an arrangement with General Electric where we would split the value.  Q Other than first of all, did GE ever pay that \$1?  A I believe they did. I don't I don't recall.

		3
1	exchanged hands that night.	
2	Q Okay. And other than that \$1 and well,	
3	strike that, please.	
4	Let me ask you to turn to page 14 of the	
5	agreement, which ends in page 211 of the Bates numbers.	09:57:17
6	I'm looking at Provision 7.2, which actually begins on	
7	page 13 and kicks over to the following page.	
8	Are you there?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q So other than the provisions within 7.2C and	09:57:35
11	the \$1 that we talked about in connection with	
12	Section 2.2, did GE have any monetary obligations to	
13	SightSound in connection with this agreement?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "monetary	09:57:58
16	obligations"?	
17	MR. BATCHELDER: Obligation to pay money.	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	09:58:12
21	Q What?	
22	A As previously stated, the participation in	
23	moneys derived from the value of the patents.	
24	Q Isn't that referenced in 7.2C?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:58:30

		2 4 9 6 2 9 1	
	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
l	3	Q Okay. So we may have talked past each other,	
	4	but what I was trying to get at is: Other than the	
	5	monetary obligations set forth in paragraph 7.2C and the	09:58:47
ĺ	6	\$1 referenced in paragraph 2.2 of the Asset Purchase	
	7	Agreement, did GE or DMT have any monetary obligations	
	8	that were incurred, in your understanding?	
	9	MR. DiBOISE: Object.	
	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:59:07
	11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	12	Q And what other obligations?	
	13	A Funding the operations of SightSound.	
	14	Q Is that obligation something other than what's	
	15	set forth in 7.2C?	09:59:19
	16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	17	THE WITNESS: No.	
	18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	19	Q So those are in 7.2C also?	
	20	A Yes.	09:59:38
	21	Q Okay. So coming back to my question: Other	
	22	than the \$1 from paragraph 2.2 and other than the	
	23	obligations of paragraph 7.2C, did GE or DMT undertake	
	24	any other monetary obligations in this agreement?	
	25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	09:59:55
- 1			I

	1	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't I don't	
	2	know if I understand the distinction.	
	3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	4	Q Between what?	
	5	A The monetary obligations of GE. They	10:00:04
	6	precede the 7.2C references them recapturing that	
l	7	money that they have invested. That's my understanding.	
	8	So to use your terminology, I think maybe we are talking	
	9	past each other.	
	10	Q I think I understand your point, but the	10:00:41
	11	what's being recaptured there is money that they were	
	12	otherwise obligated to spend?	
	13	A Correct.	
	14	Q Okay. And so other than other than the	
	15	the financial obligations corresponding to that, that	10:00:56
	16	would be recaptured under paragraph 7.2C and the \$1 in	
	17	paragraph 2.2, were there any other monetary obligations	
	18	that GE or DMT undertook in connection with this	
	19	agreement?	
	20	A No.	10:01:17
	21	Q If I could ask you to turn to the Bates page	
	22	ending 230?	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q This is Exhibit A to the agreement we have been	
	25	discussing; is that right?	10:01:39

	rage 200	
1	A It is.	
2	Q And in the far left-hand column at the very	
3	top, it says A/V e-commerce patents.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A Yes.	10:01:50
6	Q What is A/V?	
7	A It's I believe it's shorthand for	
8	audio/video.	
9	Q There are four entries under the first heading	
10	for country. The first three are the patents-in-suit in	10:02:06
11	this matter; correct?	
12	A Yes.  Q And then the fourth reference references an	
13		
14	application in progress.	10:02:18
15	Do you see that?	10:02:18
16	A It says in process.	
17	Q In process. Thank you.	
18	A Yes, I do.	
19	Q And what is that?	
20	A That was	10:02:26
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: reference to application in	
23	process at the time of the closing.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Does that application still exist?	10:02:34

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		14ge 20.	
	1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	2	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.	
	3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	4	Q Did it issue as a patent?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:02:41
		THE WITNESS: I don't think so.	
	6		
	7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q In your understanding, what became of it?	
	9	A I don't know.	10 00 50
	10	Q Do you have any understanding?	10:02:52
	11	A I know that it it does not I believe that	
	12	it does not exist as an ongoing application or patent.	
	13	Q And what's the basis of that?	
	14	A I don't recall.	
-	15	Q Do you have any other information other than	10:03:08
	16	what you have shared with me about how it came to no	
	17	longer exist?	
	18	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that that question	
	19	would require you to divulge any information and	
	20	discussions exchanged between yourself or others in	10:03:24
	21	SightSound and patent counsel, I would caution you not	
	22	to reveal those discussions in answer to this question.	
	23	Do you understand my admonition?	
	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	25	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	10:03:40
	l .		1

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

		rage 200	
	1	THE WITNESS: No.	
	2	MR. DiBOISE: Then I instruct you not to answer	l
	3	the question.	
	4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	5	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?	10:03:47
	6	A I am.	
	7	Q If I could ask you to turn to the Bates page	
	8	ending in 233 of Exhibit 2 titled "License Agreements."	
	9	Do you see that?	
	10	A Yes.	10:04:00
	11	Q The first sentence reads: SightSound	
	12	Technologies, Inc., does not have any existing License	
	13	Agreements with any third parties.	
	14	Do you see that?	
	15	A Yes.	10:04:10
	16	Q Was that accurate at the time?	
	17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q Was that accurate as to all SightSound entities	10:04:17
	21	at the time?	
	22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	23	THE WITNESS: All SightSound at the time of	
	24	this document, there was only one SightSound entity.	
	25	Well, I guess at the yeah, I I don't I don't	10:04:31
- 1			.1

r			
	1	recall. I mean, I'm confused by your question	
	2	because not to put too fine a point on it, but at the	
	3	moment that this deal closed, there was SightSound	
		Technologies Holdings and DMT Licensing, and I'm not	
	4		10:04:58
	5	aware, as I sit here today, of any licenses other than	10.04.30
	6	the referenced settlement with BeMusic.	
	7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q What about the License Agreement we discussed	
	9	yesterday with Henry Moore; had that expired	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:05:25
	11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	12	Q as of the time that exhibit as of the	
	13	time that the DMT agreement was entered into?	
-	14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	15	THE WITNESS: I believe so.	10:05:25
	16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	17	Q Would you turn, please, to the page within	
	18	Exhibit 20 excuse me, within Exhibit 125 to the	
	19	Bates ending 263. This has a heading "SightSound	
	20	Preliminary Operating Plan."	10:06:01
	21	Do you see that?	
	22	A Yes.	
	23	Q And who prepared this document?	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	25	THE WITNESS: GE.	10:06:07

	1496-276	
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Did you have any role in preparation of this	
3	document?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: No.	10:06:35
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q The first sentence of page 263 reads: The	
8	operating plan contemplates the commercialization of the	
9	subject patents into two markets: Audio On Demand and	
10	Hotel Video On Demand.	10:07:00
11	Do you see that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Was that accurate?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: No.	10:07:06
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q What's inaccurate about it?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: The operating plan. This was a	
20	form of operating plan that was supposed to be created	10:07:33
21	at the time provided for in the provisions that are	
22	inside this document, which didn't trigger until	
23	emergence from re-examination.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Is the first sentence on page 263 accurate of	10:07:57

1	the operating plan that was to go into effect upon	,
2	emergence from re-examination?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: I believe I've answered that.	
5	This was a let me try it in a different word a	10:08:17
6	place holder as to format for the actual operating plan	
7	that had not been developed, because it was not	
8	necessary until after emergence from re-examination.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q There is a reference here to Hotel Video On	10:08:39
11	Demand.	
12	Has SightSound communicated to proprietors of	
13	Hotel Video On Demand a belief that they are infringing?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Hold on a second.	
15	You can answer "yes" or "no" or "I don't know."	10:09:08
16	THE WITNESS: No.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Has SightSound	
19	A May I ask for a clarification? Are we talking	
20	about I misunderstood your your sentence or	10:09:34
21	your question, because I thought we were referencing	
22	this moment in time of this document. Could you re-ask	
23	that question? Did you mean in the arc of all time?	
24	Q That's what I meant.	
25	A I do not know.	10:09:49

_			
	1	Q Has SightSound ever communicated to Microsoft a	
	2	belief that Microsoft infringes any of the	
	3	patents-in-suit in this matter?	
	4	A Yes.	
			10:10:22
	5		10,10,10,100
	6	communicated to Microsoft that SightSound believes are	
	7	infringing?	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	9	THE WITNESS: I should clarify. I don't know	10 10 50
	10	if we communicated that they are infringing. I'm highly	10:10:52
	11	confident that we communicated that we did not grant	
	12	them a license for any of their Zune products or	
	13	marketplace, for example. So as to an affirmative	
	14	statement that they are infringing, that's that's the	
	15	purview of our patent and legal patent lawyers and	10:11:15
	16	and but I think Microsoft is well aware of the	<u> </u>
	17	SightSound patents and was made aware and with proper	
	18	notification when they launched various products and	
	19	services.	
	20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:11:41
	21	Q What time frame is associated with those	
	22	communications?	
	23	A 2004.	
	24	Q Nothing recent?	
	25	A I don't know.	10:11:55
	1		I .

		1
1	Q If there had been recent communications with	
2	Microsoft on those subjects, would you expect to know	
3	about them?	
4	A No.	
5	Q Why not?	10:12:08
6	A Because our partner in this venture is General	
7	Electric, and I can't know all interactions that General	
8	Electric has with Microsoft, MSNBC, etc. There are	
9	large companies with multiple linkages.	
10	Q Has SightSound communicated to LodgeNet that	10:12:29
11	SightSound believes LodgeNet has infringed any of the	
12	patents-in-suit?	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:12:44
16	Q Has SightSound approached LodgeNet to take a	
17	license to any of the patents-in-suit?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:12:53
21	Q Are you aware of any communications not	
22	involving attorneys about whether LodgeNet practices any	
23	of the patents-in-suit?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Hold on.	
25	Objection.	10:13:05

		•
1	THE WITNESS: I am not.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q If I could ask you to turn to page 279, this is	
4	titled "Schedule 2.1, List of Encumbrances."	
5	Do you see that?	10:13:30
6	A I do.	
7	Q Do these encumbrances still exist?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't believe I don't	
10	believe Mr. Schwartz's does.	10:13:56
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Did Mr. Schwartz actually take out a lien on	
13	some SightSound assets?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:14:08
16	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
17	Q Did Kenyon & Kenyon take out a lien on	
18	Sightset's SightSound's assets?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:14:18
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q If you look at the next page, page 280 of that	
23	Exhibit 125, you will see a letter from Kenyon & Kenyon	
24	referring, in the second paragraph, to extending the	
25	deadline on foreclosure of the lien.	10:14:37

-			
	1	Do you goo that?	
	1	Do you see that?	
	2	A Yes.	
	3	Q And in the next paragraph, it refers to having	
	4	an option to, among other things, 10 percent of the	
	5	income received by SightSound under its agreement with	10:14:52
	6	GE.	
	7	Do you see that?	
	8	A Yes.	
	9	Q And what agreement with GE is it referring to?	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:15:01
	11	THE WITNESS: I'm not the lawyer, but it is my	
	12	understanding that this this substantial document	
	13	required, at the moment of the closing, for lienholders	
	14	of SightSound to stand still, if you will, in	
	15	anticipation of the patents re-emerging emerging from	10:15:34
	16	re-examination, and so that's that's my general	
	17	understanding. The agreement is not to move on on	
	18	any outstanding outstanding obligations.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q Is it your understanding that Kenyon & Kenyon,	10:15:51
	21	in connection with this transaction, now has an option	
	22	on 10 percent of the income received by SightSound under	
	23	its agreement with GE?	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	25	THE WITNESS: No.	10:16:05
			I

1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q And how is what I just said inconsistent with	
3	your understanding?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: Time frame has passed.	10:16:21
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Would you explain?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: The it's my understanding that	
10	they do not currently hold the 10 percent option right.	10:16:43
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q And what resulted in that change in	
13	circumstances?	
14	A The time the time frame had passed.	
15	Q What time frame?	10:16:57
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: My recollection that there's a	
18	there was a specific period of time after emergence from	
19	re-examination and that that that triggered their	
20	requirement to decide whether to exercise their option	10:17:12
21	or not, and that time frame has passed.	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: I see.	
23	Q If I could ask you to turn to page 290, this is	
24	a page with the heading "Collaborative Approach to Value	
25	Creation."	10:17:55

		raye z r	1
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	The second bullet point on this page refers to	
3	the nonre	evenue-generating e-commerce patent portfolio.	
4		Do you see that?	·
5	A	Yes.	10:18:06
6	Q	Is that a reference to the patents-in-suit?	
7		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8		THE WITNESS: I think so.	
9		MR. BATCHELDER: Why don't we take a quick	
10	break.		10:18:35
11		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
12		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:17.	
13		(Recess taken.)	
14		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 10:26.	
15		(Exhibit 206 was marked for identification by	10:26:55
16		the Court Reporter.)	
17		MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
18	Exhibit	206, a document spanning the Bates range	
19	STI-1355	2 through 13633.	
20	Q	Do you recognize this document?	10:27:19
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	What do you recognize it to be?	
23	А	Registration Form S-1.	
24	Q	Was this filed by SightSound with the	
25	Securiti	es and Exchange Commission?	10:27:36

	rage 270	1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
4	Q Did SightSound file an SK or, excuse me, S-1	
5	with the Securities and Exchange Commission?	10:27:51
6	A I know it was in I don't remember. I know	
7	it was in preparation, but I can't recall when the	1000
8	offering was withdrawn or whether it was not submitted.	
9	I don't know.	description of the second of t
10	Q Was this generated on or about April 20th,	10:28:09
11	2000? That appears to be the date on the top.	
12	A Okay.	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q Was it generated on or about that date?	10:28:28
16	A It appears to have been.	
17	Q Okay. And did you review this document for	
18	accuracy?	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that.	10:28:42
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q What was your role in its preparation, if any?	
23	A Meetings with investment bankers.	
24	Q Did you have any role in actually creating the	
25	content?	10:28:53
		_

1	А	I would characterize it as being interviewed by	
2	the inve	stment bankers.	
3	Q	Did you draft any content?	
4	А	I don't believe so.	
5	Q	Did you edit any of the content?	10:29:03
6	А	I don't think so.	
7	Q	If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
8	in 556.		
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	The last paragraph reads: We are a	10:29:22
11	developm	ment-stage company and have experienced net	
12	losses s	since our inception in 1995. We intend to invest	
13	aggressi	vely to implement our strategy and expect to	
14	continue	e to incur net losses for the foreseeable future.	
15		Do you see that?	10:29:40
16	А	I do.	
17	Q	Was that accurate at the time?	
18		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19		THE WITNESS: I think that's a requirement of	
20	the lawy	vers to put that kind of language in a document	10:30:00
21	such as	this.	
22	BY MR. E	BATCHELDER:	
23	Q	The question is: Is it an accurate statement,	
24	or was i	It as of the time, April 2000?	
25	A	I don't know.	10:30:13

	13.9 - 2	
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q So you are not sure one way or the other?	
4	A Well	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:30:22
6	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate as to what	
7	the lawyers wanted "foreseeable future" to mean.	
8	MR. DiBOISE: You should read the question if	
9	you can't understand it.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:30:35
11	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
12	in 558, it's titled "Summary Financial Data."	
13	Do you have any reason to believe that these	
14	data were inaccurate at the time?	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:30:50
16	THE WITNESS: No.	
17	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
18	Q Looking at the right-hand column, it addresses	
19	the period August 1st, 1995 through December 31st, 1999.	
20	Do you see that?	10:31:16
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And it refers to August 1st, 1995 as inception?	
23	A Okay.	
24	Q It looks like the net loss associated with that	
25	period was \$8,773,000 excuse me, \$8,773,250.	10:31:32

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

1		Is that your reading of it also?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	If I can ask you to turn to the page ending in	
4		ase, about three-quarters of the way down that	
5	page, th	ere's a paragraph beginning: We currently	10:32:23
6	derive.		
7		Do you see that?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	The first sentence in that paragraph reads: We	
10	currentl	y derive substantially all of our revenue from	10:32:34
11	the rent	al and sale of movies, and we expect this to	
12	continue	· ·	
13		Do you see that?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Was that accurate at the time?	10:32:48
16		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17		THE WITNESS: As to the distribution strategy.	
18	BY MR. E	BATCHELDER:	
19	Q	As to the distribution strategy, the answer is	
20	yes?		10:33:22
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Why was it that as of April 2000, SightSound	
23		eved and expected to continue to derive	
24		cially all of its revenue from the rental and	10:33:44
25	sale of	movies as opposed to audio signals?	10:33:44

	rage 202	1
1	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: As to distribution, it was based	
3	on the content on our site.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Would you elaborate on that answer.	10:34:03
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: There were more video recordings	
8	than audio recordings.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Is that because SightSound had invested more in	10:34:22
11	developing its video content than audio content?	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: No.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q What is the reason?	10:34:38
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Willingness of the copyright	
18	holders.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q If you look at page 562, you will see there's	10:34:49
21	a a paragraph followed by a series of bullets that	
22	begins about a third of the way down the page begins:	
23	Many of our current and potential competitors have	
24	substantial advantages over us.	
25	Do you see that?	10:35:09

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

1 A I'm sorry. Where are you? 2 Q I'm on the page ending 562. 3 A Okay. 4 Q And about a third of the way down, there's set of bullets, the first being: Longer operating histories. 7 A Yes. 8 Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over the point of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us. 12 Do you see that? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Yes. 17 Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound. 19 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
2 Q I'm on the page ending 562.  3 A Okay.  4 Q And about a third of the way down, there's set of bullets, the first being: Longer operating histories.  7 A Yes.  8 Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over the plist of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  12 Do you see that?  13 A Yes.  14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  15 Do you see that?  16 A Yes.  17 Do you see that?  18 A Yes.  19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  20 MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  21 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
A Okay.  Q And about a third of the way down, there's set of bullets, the first being: Longer operating histories.  A Yes.  Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over the list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	
Q And about a third of the way down, there's set of bullets, the first being: Longer operating histories.  A Yes.  Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over the list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	
set of bullets, the first being: Longer operating histories.  A Yes.  Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over t list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	a
A Yes.  Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over the list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Po you see that?  A Yes.  Po you see that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:35:24
8 Q So I'm looking at the sentence right over t 9 list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our 10 current and potential competitors have substantial 11 advantages over us. 12 Do you see that? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial 15 advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer 16 operating histories. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial 20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound 21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
9 list of bullets. The sentence reads: Many of our 10 current and potential competitors have substantial 11 advantages over us. 12 Do you see that? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial 15 advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer 16 operating histories. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial 20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound 21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
current and potential competitors have substantial advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  A Yes.  A Yes.  It lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	.hat
advantages over us.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  A Yes.  And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	
Do you see that?  A Yes.  A Yes.  A Yes.  A Yes.  It lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:35:37
A Yes.  14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  17 Do you see that?  18 A Yes.  19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14 Q And then it lists some of those substantial 15 advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer 16 operating histories. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial 20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound 21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
advantages. It lists five of them. One is: Longer operating histories.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you agree that that was a substantial advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound.  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	
16 operating histories.  17 Do you see that?  18 A Yes.  19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial  20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound  21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
Do you see that?  A Yes.  Do you agree that that was a substantial  advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound  MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:35:45
18 A Yes.  19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial  20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound  21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19 Q Do you agree that that was a substantial 20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSoun 21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
20 advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound 21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
21 MR. DiBOISE: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22 THE WITNESS: Yes.	nd? 10:35:55
O2 DV MD DATECUET DDD	
23 BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
Q The second reason listed is: Significantly	7
25 greater financial, technical, and marketing resource	es. 10:36:27

_			
	1	Do you agree that that was a substantial	
	1	Do you agree that that was a substantial	
	2	advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound?	
	3	A Yes.	
	4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10 26 10
	5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:36:40
	6	Q The next advantage listed is: Greater brand	
	7	name recognition.	
	8	Do you agree that that was a substantial	
	9	advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound?	
	10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	10:36:51
	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	13	Q The next advantage listed is: Larger existing	
	14	customer basis.	
	15	Do you agree that that was a substantial	10:37:03
	16	advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound?	
	17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q The final advantage listed is: More popular	10:37:16
	21	content.	
	22	Do you agree that that was a substantial	
	23	advantage of SightSound's competitors over SightSound?	
	24	A No.	
	25	Q Why not?	10:37:26
-			

_			
	1	A Circa April 2000, more popular content was not	
	2	widely available through any competitor.	
	3	Q Do you have an understanding as to how that	
	4	phrase became a part of this list if your perception is	
	5	correct?	10:38:04
	6	A Because lawyers make us put risk factors in all	
	7	these documents.	
	8	Q If I could ask you to turn to page 564, there's	
	9	a heading at the top "We are Dependent on Software	
	10	Developed by Third Parties."	10:38:22
	11	Do you see that?	
	12	A I do.	
	13	Q The sentence under that says, We currently	
	14	depend on Microsoft's Windows Media Technologies and	
	15	Windows Media Rights Manager software for our video and	10:38:34
	16	audio compression and encryption capabilities.	
	17	Do you see that?	
	18	A Yes.	
	19	Q Was that accurate?	
	20	A I don't know.	10:38:46
	21	Q Could I ask you to turn to the page ending in	
	22	599. At the top it's titled "Executive Compensation,"	
	23	and there is a summary compensation table.	
	24	Do you see that table?	
	25	A Yes.	10:39:26
	i		1

г			
	1	Q Do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of	
	2	the financial information in that table?	
	3	A No.	
	4	Q Looking at the entries corresponding to you, do	
	5	you believe that those are accurate recitations of your	10:39:40
	6	salary and other compensation during the years 1997,	
	7	'98, and '99.	
	8	A I guess so.	
	9	Q You don't have any reason to doubt it as you	
	10	sit here?	10:39:57
	11	A No, I don't.	
	12	Q Okay.	
	13	MR. DiBOISE: We need a quick break to speak	
	14	with the witness about a matter of privilege.	
		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Disc 1.	10:40:06
	15		10.10.00
	16	Off the record at 10:39.	
	17	(Recess taken.)	
	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 2 of Scott	
	19	Volume Disc 2, Volume 2 of Scott Sander.	10 44 11
	20	On the record at 10:43.	10:44:11
	21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
***************************************	22	Q Mr. Sander, we left off on Exhibit 206. One	
	23	last entry I want to ask you about on page 599. This	
	24	was the Executive Compensation page. For 1999, you have	
	25	a salary of \$187,500, and then 2007, \$100 of other	10:44:33

		•
1	annual compensation.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q What was the other annual compensation?	
5	A I don't recall.	10:44:45
6	(Exhibit 207 was marked for identification by	
7	the Court Reporter.)	
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
9	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
10	Exhibit 207, a document with the Bates range SST-7870	10:45:21
11	through 7876.	
12	Q Do you recognize this document?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
15	A The Settlement Agreement between SightSound	10:45:40
16	Technologies and BeMusic.	
17	Q Is that your signature on page 875?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Were you SightSound's principal negotiator?	
20	A No.	10:46:02
21	Q Who was?	
22	A Our patent lawyers.	
23	Q What role, if any, did you have in the	
24	negotiation of this Settlement Agreement?	
25	A Strategic.	10:46:19

1	Q Did you have any role communicating with the	
2	other side?	
3	A I did.	
4	Q Would you describe that role.	
5	A I believe we were I believe we met	10:46:36
6	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
7	I don't know how to deal with this, and I	
8	apologize to you, but I know that I'm going to assert an	
9	instruction here, but he's not answering your question,	
10	so I don't know how to handle this, Jim, and I'm just	10:47:08
11	being candid with you. He's about to discuss a	
12	mediation session that is subject to privilege. And if	
13	I'm wrong, could you ask him if that's what this was	
14	about so I can get the record straight?	
15	MR. BATCHELDER: Sure.	10:47:23
16	Q I don't I'm not asking you about the content	
17	of any mediation session, but if you participated in a	
18	mediation, you could say that much, I think, on the	
19	record.	
20	A I did.	10:47:31
21	Q Okay. Beyond participating in a mediation	
22	session, did you have any other role in connection with	
23	the settlement of this matter?	
24	A No.	
25	Q Okay. When you signed the agreement, did you	10:47:41

		7
1	believe you understood its terms?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q It appears, in Provision 2, that there was a	
4	\$3,300,000 payment to SightSound.	
5	Did that payment get made?	10:48:04
6	A Yes.	
7	Q How did you come to that number?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not I don't think that I	
10	can disclose how I came how we came to that number	10:48:30
11	because it was us and the lawyers making	
12	MR. DiBOISE: So to the extent that that	
13	question requires you to disclose attorney-client	
14	information, I would admonish you not to reveal in	
15	answer to that question.	10:48:51
16	Do you understand that?	
17	THE WITNESS: I do.	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Okay. Now, he's asking you how	
19	did you come to that number, and I don't know what he	
20	means by "you," so	10:48:58
21	THE WITNESS: I didn't come to that number.	
22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
23	Q What was the the basis for that number?	
24	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that that the	
25	answer to that question involves the revelation of	10:49:11

		1
1	communications you had with counsel, I would admonish	
2	you not to reveal such consultations with counsel in	
3	response to that question.	
4	Do you understand that admonition?	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:49:25
6	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
7	THE WITNESS: No.	
8	MR. DiBOISE: I instruct you not to answer on	
9	the basis of work product and attorney-client	
10	communication.	10:49:32
11	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
12	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?	
13	A I am.	
14	Q Was there communication between SightSound and	
15	BeMusic about the basis for the calculation of	10:49:42
16	\$3,300,000?	
17	A Yes.	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q And would you please summarize those	10:49:51
21	communications.	
22	A No.	
23	MR. DiBOISE: I I'm just smiling. Let the	,
24	record reflect that I believe the witness is, again,	
25	being asked to provide attorney-client information in	10:50:05

-			
	1	response to the question.	
	2	The "you" means you. It doesn't mean	
	3	SightSound.	
	4	THE WITNESS: The question said SightSound.	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: No, it didn't.	10:50:17
	6	THE WITNESS: The first question.	
	7	MR. DiBOISE: The second question didn't, so I	
	8	can only I can only respond to object to the	
	9	questions that are asked to you, so please answer the	
	10	questions that are asked to you.	10:50:31
	11	MR. BATCHELDER: To to be clear, Mike,	
	12	Mr. Sander is right, that my my question was directed	
	13	to communication between SightSound and the other side	
	14	in this negotiation, BeMusic.	
	15	MR. DiBOISE: Then if that's true, then I would	10:50:42
	16	object and instruct on the basis that the question calls	
	17	for attorney-client information.	
	18	MR. BATCHELDER: How could it be	
	19	attorney-client if it's communication between two	
	20	adversarial parties?	10:50:55
	21	MR. DiBOISE: Because he didn't have the	
	22	communication. He only knows about it from the	
	23	conversations with counsel. That's why I'm trying to	
	24	to navigate when you say "you," whether you are talking	
	25	about him or you are talking about SightSound.	10:51:05

I			
	1	MR. BATCHELDER: Well, if if his counsel	
	2	communicated something to BeMusic and then passed along	
	3	to Mr. Sander what was communicated, that that	
	4	doesn't make it that communication between the two	
	5	adversaries privileged. The fact that a lawyer	10:51:17
	6	delivered the message about what was said between the	
	7	two adversaries doesn't make it privileged.	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: I don't know that I necessarily	1
	9	agree with that, but I don't believe that's what your	
	10	question was asking him to tell you.	10:51:33
	11	MR. BATCHELDER: It's what I'm intending to get	
	12	to, so let me start over and let's make a clear record.	
	13	Q My question is about communications between	
	14	SightSound and BeMusic in connection with the	
	15	negotiation of the Settlement Agreement that is	10:51:54
	16	Exhibit 207.	
	17	Do you understand that, Mr. Sander?	
	18	A No.	
	19	Q What's unclear?	
	20	A I don't know if you asked me a question.	10:52:05
	21	Q I'm about to. I'm just saying, are you with me	
	22	so far?	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q Okay.	
	25	A You guys invented the rules. I'm just	10:52:17

ſ			
	1	Q So my question is: As to those communications	
	2	between SightSound and BeMusic, what was communicated	
	3	about the basis for the \$3,300,000 payment that is set	
	4	forth in Provision 2 of the Settlement Agreement?	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: If the only information available	10:52:37
	6	to you to answer this question is the result of	
	7	communications with your counsel, I would instruct you	
	8	not to answer the question.	
	9	Do you understand that admonition?	-
	10	THE WITNESS: I do.	10:52:49
	11	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
	12	THE WITNESS: No.	
	13	MR. DiBOISE: I instruct you not to answer.	
	14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	15	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?	10:52:55
	16	A I am.	
	17	MR. BATCHELDER: And for the record, I think	
	18	that's an improper instruction for the reasons I've	
	19	stated. I don't think that information is privileged.	
	20	Q Did BeMusic communicate to SightSound in	10:53:11
	21	connection with the negotiations over the Settlement	
	22	Agreement what its past sales had been in connection	
	23	with the conduct that was being accused of infringement	
	24	in that matter?	
	25	A Yes.	10:53:42

		i
1	Q And what did it convey in that regard?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	You can answer if you know.	
4	THE WITNESS: Its past sales.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	10:54:01
6	Q What numbers did it convey in connection with	
7	its past sales?	
8	A I don't recall specifically.	
9	Q Did it convey those numbers in writing?	
10	A I don't know.	10:54:11
11	Q Can you provide me an estimate?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q What's your estimate?	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Well, I believe that that	
15	information is subject to confidentiality restrictions	10:54:19
16	regarding between SightSound and the parties to the	
17	Settlement Agreement. And while we would be willing to	
18	let the witness answer the question, we have to be	
19	respectful of their rights and consider that	
20	information in considering that information	10:54:41
21	confidential to the other signatories to this agreement,	
22	and I have to instruct the witness not to answer the	
23	question on that basis.	
24	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
25	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?	10:54:54
:		

		23.90 27.1	
	1	A Yes.	
	2	MR. BATCHELDER: For the record, I also believe	
	3	that that instruction is improper.	
	4	Q Is there any information that you can provide	10.55.06
	5	to me today, Mr. Sander, about the basis for that	10:55:06
	6	\$3,300,000 figure in Provision 2 of Exhibit 207?	
	7	MR. DiBOISE: To the extent that that an	
	8	answer to that question would involve your discussions	
	9	with counsel about how the numbers and settlement was	
	10	achieved, I would admonish you not to reveal such	10:55:28
	11	information in answer to the question.	
	12	Do you understand that admonition?	
	13	THE WITNESS: I do.	
	14	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	
-	15	THE WITNESS: No.	10:55:40
	16	MR. DiBOISE: I instruct you not to answer on	
	17	the basis of attorney-client information and work	
	18	product.	
	19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	20	Q Do you intend to follow that instruction?	10:55:46
	21	A I do.	
	22	MR. BATCHELDER: I also disagree and object to	
	23	that instruction.	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: What so let me just try to	
	25	obviate this.	10:55:57
	l		1

	1	What what is it that you are after? I just	
	2	don't I just don't want to be I'm trying to be	
	3	very careful and not waive anything, but if you if	
	4	you just tell me what information you are seeking,	
	5	perhaps we can get it to you.	10:56:08
	6	MR. BATCHELDER: Well, as I've said, my broad	
	7	question is: What's the basis for the calculation?	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: It was a percentage of the	
	9	revenue on the downloads.	
	10	MR. BATCHELDER: Okay. Well, Mr. Sander hasn't	10:56:23
	11	been able to tell me that.	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Right, because he didn't	
	13	negotiate the agreement, and the only reason he knows of	
	14	it is through negotiations with counsel.	
	15	MR. BATCHELDER: Again	10:56:32
	16	MR. DiBOISE: I mean discussions with counsel.	
	17	And and I do and I understand your point, but I	
	18	don't know how you dance on the head of that pin in	
	19	terms of whether it was a factual discussion between us	
	20	and the other side or it was a revelation to Mr. Sanders	10:56:44
	21	(sic) of our thinking about how we would get to an	
	22	appropriate number in the settlement, and I just don't	
	23	know how to define divine the navigate that route	
	24	to give you the answer.	
	25	MR. BATCHELDER: Well, as I said, I I	10:57:00
-			1

1			
	1	believe that we are entitled to that information, and if	
	2	SightSound refuses to disclose it in in deposition, I	1
	3	think that has ramifications, and we will just have to	1
	4	take it from there.	
	5	Next, please.	10:57:29
	6	(Exhibit 208 was marked for identification by	
	7	the Court Reporter.)	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: Well, not to belabor the point,	
	9	you are asking the witness that question not not	
	10	SightSound that question.	10:57:34
	11	MR. BATCHELDER: I'm asking the witness that	
	12	question. He's the one being deposed.	
	13	MR. DiBOISE: Correct.	
	14	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
	15	Exhibit 208, a document bearing the Bates-stamped	10:58:04
	16	ALLEN 1062 through 1067.	
	17	Q Have you seen this document before?	
	18	A Yes.	
	19	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
	20	A Allen & Company projections.	10:58:39
	21	Q Were these projections done at SightSound's	
	22	behest?	
	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q For what purpose?	
	25	A Allen & Company was our investment banker at	10:59:02

	1	the time.	
	2	Q Understanding that Allen & Company was your	
	3	investment banker, for what purpose did SightSound ask	
	4	Allen & Company to generate Exhibit 208?	10 50 00
	5	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)	10:59:20
	6	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question	
	7	after all that?	
	8	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	9	Q What was for what purpose did SightSound ask	
	10	Allen & Company to generate Exhibit 208?	11:00:17
	11	A I don't know that we asked Allen & Company to	
_	12	generate it.	
000000	13	Q Do you have an understanding as to why it was	
	14	generated?	
	15	A Yes.	11:00:37
	16	Q What's your understanding?	
	17	A That Allen & Company wanted to represent us in	
	18	potential interactions with potential licensees,	
	19	partners, investors, purchasers.	
	20	Q And this was generated April 2004, in your	11:00:58
	21	understanding?	
	22	A It appears to be.	
	23	Q If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
	24	in 163 excuse me, 1063, you will see at the in the	
	25	upper left-hand corner it says, SightSound Technologies	11:01:31
į			1

		rage 255	•
1	e-commer	ce patents financial model.	
2		Do you see that?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	What was the financial model?	
5	А	Allen & Company's modeling and projections of	11:01:46
6	licensin	g revenue.	
7	Q	Did you review these projections at the time?	
8	А	I don't recall.	
9	Q	Were these projections ever sent to third	
10	parties?		11:02:08
11	А	I don't know.	
12	Q	Were any Allen & Company projections sent to	
13	third pa	arties?	
14	А	I don't know.	
15	Q	Have you ever analyzed the data on page 1063 to	11:02:18
16	determin	ne whether or not it comports with your	
17	understa	anding or opinions?	
18	А	Comports with my understandings or opinions?	
19	Q	Yeah.	
20	А	No.	11:02:49
21	Q	Looking again on page 1063, in the year 2011,	
22	Allen &	Company was projecting licensing revenue of	
23	\$161.8 m	million.	
24		Is that your read of this?	
25	А	Yes.	11:03:21

```
And what was SightSound's licensing revenue in
1
2
      20112
               The -- in 2000 -- I can't recall when the N2K
 3
      revenue -- I'm sorry, the Napster revenue was realized,
 4
                                                                  11:04:15
 5
      so I -- I can't recall whether that was 2011, '10, or
      '12.
 6
               Other than the Napster revenue referred to in
7
      your answer just now, was there any other licensing
8
      revenue that is a candidate for inclusion in 2011 or
                                                                   11:04:32
10
      '10?
11
           A
               No.
                2005, the entry is -- for licensing revenue is
12
      8.5 million.
13
14
                Do you see that?
                                                                   11:04:40
15
                Yes.
                And what was SightSound's licensing revenue in
16
17
      2005?
                Zero.
18
           Α
19
                In 2004, the estimate is 2.4 million.
                                                                   11:04:54
20
                Do you see that?
21
                Yes.
                And what was SightSound's licensing revenue in
22
      2004?
23
24
           Α
                Zero.
                                                                   11:05:19
25
                I'm sorry.
```

800-869-9132

	rage 301	1
1	Q Did you have a comment?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q What would you like to say?	
4	A And I can't recall the the timing of the	
5	BeMusic licensing revenue or Settlement Agreement. That	11:05:34
6	was around it might have preceded that.	
7	Q Might have preceded what date?	
8	A 2004. No, it doesn't appear to. So then I	
9	have no reason to doubt the that there was revenue in	
10	2004 of \$3.3 million.	11:06:12
11	Q Do you believe there was in 2004?	
12	A Yes.	
13	MR. BATCHELDER: Counsel, I'm going to hand you	
14	two copies of this because it's already been marked as	
15	Exhibit 36.	11:06:45
16	Q I've handed you a document that, as I said, has	
17	been marked as Exhibit 36, and it spans the Bates range	
18	SST-21917 through 21942.	
19	Have you seen this document before?	
20	A No.	11:07:20
21	Q Do you have an understanding well, strike	
22	that, please.	
23	If I could ask you to turn to the page ending	
24	in 919 it's the second page in it's titled	
25	"Summary and Conclusions."	11:07:46

		raye 302	
1		Do you see that?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	The first sentence reads: Management conducted	
4	evaluati	on to determine the fair market value of the	
5	company'	s assets as of December 31st, 2010.	11:07:57
6		Do you see that?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Is that accurate?	
9	А	I don't know.	
10	Q	Do you have any reason to doubt that SightSound	11:08:14
11	manageme	ent conducted evaluation to determine the fair	
12	market v	value of the company's assets as of	
13	Decembei	31st, 2010?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	What's your reason to doubt it?	11:08:26
16	А	I did not participate in it, and I would	
17	conside	r myself management.	
18	Q	Can you think of a reason why Alex LePore would	
19	have wr	itten that if it weren't true?	
20	А	Yes.	11:08:42
21	Q	What reason?	
22.	А	He would have assumed that it was his purview	
23	and resp	ponsibility and not solicited my participation.	
24	Q	So your take is that Alex LePore undertook this	
25	evaluat	ion?	11:09:09

г	Page 303	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q If I could ask you to turn to the bottom of	
3	that same page 919 within Exhibit 36, you will see	
4	there's a paragraph that begins with the word	
5	"Accordingly"?	11:09:29
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And it reads: Accordingly, based on the fact	
8	that DMT determined that the patent portfolio had a	
9	nominal value in 2005.	
10	Do you see that phrase?	11:09:45
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Is it accurate that DMT determined that the	
13	patent portfolio had a nominal value in November 2005?	
14	A I don't know.	
15	Q Is it your understanding that the patent	11:09:59
16	portfolio referenced there includes the patents-in-suit?	
17	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: Referenced in yes.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q So that phrase is: DMT determined that the	11:10:25
21	patent portfolio had a nominal value in November 2005,	
22	and the validity of the patents remains uncertain.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Had DMT determined that the validity of the	11:10:54

	9	
1	patents remains uncertain?	
2	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
4	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
5	Q Are you aware of any communications with DMT on	11:11:07
6	that subject?	
7	A No.	
8	Q That sentence goes on to say that is, the	
9	sentence on page 919 that we have been reading	
10	Management believes that as of the date of the merger,	11:11:23
11	that the fair market value of the company's assets is	
12	equal to its unadjusted book value of \$1,028.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Is that accurate?	11:11:42
16	A I don't know.	
17	Q Do you have any reason to doubţ it?	
18	A I don't know.	
19	Q You see in the paragraph above that, there's a	
20	reference to the SightSound/DMT agreement and the	11:12:02
21	50 percent participation that you referenced earlier.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q In the phrase that we were just reading, that	
25	is, the phrase that says, "the value of the company's	11:12:17
I		I .

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-869-9132

_			
	1	assets is equal to its unadjusted book value of \$1,028,"	
	2	is it your understanding that the phrase "the company's	
	3	assets" includes SightSound's interest in the	
	4	SightSound/DMT agreement?	11 10 50
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:12:52
	6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	7	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	8	Q Have you had any conversations with Alex LePore	
	9	about this valuation report?	
	10	A No.	11:13:11
	11	Q Have you had any communications with Alex	
	12	LePore regarding why he undertook this valuation?	
	13	A No.	
	14	Q Was it within his set of duties and	
	15	responsibilities to do so?	11:13:35
	16	A I don't know.	
	17	(Exhibit 209 was marked for identification by	
	18	the Court Reporter.)	
	19	THE REPORTER: 209.	
	20	MR. BATCHELDER: 209, you said? Thanks.	11:14:00
	21	I've had marked, as Exhibit 209, a document	
	22	with the Bates range SST-20567 through 20573 titled	
	23	"Settlement Agreement."	
	24	Q Do you recognize this document, sir?	
	25	A Yes.	11:14:36
	ı		1

Page 00307

1age 500	
O What do you recognize it to be?	
	11:14:49
	11:15:00
	11 15 06
	11:15:26
description of your role as as compared to the other	
team members?	
A Yes. My role was distinct from the other	
members of the team because I was also a defendant in a	
counterclaim personally.	11:15:53
Q So what you have told me is that you were a	
team member and you were a defendant.	
What I'm trying to get to is: What was your	
role in the negotiation; that is, what job functions did	
you pursue in the negotiation?	11:16:11
	A Yes. My role was distinct from the other members of the team because I was also a defendant in a counterclaim personally.  Q So what you have told me is that you were a team member and you were a defendant.  What I'm trying to get to is: What was your role in the negotiation; that is, what job functions did

		rage 307	
1	A	Developing settlement strategy.	
		Did you have a communication role with the	
2	Q	-	
3	other si		
4	A	Yes.	11:16:20
5	Q	Please describe that.	11:10:20
6	A	It was in mediation.	
7	Q	Outside of mediation, did you have any	
8	communic	ation role with the other side?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	Please describe that.	11:16:43
11	A	We went back and forth negotiating the price of	
12	the sett	lement.	
13		MR. DiBOISE: As part of the mediation or	
14	out	outside the mediation? And by "outside the	
15	mediatio	on," we're not mean we don't mean that it was	11:17:02
16	in the a	actual conference room. If the discussions	
17	continue	ed after we broke from the conference room,	
18	that's s	still within the mediation.	
19		THE WITNESS: Oh, well, then, it was a mediated	
20	process	that resulted in this agreement.	11:17:23
21		MR. DiBOISE: Counsel is asking you whether or	
22	not you	had any discussions with anybody representing	
23	the other	er side.	
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25		MR. DiBOISE: You understand that? And you	11:17:40
		•	
			_

-1		
1	did, but only in the mediation?	
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
3	MR. BATCHELDER: And my question was not just	
4	directed to communications with people representing the	
5	other side but actually with the other side.	11:17:52
6	Q Did you speak with the principals at of the	
7	defendant in connection with your negotiations outside	
8	the mediation?	
9	A No.	
10	Q If I could ask you to turn to paragraph 5	11:18:05
11	first of all, let me ask you: Did you feel like you	
12	understood the terms of Exhibit 209 when you signed it?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Could I ask you to turn to Provision 5, which	
15	is on page 569.	11:18:35
16	Are you there?	
17	A No. Yes.	
18	Q So that provision is titled "Covenant Not to	
19	Sue."	
20	MR. DiBOISE: Sorry. Did I misunderstand you?	11:18:55
21	Oh, okay. I thought you meant 6 of the agreement.	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. So we are looking	
23	at page 569 and Provision 5 titled "Covenant Not to	
24	Sue."	
25	Q Are you with me, Mr. Sander?	11:19:08
1		1

800-869-9132

	1490 000	
1	7	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q I want to share with you my high-level	
3	understanding of this provision and ask whether it	
4	comports with yours.	
5	At a high-level, it appears to provide to	11:19:25
6	Best Buy a covenant that it will not be sued under the	
7	patents-in-suit for past or future behavior.	
8	Does that comport with your understanding?	
9	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: I don't understand I don't	11:19:52
11	know. I mean your high-level no.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Paragraph 5 references the sale or offer for	
14	sale of digital audio content.	
15	Do you see that? It's like the fifth line	11:20:50
 16	down?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Is it your understanding that the covenant that	
19	is provided in paragraph 5 applies to Best Buy's future	
20	sale or offer for sale of digital audio content?	11:21:10
21	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
22	And, again, I would admonish you that to the	
23	extent you do have an understanding of any clause in	
24	this agreement that is a result of your discussions with	
25	counsel, please refrain from disclosing the content of	11:21:25
1		I

1	any of those discussions with counsel in answer to your	
2	question answer to this question.	
3	Do you understand the admonition?	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
5	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question?	11:21:41
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Then I will instruct you not to	
8	answer the question.	
9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
10	Q Are you going to follow that instruction,	11:21:48
11	Mr. Sander?	
12	A Yes.	
13	MR. BATCHELDER: Again, I think that's an	
14	improper instruction.	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Well, in what way?	11:21:56
16	MR. BATCHELDER: I'm asking the witness about	
17	his understanding.	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Right, and I've admonished him	
19	that if his understanding is based on his discussions	
20	with counsel, that he should not reveal that	11:22:05
21	understanding because it's based on discussions with	
22	counsel.	
23	If he can do so without revealing those	
24	discussions, he's free to do so, and he's indicated that	
25	he can't, so how how is that inappropriate? And I'm	11:22:20

1	just trying to see if we can get to a reasonable place	
2	here.	
3	MR. BATCHELDER: I understand. I don't mind	
4	your colloquy, but my belief is if I ask him a question	
5	about his understanding, he can tell me that. I'm not	11:22:34
6	asking him about the content of communications. If I	
7	had asked him, "What did your counsel tell you about the	
8	meeting?" that would be different.	
9	But if he has an understanding and he had that	
10	understanding when he signed the agreement, I think I'm	11:22:46
11	allowed to get that.	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Okay. And just so we are clear,	
13	the basis for the instruction is that if the	
14	understanding is based on discussions with counsel, I	
15	think that is a privileged understanding that he would	11:22:57
16	be revealing to you in giving you his understanding.	
17	So we are clear, and I instruct.	
18	MR. BATCHELDER: So we just disagree about	
19	that.	
20	Q The \$3,100,000 settlement payment referenced in	11:23:17
21	paragraph 2, what was that based on?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Again, you should be able to	
23	answer that question without and just be careful	
24	about revealing any attorney-client communications.	
25	THE WITNESS: It was based on Napster's	11:23:47

	1490 011	
1	revenue.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q What did you understand its revenue to be?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: You are asking for a number or	
5	his understanding of	11:24:05
6	MR. BATCHELDER: I'm asking for a	
7	MR. DiBOISE: where it resulted from?	
8	MR. BATCHELDER: a number.	
9	MR. DiBOISE: So we are in the same situation	
10	about the confidentiality that's delineated in	11:24:23
11	paragraph 8 of Exhibit 209, which seems to me to	
12	prohibit his ability to answer that question, and in	
13	order not to violate the terms of the Settlement	
14	Agreement, I'm going to instruct him not to answer the	
15	question.	11:24:53
16	I think there's got to be a way for us to get	
17	you this information.	
18	MR. BATCHELDER: Before we go further.	
19	Q Are you going to follow that instruction,	
20	Mr. Sander?	11:25:01
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
22	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. Let's talk	
23	offline, Jamie.	
24	MR. DiBOISE: Sure.	
25	MR. BATCHELDER: But I need to get through the	11:25:07

	1490 010	
1	deposition.	
2	Q The covenant not to sue in paragraph 5, were	
3	there communications between the parties in the	
4	negotiation about what it was worth?	
5	A Yes.	11:25:25
6	Q Please describe.	
7	MR. DiBOISE: I think it's fair to give him a	
8	high-level discu description of what was discussed,	
9	if you were present for it.	
10	THE WITNESS: The settlement was holistic in	11:26:01
11	that it represented Napster's requirement to pay us on	
12	their download revenue a quid pro quo of not suing while	
13	not allowing them to re-engage in subsequent infringing	
14	activity, and it was all wrapped up into one agreement.	
15	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:26:52
16	Q My question was about whether there were	
17	communications between the parties about the value of a	
18	specific provision, and that's Provision 5, and you said	
19	there were communications in that regard, and I asked	
20	you to describe those, and I'm not sure your answer was	11:27:09
21	aligned with my question.	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure your question said	
24	specific. Perhaps I misunderstood it.	
25	MR. BATCHELDER: I'll ask you	11:27:25
l		I

	2090 02 -	
1	THE WITNESS: I can't	
2	MR. BATCHELDER: I'll ask you that question	
3	now.	
4	Q Paragraph 5, the covenant not to sue that is	
5	set forth in Exhibit 209, were there communications	11:27:30
6	between the negotiating parties about the value of that	
7	provision?	
8	A No. I don't think that they were unbundled	
9	from the overall settlement.	
10	Q One answer ago you you mentioned that	11:27:53
11	part of what you that the Settlement Agreement	
12	accomplishes is not allowing them to engage in ongoing	
13	infringing activity.	
14	Did I hear that right?	
15	A No.	11:28:21
16	Q Where did I go wrong?	
17	A I said re-engage, I believe, or I meant to	
18	suggest re-start infringing activity, because Napster	
19	ceased download sales.	
20	Q All right. And your counsel has already given	11:28:46
21	you an instruction about Best Buy and what it was	
22	allowed to do going forward, and so I want to be careful	
23	to give him a chance to give you an instruction, but I	
24	want to be sure that we have as much of a conversation	
25	as we can.	11:29:03

		1
1	In your understanding of the agreement, did the	
2	covenant of paragraph 5 allow Best Buy to engage in	
3	activity that absent that covenant would be infringing?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:29:28
5	To the extent you have an understanding that	11.27.20
6	you arrived at independent of any discussions and advice	
7	of counsel, feel free to answer his question. If,	
8	however, your answer would require you to also disclose	
9	the advice and discussions with counsel that you had in	
10	arriving at your understanding necessary to answer his	11:29:49
11	question, I would admonish you not to reveal those	
12	discussions in answer to your ques in answer to	
13	counsel's question.	
14	Do you understand the admonition?	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11:30:01
16	MR. DiBOISE: Can you answer the question of	
17	your own understanding?	
18	THE WITNESS: No.	
19	MR. DiBOISE: Then I'll instruct you not to	
20	answer.	11:30:09
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?	
23	A Yes.	
24	MR. BATCHELDER: Okay. And, again, I disagree	
25	with the instruction.	11:30:15
E .		1

-			
	1	Q We talked yesterday about the fact that	
	2	SightSound, at least for some period or periods,	
	3	included within its offering the service of building for	
	4	others a system that would allow them to electronically	
	5	sell downloaded video or audio files.	11:30:43
	6	Are you with me?	
	7	A I'm with you.	
	8	Q Did any major studio ever approach SightSound	
	9	to ask if it would build them such a system?	
	10	A I don't recall.	11:31:02
	11	Q Did any company at all ever approach SightSound	
	12	to ask if SightSound would build them such a system?	
	13	A I don't know.	
	14	THE REPORTER: 210.	
	15	(Exhibit 210 marked for identification by the	11:32:01
	16	Court Reporter.)	
	17	MR. BATCHELDER: Where are we?	
	18	THE REPORTER: 210.	
	19	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
	20	Exhibit 210, a two-page document stamped SST-25454	11:32:13
	21	through 455.	
	22	Q Have you seen this document before?	
	23	A I don't recall it.	
	24	Q Would you please take a moment to read it over	
	25	and let me know when you are done.	11:32:38

800-869-9132

Page 00318

	rage 317	•
1	A I'm done.	
1		
2	Q Now that you have had a chance to read over it,	
3	do you recall seeing this before?	
4	A No.	
5	Q You are referenced in this article as having	11:33:17
6	spoken to the Daily Variety, and this is dated November	
7	2000.	
8	Do you have any reason to well, first of	
9	all, did you have a conversation with Daily Variety in	
10	November 2000?	11:33:37
11	A I can't recall.	
12	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q What's your reason?	
15	A As is standard practice, we had a lot of media	11:33:46
16	coverage of our company at that time, and so Jennifer	
17	Pesci, who was our communications director, would ask me	
18	for quotes or things like that, and they would be	
19	conveyed to somebody writing an article, not necessarily	
20	in conversation.	11:34:11
21	Q Did you sometimes have conversation with	
22	journalists in this time frame?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Given that fact, is it and given the fact	
25	that you are quoted in this article, does that suggest	11:34:32
1		1

800-869-9132

1	to you that you had a conversation with Daily Variety	
2	around November 2000?	
3	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
4	THE WITNESS: No.	
5	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:34:44
6	Q You think it's more likely that someone just	
7	made this up?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: I didn't say that.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:34:53
11	Q I'm asking you.	
12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know what's more likely.	
14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
15	Q The final paragraph on the cover page of	11:35:05
16	Exhibit 210 has two quotes from you. One is: We were	
17	approached by companies around the world and major	
18	studios in this country and asked if we would build them	
19	a similar system.	
20	Do you see that?	11:35:25
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Did you say that?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:35:32

.

800-869-9132

	Lage	
1	Q And the next quote is: We wanted to capitalize	
2	on that demand.	
3	Do you see that?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Did you say that?	11:35:39
6	A I don't recall.	
7	Q Do you have reason to doubt that you said	
8	either one of those things?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What's your reason to doubt it?	11:35:46
11	A The nuance of whether we approached or were	
12	approached.	
13	Q Would you explain your answer.	
14	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: We were approached by companies,	11:36:05
16	and we approached the major studios and record labels	
17	or, no, I stand corrected the major studios at this	
18	time frame.	
19	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
20	Q I'm sorry. I didn't understand your answer.	11:36:29
21	You seemed to correct yourself in the middle, and I'm	
22	not sure I follow. Would you explain.	
23	A I'm seeking to make the distinction for you	
24	that we were approached by some companies. We	
25	approached other companies, and this quote muddles the	11:36:40

	14gC 320	1
1	line between those two things.	
2	Q The final paragraph of this document also has a	
3	quote: While other people were spending their money on	
4	sock puppets, we were continuing to develop new	
5	products.	11:37:05
6	Do you see that quote?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Did you say that?	
9	A That I think I did say. I don't know if it was	
10	in this context, but I do remember that as a point of	11:37:18
11	contention at the time.	
12	Q What was the point of contention?	
13	A Companies were spending millions of dollars on	
14	Super Bowl ads for their brand, and they would use	
15	things like sock puppets and things like that, but we	11:37:35
16	thought there was a more sober and serious use for	
17	capital than goofy ads for a fledgling Internet	
18	companies.	
19	Q So given that you remember saying this about	
20	sock puppets, does that increase the likelihood, in your	11:37:53
21	mind, that you did, in fact, have a conversation with	
22	someone from the Daily Variety around November 2000?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: No.	
25	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:38:07

800-869-9132

	1490 011	
1	Q Why not?	
2	A As previously stated, it could have been	- - -
3	prepared quotes that went out. I don't remember.	
4	Q Are you saying that the all the quotes that	
5	appear in this document may have resulted from prepared	11:38:26
6	quotes as opposed to you having a live conversation with	
7	someone?	
8	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:38:33
11	Q The final sentence in document 210 is: This is	
12	an expansion to recognize the interest in those	
13	innovations.	
14	Do you see that?	
15	A Yes.	11:38:50
16	Q And did you say that?	
17	A I don't recall.	
18	Q In connection with the statements in	
19	Exhibit 2010 that are attributed excuse me.	
20	In connection with the statements in	11:39:08
21	Exhibit 210 that are attributed to you, is it your	
22	belief either that you said them in a live conversation	
23	or that they were prepared written quotes that were	
24	submitted to the media?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:39:20

		1
1	THE WITNESS: I may have been misquoted.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q But you are just not sure as you sit here?	
4	A I'm not.	
5	(Exhibit 211 was marked for identification by	11:39:55
6	the Court Reporter.)	
7	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
8	Exhibit 211, document SST-25429 through 25430.	
9	Q Have you seen this document before?	
10	A I don't recall.	11:40:22
11	Q Would you give it a quick read and let me know	
12	when you are done.	
13	A Yes, I'm done.	
14	Q Did you have a conversation with someone from	
15	the Pittsburgh Business Times around December 2000?	11:41:26
16	A I don't recall it.	
17	Q Does this article reflect some interview that	
18	you participated in?	
19	A My my prior answer about it could have been	
20	an interview or Jen Pesci could have provided a	11:41:51
21	structured series of quotes. It was quite typical for	
22	her to be the buffer and interact with the media. That	
23	was her full-time job at SightSound.	
24	Q The quotes that are attributed to you in this	
25	document, do you believe that either you said them or	11:42:11

1	that they were provided by SightSound's PR department to	
2	the media?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q In the middle of the first page, it says you	
5	are quoted as saying, We are repositioning the company	11:42:32
6	because of things we have learned from the movie	
7	studios.	l
8	Do you see that?	İ
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What things were you referring to?	11:42:38
11	A Generally, that they were still at that early	
12	stage reluctant to have the copyright released to a	
13	third party.	
14	Q It's possible I asked you this already, and if	
15	I did, I apologize, but the strategy of including this	11:43:11
16	in SightSound's offerings, how long did that last as a	
17	strategy after December 2000?	
18	A Not long, I don't believe.	
19	Q On the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 211,	
20	it says, Mr. Sander said the decision not to go public	11:43:44
21	has not proven to be a drawback.	
22	Did you say that?	
23	A I don't know.	
24	Q Was it true?	
25	A I think so.	11:43:56

_			
	1	(Exhibit 212 was marked for identification by	
	2	the Court Reporter.)	
	3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
	4	THE REPORTER: 212.	
	5	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	11:44:52
	6	Exhibit 212, a document stamped SST-17135 through 17181.	
	7	Q Have you seen this document before?	
	8	A I don't specifically remember it. I don't	
	9	know.	
	10	Q Do you have any reason to doubt that this	11:45:19
	11	prospectus was distributed to third parties?	
	12	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	14	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	15	Q What's your reason?	11:45:41
	16	A As I sit here today, it appears that this is a	
	17	draft document. It's not complete, so that would give	
	18 .	me reason to believe that it was a draft. May or may	
	19	not have ever been utilized.	
	20	Q If I could ask you to turn to, within	11:45:59
	21	Exhibit 212, page 7175 titled "Competition," did you	
	22	have any hand in writing this paragraph under the	
	23	heading "Competition"?	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	11:46:35

Page 325

	rage 323	1
1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q Do you know who was involved in writing this	
3	paragraph?	
4	A As I sit here today, I don't I don't recall	
5	this document.	11:46:48
6	Q There's a sentence in this paragraph, starting	
7	four lines down, begins with the word "Additionally."	
8	Do you see that?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q So it begins with the word "Additionally," and	11:47:19
11	it ends: At some time and in some manner.	
12	Are you looking at the same sentence?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Okay. Have you had a chance to read that	
15	sentence?	11:47:34
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Was that accurate as of July 2000?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
20	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	11:47:50
21	Q What are you unsure about?	
22	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I can't I can't go back and	
24	remember all of the companies that had said they were	
25	going to the sentence is about announcing. I don't	11:48:03

1	know what other companies' announcements were in total.	
2	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
3	Q Let me ask you to assume that the sentence is	
4	accurate in describing the listed companies as having	
5	entered into or announced plans to enter the	11:48:22
6	entertainment e-commerce business as of this time, July	
7	2000.	
8	Are you with me?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q If that were the case; that is, if all of these	11:48:32
11	entities had either already entered into or announced	
12	plans to enter the entertainment e-commerce business by	
13	July 2000, why has Apple's iTunes Store been more	
14	successful than the offerings of any of these other	
15	competitors in the entertainment e-commerce space?	11:48:57
16	A Timing.	
17	Q Any other reason?	
18	A I don't believe so.	
19	MR. BATCHELDER: I've just been passed a note	
20	that we can expect lunch around 12:30.	11:49:28
21	(Exhibit 213 was marked for identification by	
22	the Court Reporter.)	
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
24	MR. BATCHELDER: 212?	
25	MR. DiBOISE: '13.	
L		

1	MR. BATCHELDER: So bad at that.	
2		
3		
4		11:50:30
5		11.30.30
6	Q Would you give it a quick read-through and let	
7	me know when you are done, please.	
8	A Sure. I've read it.	
9	Q Thank you.	
10	Looking on page 636 in the third paragraph,	11:51:49
11	there's a quote attributed to you where you say the	
12	music business had become your personal Vietnam.	
13	Did you say that?	
14	A I don't specifically recall saying that, but if	
15	I did, I regret it.	11:52:06
16	Q Do you have a reason to doubt that you said it?	
17	A No.	
18	Q In the paragraph on the bottom of the first	
19	page, you see the paragraph beginning with the word	
20	Despite"?	11:52:27
21	A Oh, the first page. I'm sorry. Yeah. Yes.	
22	Q Would you read that paragraph to yourself and	
23	let me know when you are done, please.	
24	A I already did.	
25	Q Okay. Do you believe that that paragraph was	11:52:44
1		1

	1	accurate as of November 2000?	
	2	A Yes.	
	3	(Exhibit 214 was marked for identification by	
	4	the Court Reporter.)	
	5	MR. DiBOISE: Can I jump for 90 seconds? Can I	11:53:18
	6	take a break?	
	7	MR. BATCHELDER: You want to take a break?	
	8	MR. DiBOISE: Yes, please.	
	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:52.	
	10	(Recess taken.)	11:53:27
	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:55.	
	12	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
	13	Exhibit 214, document STI-13184 through 13195.	
	14	Q Do you recognize this document?	
	15	A Yes.	11:56:54
	16	Q What do you recognize it to be?	
	17	A Appears to be a draft of a letter from me to	
	18	Silvio Mehta (phonetic).	
	19	Q Did you ever send the letter?	
	20	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	11:57:29
	21	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
***************************************	22	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	23	Q The letter refers to an upfront payment of	
	24	\$8,160,000.	
	25	Do you see that?	11:57:47

	rage 323	, _
1	A Yes.	
2	Q That's not a particularly round number.	
3	Do you remember how you came to that number?	
4	A No.	
5	Q Do you have any information or understanding as	11:57:56
6	to what the basis was for it?	
7	A I don't remember it.	
8	Q Did you ever convey to Disney any offer using a	
9	number in that ballpark?	
10	A Yeah, I don't I don't I don't remember.	11:58:22
11	I remember the time frame and the interactions with	
12	Disney, but I don't remember the financial details.	
13	Q Did SightSound ever convey an offer to Disney?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q In the fall 2000 time frame?	11:58:47
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Did you prepare this draft that is Exhibit 214?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Who did?	
20	A Art.	11:59:03
21	Q The the terms that are set forth in your	
22	draft letter, are those terms that you were comfortable	
23	with?	
24	A I don't recall.	
25	Q There's a reference to Disney-controlled	11:59:25
1		1

	Tage 330	
1	subsidiaries in the middle of that paragraph.	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Who who would that have included?	
5	MR. DiBOISE: You are asking his understanding;	11:59:48
6	right?	
7	MR. BATCHELDER: Yes.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't know in in its	
9	entirety. Specifically, at that time, we were already	
10	interacting with Miramax, which is a wholly owned	11:59:57
11	subsidiary to the Walt Disney Company.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q So it would have included Miramax?	
14	A I believe so.	
15	Q In your understanding, would it have included	12:00:04
16	any other Disney subsidiary?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Who else?	
19	A I don't know. I mean, to the extent they	
20	existed, it was meant to distinguish from non-Disney or	12:00:18
21	Disney-affiliated copyright.	
22	Q My question is: Other than Miramax, do you	
23	have in mind, as you sit here, any other subsidiaries	
24	that would have been covered?	
25	A In 2000? No.	12:00:32

		l
1	Q Have there been unexpected results associated	
2	with what SightSound has described in this litigation as	
3	being Mr. Hair's invention?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.	12:00:58
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q I'm referring to well, you are aware that	
8	SightSound is takes the position in this litigation	
9	that Mr. Hair has invented something, and that thing has	
10	become the subject of the patents-in-suit; correct?	12:01:29
11	A Yes.	
12	Q So the question is focusing on what	
13	SightSound is describing as Mr. Hair's invention, the	
14	question is: Have there been unexpected results	
15	associated with that alleged invention?	12:01:42
16	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q What is confusing to you?	
20	A Unexpected results.	12:01:57
21	Q Are you uncomfortable with either one of those	
22	words?	
23	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I'm not uncomfortable. I don't	
25	understand the question.	12:02:14

1	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
2	Q In connection with what SightSound alleges to	
3	be Mr. Hair's invention, have there been results that	
4	would have been unpredictable before Mr. Hair went to	
5	the party that you described to me yesterday where he	12:02:36
6	came up with this idea?	
7	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
8	THE WITNESS: I still don't understand the	
9	question.	
10	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	12:02:51
11	Q What's confusing?	
12	A Pretty much the whole thing. I'm sorry. It's	
13	con it's confusing.	
14	Q The idea that Mr. Hair came up with at the	
15	party, did it involve something more than the	12:03:19
16	predictable use of prior art elements according to their	
17	established functions?	
18	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
19	THE WITNESS: I still don't understand. I	
20	don't understand the question.	12:04:04
21	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
22	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, did others	
23	try but fail to provide over telecommunication lines	
24	digital audio signals or digital video signals in	
25	exchange for money?	12:04:25

	rage 333	
1	A I don't know.	
2	Q Before Mr. Hair went to his party, did others	
3	try but fail to engage in entertainment e-commerce?	
4	MR. DiBOISE: Object. Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	12:04:49
6	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
7	Q Have there been entities that, since 1993, have	
8	practiced Mr. Hair's invention but done so in a way that	
9	was not commercially successful?	
10	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	12:05:05
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
12	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
13	Q Is it fair to say that SightSound's practicing	
14	of Mr. Hair's invention did not yield any commercial	
15	profit?	12:05:32
16	A No.	
17	Q Why not?	
18	A We had patent license settlements, and our	
19	actions were always in exposition of and in defense of	
20	our patent rights.	12:05:59
21	Q You have described for me a system that was in	
22	place in 1995 and then another system that was in place	
23	between 1998 and 2002.	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Is it your understanding that those systems	12:06:17

1	practiced Mr. Hair's invention?	
2	A I'm I'm not the patent lawyers.	
3	Q Did either of those systems generate a net	
4	profit?	
5	A From activities related directly to those	12:06:38
6	systems, no.	
7	Q Does SightSound contend that its 1995 system	
8	was a commercial success?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What's the basis for that?	12:07:02
11	A Proof of concept for the patents.	
12	•	
13	MR. DiBOISE: Objection.  THE WITNESS: We had the patent rights. We	
14	THE MILLIAGO WE WANT THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	12:07:29
15	also wanted to operate a business in electronic commerce	12.07.23
16	of audio and video recordings to prove to show the	
17	world.	
18	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
19	Q To show the world that it would be possible to	
20	build a system that practiced Mr. Hair's invention?	12:07:45
21	A To show the world the benefits of Mr. Hair's	
22	invention.	
23	Q I would like to ask you to turn back to the	
24	Rule 30(B)(6) notice that we looked at yesterday. This	
25	was Exhibit 177. And if you would turn to Schedule A	12:08:17

,				
	1	under To	pic 8, Topic 8 is the factual bases for any and	
			ondary considerations or objective indicia of	
	2			
	3	nonobvio	ousness that you intend to rely on in the action.	
	4		Do you see that?	
	5	А	Yes.	12:08:54
	6	Q	What are the reference to factual bases?	
	7	А	It's my understanding that there are there	
	8	are cons	siderations such as pent-up demand, widespread	
	9	acceptan	nce of the invention, acknowledgment and awards	
	10	and reco	ognition, things of that nature.	12:09:39
	11	Q	Anything else?	
	12	А	Not that I can recall right now.	
	13	Q	Let's step through those in turn.	
	14		Pent-up demand, what factual bases are you	
	15	referrin	ng to that fall within that category?	12:10:08
	16	А	Rampant piracy on the Internet.	
	17	Q	As of what time frame?	
	18	А	After we practiced the invention, after we had	
	19	our demo	onstration system in '95 and '98.	
	20	Q	Was there pent-up demand before Mr. Hair went	12:10:42
	21	to his p	party?	
	22		MR. DiBOISE: Objection.	
	23		THE WITNESS: Yes, I think so. The ability to	
	24	download	ded had so many valuable had so many credible	
	25	advantaç	ges over physical distribution that it was just a	12:11:15

	1	matter of revelation to people, and then and then	
	2	they realized, well, this is just a way better way.	
	3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	
	4	Q What facts do you point to in support of the	
	5	contention that before Mr. Hair went to his party, there	12:11:37
	6	was a pent-up demand for his invention?	
	7	A I don't know specifically, as I sit here today,	
	8	the I don't know.	
	9	Q The second category you mentioned was	
	10	acceptance by the industry.	12:12:07
	11	Did I get that right?	
	12	A No. I meant the consumer.	
	13	Q Acceptance by consumers?	
	14	A Yeah.	
	15	Q Okay. And what are the facts that SightSound	12:12:17
	16	relies upon in connection with that category?	
	17	A Similarly, the the enumerable points of	
	18	of indication that there was rampant piracy because	
	19	people recognized the value of this new, useful way of	
	20	doing it, this better way of doing it.	12:12:43
	21	Q This rampant piracy that you are referring to,	
	22	what time frame do you associate with it?	
-	23	A I associate it with it from 1998 till today.	
	24	Q Are there any other facts that SightSound	
	25	relies upon in connection with the category acceptance	12:13:14
ı			)

1	by consumers that you referenced?	
2	A Not that I can think of as I sit here today.	
3	Q The third category that you mentioned was	
4	acknowledgment and awards.	
5	What facts does SightSound rely upon in	12:13:34
6	connection with that category?	
7	A We had tremendous media coverage of our various	
8	demonstrations and innovations, and so there's	
9	there's a a full body of of positive media	
10	coverage of SightSound's historic firsts.	12:14:01
11	Q Any other facts?	
12	A Not that I can think of right now.	
13	Q Has SightSound won any industry awards?	
14	A It was such a nascent industry that awards,	
15	per se well, for example, we were recognized as by	12:14:26
16	Yahoo! Internet Life in the year 2000 as as a big	
17	spread on most impressive or the most interesting sights	
18	for the year 2000, and we were the first one mentioned	
19	in that litany.	
20	Q Was that an award?	12:14:57
21	A Not like an Academy Award. It was a	
22	recognition, I guess you would call it.	
23	Q How many others were included?	
24	A Were included?	
25	Q In that Yahoo! Internet Life.	12:15:13

	1	A Oh, how many others? I don't know. I can't	
	1		
	2	remember. I just know we were first the first one	
	3	referenced.	
	4	Q Are there any other facts in connection with	
	5	acknowledgment and awards that SightSound relies upon in	12:15:26
	6	connection with that category?	
	7	A As I said, numerous recognition and awareness	
	8	and positive media coverage of our successes during that	
	9	time. But as I sit here today, I can't I can't think	
	10	of the inventory of it.	12:15:49
	11	Q Aside from positive media coverage and aside	
	12	from the Yahoo! Internet Life entry, are there any other	
	13	acknowledgment and awards that SightSound relies upon in	
	14	connection with that category in support of or in	
	15	connection with Topic 8 of Exhibit 177?	12:16:10
	16	A Yeah, I can't think of I can't think of it.	
	17	Q So we talked about the three categories you	
	18	referenced: pent-up demand, acceptance by consumers,	
	19	and acknowledgment and awards.	
	20	Are there any other categories of information	12:16:28
	21	that SightSound relies upon as factual bases in	
	22	connection with Topic 8 of Exhibit 177?	
	23	A Not that I can recall as I sit here today.	
	24	MR. DiBOISE: Thank you.	
	25	MR. BATCHELDER: What number are we on?	
1			I

1	THE REPORTER: 215.	
2	MR. BATCHELDER: Thanks.	
3	(Exhibit 215 was marked for identification by	
4	the Court Reporter.)	
5	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	12:17:14
6	Exhibit 215, Bates No. APPLE 48879 through 81.	
7	Q Have you seen this document before?	
8	A I don't recall it. I don't remember it.	
9	Q If you could just, again, take a minute to read	
10	through it.	12:17:39
11	A Sure. I've read it.	
12	Q The quotes that are attributed to you in	
13	Exhibit 215, do you believe that you either provided	
14	those quotes in an interview or that they were provided	
15	on your behalf from SightSound to the media?	12:19:14
16	A I yes.	
17	Q If I could ask you to look at in the bottom	
18	of the first page, second-to-last paragraph, there's a	
19	quote. It says, They will be able to do as they please	
20	with the promotional material. Quote: They could	12:19:41
21	create the word's most kick-ass Fay Masterson site, end	
22	quote.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q What did you mean by that?	12:19:52

1	A I meant that they could take all of the	
2	material associated with the the that particular	
3	actress in the movie and make a fan site, because all of	
4	the materials they would have access to.	
5	Q So Fay Masterson was an actor in a movie?	12:20:06
6	A She was.	
7	Q And in the paragraph above, it says, Viewers	
8	will pay to download the movie. How much, Sander won't	
9	say. They are free to pass it on to their friends, but	
10	the money's encrypted digital format or, excuse me,	12:20:22
11	the movie's encrypted digital format will require	
12	successive viewers to pay too.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q What were you referring to there about they are	12:20:31
16	free to pass it on to their friends?	
17	A I believe this was the writer.	
18	Q I see.	
19	So you don't think you that information came	
20	from you?	12:20:55
21	A I'm sorry. Not to put too fine a point on it,	
22	I thought we were talking about quotes from me.	
23	Q This information well, I'll just read to you	
24	the sentence: They are free to pass it on to their	
25	friends, but the movie's encrypted digital format will	12:21:10

1	require successive viewers to pay too.	
2	A Yeah.	
3	Q Did you provide that information to the	
4	reporter?	
5	A I don't remember.	12:21:19
6	Q Was it accurate in 2000 was it an accurate description of SightSound's system that the encryption	
7		
8	program allowed copies to be distributed, but just	
9	didn't allow playback by those other systems?	12:21:45
10	A No.	12.21.40
11	Q Okay. Can you explain what was inaccurate	
12	about what I said?	
13	A It was it was confusing. Do you want	
14	Q Is my you're saying my question was	
15	confusing?	12:22:26
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Let me just start over, then.	
18	A Yeah.	
19	Q For SightSound's distribution system in the	
20	year 2000 when a consumer downloaded content, did the	12:22:37
21	SightSound system prevent that content from being	
22	copied, or did it allow that content to be copied but	
23	just prevent the content from being played back on a	
24	machine other than that that did the downloading?	
25	A You're close. Gen yes, generally. At a	12:23:02
1		

1	high-level, I think you're the answer to your	
2	question is yes.	
3	Q My question was actually a choice, and I want	
4	to make sure I'm understanding you.	
5	A Oh, I'm sorry. I thought it was a statement.	12:23:22
6	Q Let me start over again.	
7	My question is: For SightSound's distribution	
8	system that existed in March 2000, was it the case that	
9	it didn't prevent the copying of a file that was	
10	downloaded, but, rather, it prevented a copied version	12:23:49
11	to be played back from another machine?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Okay.	
14	(Exhibit 216 was marked for identification by	
15	the Court Reporter.)	12:24:32
16	MR. DiBOISE: Thank you.	
17	MR. BATCHELDER: I've had marked, as	
18	Exhibit 216, document stamped APPLE 48882 through 83	
19	excuse me, through 84.	
20	Q Would you also just take a minute to read this	12:24:55
21	one over.	
22	A I've read it.	
23	Q The second paragraph of Exhibit 216 has a quote	
24	that it attributes to you, and is that a quote that you	
25	provided to someone in a conversation?	12:26:32

-	n T doubt magall	
1	A I don't recall.	
2	Q Is it your understanding that that quote was	
3	either provided in a conversation or provided to the	
4	media through SightSound's public relations department?	10.00.50
5	A Yes.	12:26:52
6	MR. BATCHELDER: All right. Mr. Sander, I have	
7	no further questions for you at this time.	
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
9	MR. BATCHELDER: I need to keep the record open	
10	so that Apple reserves the right to secure information	12:27:05
11	to questions you couldn't answer within the scope of	
12	your 30(b)(6) topics and also in connection with	
13	disputes that counsel and I had about instructions not	
14	to answer.	
15	MR. DiBOISE: Thank you.	12:27:24
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Disc 2,	
18	Volume 2 of Scott Sander.	
19	Off the record at 12:26.	
20	(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at	12:27:37
21	12:26 p.m.)	
22	00	
23		
24		
25		
		J

1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
2	foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at
3	, California, this day of
4	, 2013.
5	
6	
7	Signature of the witness
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, RACHEL FERRIER, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
5	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
6	within-entitled cause;
7	That said deposition was taken down in
8	shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and
9	place therein stated, and that the testimony was
10	thereafter reduced to typewriting by computer under my
11	direction and supervision and is a true record of the
12	testimony given by the witness;
13	That before completion of the deposition,
14	review of the transcript [X] was [ ] was not requested.
15	If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
16	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are
17	appended hereto.
18	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
19	attorney for either or any of the parties to the said
20	deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of
21	this cause, and that I am not related to any of the
22	parties thereto.
23	DATED: January 3rd, 2013
24	
25	RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948
	•

## Merrill Legal Solutions



## INSTRUCTIONS FOR READING/CORRECTING YOUR DEPOSITION

To assist you in making changes and /or corrections to your deposition testimony, please follow the directions below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish them and attach the pages to the back of the errata sheet.

Please read your transcript carefully. If you find any errors or changes you wish to make, insert the changes and/or corrections on the errata sheet by listing the page and the line number reference and then the change you wish to make.

Please do not make any changes and /or corrections on the face of the transcript.

Please do NOT change any of the questions.

After completing your review, please sign the last page of the errata sheet, above the designated "Signature" line and return the Errata sheets to Merrill Legal Solutions at 135 Main Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 or fax them to (415) 357.4301.

## **ERRATA SHEET**

Page	Line	
		Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
·····	***************************************	Change:
		Reason:

## 2001-448408 – DEPOSITION OF SCOTT SANDER – VOL. 2 – DECEMBER 19, 2012

Page	Line	
		Change:
		Reason:
	-	Change:
		Reason:
	***************************************	Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
		Change:
		Reason:
		Neusoni
	Subject to the ab	ove changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct.
	No changes have	been made. I certify that the transcript is true and correct.
	•	, ,
(signat	ure)	(date)
		(uuic)

	- 40 -	200 24 24 1 10	200 0 210 1 2 7 0
A	actress 340:3	309:24 311:10	300:8 310:1,2,5,8
ability 228:25 312:12	actual 262:12 271:6	312:14 313:14	311:23 312:12,14
335:23	307:16	314:11 315:1	313:20 314:10 315:7
able 233:4 296:11	additional 244:22	agreements 229:14	315:8,10,12,12,16,20
311:22 339:19	253:10	230:11 234:24	319:13,20 322:19
absent 315:3	Additionally 325:7,10	243:18 268:8,13	334:12 342:1 343:11
Academy 337:21	addresses 280:18	akin 259:24	343:14
acceptance 335:9	admonish 289:14	Alex 220:8 224:10	answered 227:10
336:10,13,25 338:18	290:1 295:10 309:22	302:18,24 305:8,11	271:4
access 241:20 242:2	315:11	aligned 313:21	answering 288:9
340:4	admonished 310:18	alleged 331:15	anticipation 249:15
accomplishes 314:12	admonition 235:17	alleges 332:2	275:15
accuracy 278:18 286:1	267:23 290:4 293:9	Allen 220:14,15	anybody 307:22
accurate 226:5,15	295:12 310:3 315:14	297:16,20,25 298:2,4	Apologies 236:7
228:9,19 229:4,18	adoption 249:15	298:10,11,17 299:5	apologize 288:8
231:4 232:11,18	ads 320:14,17	299:12,22	323:15
233:9,20 234:13	advantage 283:20	allow 315:2 316:4	appear 244:4 246:12
235:4,6 236:24 238:4	284:2,6,9,13,16,20	341:9,22	301:8 321:5
239:7 240:8 241:3,25	284:23	allowed 311:11 314:22	APPEARANCES
242:19 243:2,10,15	advantages 282:24	341:8 345:16	222:11
243:24 244:10 245:1	283:11,15 335:25	allowing 313:13	appeared 222:7
245:7,15,23 246:7,16	adversarial 291:20	314:12	appears 278:11,16
247:1,11,23 248:6,16	adversaries 292:5,7	alteration 227:21	289:3 298:22 309:5
249:4 250:24 251:20	advice 235:14,15	altered 227:18,19	324:16 328:17
252:6,25 253:13	247:7 315:6,9	Alternatively 231:9	appended 345:17
257:10 258:12	affirmative 272:13	Alto 222:5,19 223:1	<b>Apple</b> 219:8 221:5,6
259:11,19 268:16,20	afraid 232:1	amount 262:5,9	223:9,15 339:6
270:13,25 279:17,23	aggressively 279:13	analogy 260:3	342:18 343:10
281:15 285:19 286:5	ago 314:10	analysis 224:22	<b>Apple's</b> 238:9 326:13
302:8 303:12 304:15	agree 230:6 283:19	253:10	application 266:14,22
325:17 326:4 328:1	284:1,8,15,22 292:9	analyst 253:8	266:25 267:12
341:6,6	agreed 234:6 248:12	analysts 253:9	applications 235:1
accused 293:23	Agreeing 255:14	analyzed 299:15	236:3,11
achieved 295:10	agreement 220:12,16	announced 326:5,11	<b>applies</b> 309:19
acknowledgment	228:24 233:17	announcements 326:1	<b>apply</b> 234:8
335:9 337:4 338:5,13	260:17 261:1,9,13,20	announcing 325:25	approach 248:2
338:19	261:25 263:5,13	annual 287:1,4	276:24 316:8,11
acquire 257:7	264:7,24 265:19,24	answer 235:16,19	approached 230:23
action 222:10 335:3	269:8,13 275:5,9,17	242:8 250:6 251:8	273:16 318:17
actions 333:19	275:23 287:15,24	267:22,25 268:2	319:11,12,15,16,24
activities 225:10 228:4	288:25 292:15 293:4	271:15 281:19 282:5	319:25
237:21 272:5 334:5	293:22 294:17,21	289:15,25 290:6,8	appropriate 296:22
activity 313:14 314:13	296:13 301:5 304:20	291:9 293:6,8,11,13	<b>April</b> 224:12,21
314:18 315:3	305:4,23 306:2,7	294:3,18,22 295:8,11	237:24 240:5,10
actor 340:5	307:20 308:21	295:14,16 296:24	242:4 244:23 259:2
	1	•	-

278:10 279:24	311:24	278:23 279:2	277:17 278:3,14,21
281:22 285:1 298:20	attorney-client-priv	banks 248:9,9,10,20	279:22 280:2,10,17
arc 271:23	235:25 236:9	248:24,25 249:20,23	281:18 282:4,9,14,19
Arnold 222:13 223:16	attributed 321:19,21	250:6	283:23 284:5,12,19
Aronofsky 240:15	322:24 327:11	based 227:18 235:13	286:21 287:9 288:15
arrangement 262:20	339:12	253:10 255:24	289:22 290:11,19
arrived 262:9 315:6	attributes 342:24	256:14 282:2 303:7	291:11,18 292:1,11
arriving 315:10	audio 270:9 281:25	310:19,21 311:14,21	293:14,17 294:5,24
art 329:20 332:16	282:8,11 285:16	311:25	295:2,19,22 296:6,10
Arthur 239:13,13	309:14,20 316:5	bases 335:1,6,14	296:15,25 297:11,14
article 220:18,21,24	332:24 334:16	338:21	298:8 301:13 303:19
221:4,6 317:5,19,25	audio/video 266:8	basically 238:21	304:4 305:7,20 308:3
322:17	August 242:22 252:21	basis 235:21 267:13	308:22 309:12 310:9
artists 229:13,15	280:19,22	284:14 289:23 290:9	310:13,16 311:3,18
230:11,12 233:6	available 238:19	290:15 291:16 293:3	312:2,6,8,18,22,25
230:11,12 233:6 aside 338:11,11	240:24 241:11,21	290:13 291:16 293:3	312.2,0,8,18,22,23
aside 338:11,11 asked 290:25 291:9,10	240:24 241:11,21 246:11,12 285:2	294:23 295:3,17	315:21,24 316:17,19
asked 290:25 291:9,10 292:20 298:11 311:7	246:11,12 285:2	334:10	318:5,10,14,25
292:20 298:11 311:7 313:19 318:18	293:5 Ave 222:18	334:10 Batchelder 220:4	318:5,10,14,23
313:19 318:18 323:14	Ave 222:18 Avenue 222:4	222:18 223:14,14	319:19 320:23
	Avenue 222:4 award 337:20,21	222:18 223:14,14 224:2,8,19,25 225:6	321:10 322:2,7 324:3
asking 288:16 289:18		224:2,8,19,25 225:6 225:24 226:3,8,18,24	324:14 325:1,20 326:2,19,24 327:1
292:10 297:9,11	awards 335:9 337:4	225:24 226:3,8,18,24 227:3,12,20 228:1,12	326:2,19,24 327:1 328:7,12,22 330:7,12
307:21 310:16 311:6	337:13,14 338:5,13		328:7,12,22 330:7,12 331:6,18 332:1,10,21
312:4,6 318:11 330:5	338:19	228:22 229:7,21	1
assert 288:8	award-winning	230:9 232:8,14,21	333:6,12 334:18
Asset 260:17 261:1,9	240:16	234:1,5,16 235:23	336:3 338:25 339:2,5
261:13,19 264:6	aware 269:5 272:16,17	236:7,15 237:11,17	342:17 343:6,9 Rates 220:0 11 13 15
assets 274:13,18 302:5	273:21 304:5 331:7	238:7,12 239:2,5,10	Bates 220:9,11,13,15
302:12 304:11 305:1	awareness 338:7	240:1 241:17 242:10	220:17,19,21,23,24
305:3	a.m 222:3 223:3,11	247:14,22 248:19	221:3,5,6 224:9 260:14 263:5 265:21
associate 336:22,23	A/V 266:3,6	249:7 250:5,10 251:7	
associated 272:21	В	251:23 252:9,15	268:7 269:19 277:18
280:24 331:1,15	back 264:21 307:11	254:16 255:15,19	287:10 301:17
340:2	back 264:21 307:11 325:23 334:23	256:6,13,19 257:18	305:22 339:6
assume 326:3	325:23 334:23 341:23 342:11	257:24 258:3,16	Bates-stamped 262:1
assumed 262:25	1	259:3,22 260:7,12,22	297:15
302:22	back-and-forth 249:10	261:2 263:17,20	bear 261:16
attempted 226:10	249:10 bad 327:1	264:2,11,18 265:3	bearing 297:15
229:13	ballpark 234:2 254:12	266:24 267:3,7 268:4	began 253:6
attorney 222:13,18	329:9	268:19 269:7,11,16	beginning 239:3
345:19	band 243:16	270:1,6,16,24 271:9	240:20 242:12
attorneys 273:22	bank 250:9,11 253:6	271:17 272:20	252:17 253:3 281:5
attorney-client 235:22	banker 297:25 298:3	273:15,20 274:2,11	327:19 bogins 223:7 225:12
289:13 290:9,25	banker 297:25 298:3 bankers 249:11	274:16,21 275:19	begins 223:7 225:12
291:17,19 295:17	Vannot 3 477.11	276:1,6,11,22 277:9	228:4 229:12,22
	<u> </u>		

www.merrillcorp.com/law

•

230:23 232:23 233:2	board 261:22	candidates 246:3	claims 234:8
234:18 236:16	<b>body</b> 337:9	capabilities 285:16	clarification 271:19
238:14 243:20 246:1	book 304:12 305:1	capital 242:16 244:22	clarify 272:9
248:1 250:18 251:24	<b>bottom</b> 229:11 230:22	244:23 248:3 249:12	clause 309:23
253:16 256:21 259:5	234:17 247:25 253:2	320:17	clear 225:17 226:4
259:14 263:6 282:22	303:2 323:19 327:18	capitalization 255:25	227:14 228:14 244:5
282:22 303:4 325:7	339:17	capitalize 319:1	291:11 292:12
325:10	Bowl 320:14	careful 296:3 311:23	311:12,17
behalf 223:15 339:15	<b>brand</b> 284:6 320:14	314:22	clearly 233:13
behavior 309:7	break 277:10 286:13	case 219:7 226:19	close 254:18,21 341:25
behest 297:22	328:6,7	230:15 236:22 260:9	closed 269:3
belabor 297:8	broad 296:6	326:10 342:8	closing 260:16 262:25
belief 256:9 271:13	broke 307:17	cases 233:14	266:23 275:13
272:2 311:4 321:22	<b>budget</b> 245:4,12	cash 245:3	Collaborative 276:24
believe 227:10 232:10	buffer 322:22	categories 338:17,20	colloquy 311:4
252:12 255:18	<b>build</b> 258:2 316:9,12	category 335:15 336:9	column 266:2 280:18
262:24 266:7 267:2	318:18 334:20	336:16,25 337:3,6	come 289:7,19,21
267:11 269:15 271:4	<b>building</b> 259:25 316:3	338:6,14	Comedy 243:14
274:9,10 279:4	<b>built</b> 248:12	cause 345:6,21	comfortable 329:22
280:13 286:5 288:5,5	<b>bullet</b> 229:11,12	caution 235:12 267:21	coming 264:21
289:1 290:24 292:9	230:18,21,22 231:17	cautious 235:14	commence 227:24
294:14 295:2 297:1	232:23 240:18	249:22	commencing 222:3
301:11 314:17	255:20 277:2	ceased 314:19	comment 301:1
322:25 323:18	<b>bullets</b> 282:21 283:5,9	center 222:14 259:25	commerce 334:15
324:18 326:18	<b>business</b> 220:20 225:2	Central 243:14	commercial 258:6
327:25 330:14	225:9 228:3,6 233:13	<b>CEO</b> 242:17	333:14 334:8
339:13 340:17	234:9 237:20 242:22	certain 247:8	commercialization
<b>believed</b> 234:7 237:4,7	248:25 322:15 326:6	CERTIFICATE	270:8
248:10 257:6 258:6	326:12 327:12	345:1	commercially 333:9
believes 250:14 251:15	334:15	Certified 222:6 345:2	Commission 277:25
251:25 255:24 272:6	Buy 309:6 314:21	certify 345:3,18	278:5
273:11 304:10	315:2	challenged 248:24	commitments 247:16
believing 238:18	Buy's 309:19	chance 314:23 317:2	common 250:21 255:1
<b>BeMusic</b> 220:13 269:6	C	325:14	communicate 256:9
287:16 290:15	calculation 290:15	change 225:19 235:8	293:20
291:14 292:2,14	296:7	235:10 276:12	communicated 271:12
293:2,20 301:5	California 222:5,14	changes 345:15	272:1,6,10,11 273:10
benefits 334:21	222:19 223:1 242:17	characterize 249:17	292:2,3 293:2
Best 309:6,19 314:21	244:1 344:3	279:1	communicating 288:1
315:2	call 337:22	choice 249:16 342:3 CHRISTOPHER	290:10,14 291:13,19
better 336:2,20	called 222:7 223:21	219:13 222:7 223:19	290:10,14 291:13,19 291:22 292:4 307:2,8
Beyond 288:21	calls 291:16	Circa 285:1	communications
big 337:16	candid 288:11	circumstances 276:13	235:25 236:9 248:20
<b>Binlong</b> 244:15 <b>bit</b> 243:13	candidate 300:9	cited 230:17	272:22 273:1,21
DR 245.15		Citcu 230.17	414.22 213.1,21
i		1	1

290:1,21 292:13	324:23	consider 294:19	341:8
293:1,7 304:5 305:11	competitive 233:14	302:17	copying 342:9
308:4 311:6,24 313:3	competitive 233.14	consideration 248:1	copyright 282:17
313:17,19 314:5	competitors 282:23	considerations 335:2	323:12 330:21
317:17	283:10,20 284:2,9,16	335:8	corner 298:25
	284:23 326:15	considered 233:17	corporate 247:6
companies 232:9	complete 324:17	considering 294:20	correct 265:13 266:11
233:12,13,16 234:7 249:25 273:9 318:17	_	consists 259:15	285:5 297:13 319:21
•	completion 345:13	consultations 290:2	331:10 344:2
319:15,24,25 320:13	comport 309:8	consumer 241:19	corrected 319:17
320:18 325:24 326:1	comports 299:16,18 309:4	336:12 341:20	corresponding 265:15
326:4		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	286:4
company 220:8,14	compression 285:16	consumers 336:13	
224:11,21 226:9,20	computer 345:10	337:1 338:18	costs 245:10,10 coun 235:15
227:5 228:5,25 229:8	con 332:13	consummated 254:6,8	1
229:13,14 230:12,15	concept 258:25 334:11	contemplates 270:8	counsel 222:11 223:12 235:14 267:21 290:1
230:16,23 231:10	concerned 240:24	contend 334:7	1
233:2,4 236:17,25	concerns 248:25	content 233:3 240:25	290:2 291:23 292:1
238:18 240:4 243:5	conclude 236:25	241:12,22 244:6	293:7 295:9 296:14
244:14,21 245:18	concluded 228:25	246:11,12 248:13	296:16 301:13
246:1,22 247:4,6,8	236:17 248:2 343:20	255:14,16 278:25	307:21 309:25 310:1
247:17 248:2,12	conclusion 229:8	279:3,5 282:3,11,11	310:20,22 311:7,14
249:24 250:4,14	237:3	284:21 285:1 288:16	314:20 315:7,9
251:2,11,15,16,25	Conclusions 301:25	309:14,20,25 311:6	343:13 345:18
252:22 253:8,17,25	conditional 254:4	341:20,21,22,23	counsel's 235:15
255:5,24 256:25	<b>conduct</b> 234:25	<b>contention</b> 320:11,12	315:13
257:6 258:6 259:6,15	250:15 293:23	336:5	counterclaim 306:20
259:23 279:11	<b>conducted</b> 302:3,11	context 320:10	countless 242:22
297:20,25 298:2,4,10	<b>conference</b> 307:16,17	continue 279:14	country 266:10 318:18
298:11,17 299:12,22	conferred 255:11	281:12,23	couple 228:23 248:8
316:11 317:16 323:5	confident 272:11	continued 307:17	260:10
330:11	confidential 294:21	continuing 320:4	course 236:2,10
company's 225:1,18	confidentiality 294:15	contract 243:6	Court 219:1 224:7
230:10 231:11	312:10	conversation 314:24	277:16 287:7 297:7
233:15 235:13 237:1	confused 269:1	317:9,20,21 318:1	305:18 316:16 322:6
242:17 246:10 299:5	confusing 331:19	320:21 321:6,22	324:2 326:22 328:4
302:5,12 304:11,25	332:11,13 341:13,15	322:14 342:25 343:3	339:4 342:15
305:2	connection 253:22	conversations 291:23	covenant 308:18,23
compared 237:8	260:17 263:11,13	305:8	309:6,18 313:2 314:4
306:16	265:18 275:21	convey 294:1,6,9	315:2,3
compelling 240:25	288:22 292:14	329:8,13	cover 260:15 318:15
241:11 244:6 245:18	293:21,22 294:6	conveyed 317:19	coverage 317:16 337:7
compensation 285:22	308:7 321:18,20	cooperation 233:5	337:10 338:8,11
285:23 286:6,24	332:2 336:16,25	copied 341:22,22	covered 258:22 330:24
287:1,4	337:6 338:4,6,14,15	342:10	create 339:21
Competition 324:21	338:22 343:12	copies 232:2 301:14	created 270:20

	222.10	005.10	202.17.204.0.205.5
creating 278:24	333:19	285:10	303:17 304:2 305:5
Creation 276:25	define 252:2 296:23	developing 282:11	307:13,21,25 308:20
credible 335:24	defined 252:12	307:1	309:9,21 310:5,7,15
CSR 219:24 345:25	definition 259:1	development 241:21	310:18 311:12,22
current 255:25 282:23	delineated 312:10	developmental 259:6	312:4,7,9,24 313:7
283:10	delivered 292:6	developments 255:24	313:22 315:4,16,19
currently 234:23	Deliveries 260:16	development-stage	318:3,8,12,23 319:14
276:10 281:5,10	delivery 254:5	279:11	320:23 321:8,25
285:13	demand 270:9,10	DiBOISE 222:13	324:12,24 325:18,22
customer 284:14	271:11,13 319:2	223:16,16 224:17,23	326:25 328:5,8,20
n	335:8,14,20 336:6	225:4,22 226:1,6,16	330:5 331:4,16,23
D 200 10 217 ( )	338:18	226:22 227:1,9,15,23	332:7,18 333:4,10
Daily 220:18 317:6,9	demonstration 335:19	228:11,20 229:5,19	334:13 335:22
318:1 320:22	demonstrations 337:8	230:7 232:6,12,19	338:24 342:16
dance 296:18	department 323:1	233:24 234:3,14	343:15
<b>Darren</b> 240:15	343:4	235:12,19,21 236:4	different 226:10 227:5
data 280:12,14 299:15	depend 285:14	236:13 237:10,15	271:5 311:8
date 223:10 278:11,15	Dependent 285:9	238:5,10,25 239:8,24	digital 228:16 233:12
301:7 304:10	deponent 345:15	241:14 242:5 247:12	242:23 249:16
dated 220:9 224:11	deposed 297:12	247:20 248:17 249:5	309:14,20 332:24,24
317:6 345:23	deposition 219:12	250:3,8 251:4,22	340:10,11,25
dates 243:12	223:7 297:2 313:1	252:7,11 254:14	digitally 230:25
day 259:1 344:3	343:20 345:4,7,13,20	255:13,17 256:3,11	diligence 253:6
deadline 274:25	depositions 222:2	256:17 257:15,22	directed 240:15 250:2
deal 243:14,14,15	derive 281:6,10,23	258:1,14,18 259:20	291:12 308:4
251:11 253:18,22	derived 263:23 281:23	260:4,11,20,24	direction 345:11
269:3 288:7	describe 288:4 306:13	263:14,18,25 264:9	directly 229:15 230:12
deals 242:22	307:5,10 313:6,20	264:16,25 266:21	334:5
December 219:16	described 331:2 332:5	267:1,5,18,25 268:2	director 317:17
220:21 222:3 223:2	333:21	268:17,22 269:10,14	disagree 242:8 295:22
223:10 244:21	describes 246:19,20	269:24 270:4,14,18	311:18 315:24
259:15 280:19 302:5	describing 225:20	271:3,14 272:8	disagreed 246:10
302:13 322:15	258:12 326:4 331:13	273:13,18,24 274:8	<b>Disc</b> 223:7 286:15,18
323:17	description 220:7	274:14,19 275:10,24	286:19 343:17
<b>decide</b> 276:20	221:2 306:16 313:8	276:4,8,16 277:7	disclose 289:10,13
decided 236:2,11	341:7	278:1,13,19 279:18	297:2 315:8
decision 235:13	desiring 234:25	280:1,5,8,15 281:16	disclosing 309:25
323:20	<b>Despite</b> 327:20	282:1,6,12,16 283:21	discu 313:8
declare 344:1	details 329:12	284:4,10,17 286:13	discuss 288:11
<b>defend</b> 258:22	determine 299:16	288:6 289:8,12,18,24	discussed 269:8 313:8
defendant 219:9 222:8	302:4,11	290:6,8,18,23 291:5	discussing 225:12
222:16 223:15	determined 245:18	291:7,15,21 292:8	265:25
306:19,22 308:7	303:8,12,20,25	293:5,11,13 294:2,14	discussion 260:6
<b>Defending</b> 249:13	develop 320:4	295:7,14,16,24 296:8	296:19 298:5
defense 241:9 245:11	developed 271:7	296:12,16 297:8,13	discussions 238:23
	*		,
	ı	•	•

239:11,23 246:2	270:22 271:22	240:20 256:22	entire 226:12,21
267:20,22 295:8	275:12 277:18,20	258:12 323:11	entirety 330:9
296:16 307:16,22	278:17 279:20	easier 251:10	entities 268:20 326:11
309:24 310:1,19,21	287:10,12 297:15,17	East 222:5,19 223:1	333:7
310:24 311:14 315:6	301:16,19 305:21,24	edit 279:5	entitled 297:1
315:9,12	316:20,22 320:2	effect 248:21 271:1	entity 268:24
disinterested 345:8	321:5,11 322:8,9,25	efforts 247:8,18	entries 266:9 286:4
Disney 329:8,12,13	324:6,7,17 325:5	either 231:20 234:7	entry 286:23 300:12
330:11,16	327:2,4 328:13,14	258:23 319:8 321:22	338:12
Disney-affiliated	339:7 342:18	322:25 326:11	enumerable 336:17
330:21	documents 261:4	331:21 334:3 339:13	equal 304:12 305:1
Disney-controlled	285:7	343:3 345:19	equity 247:17 253:25
329:25	<b>Doerr</b> 227:19	elaborate 282:5	254:3,7,12
disputes 343:13	doing 234:9 255:12	Electric 262:20 273:7	equity-raising 247:8
distinct 306:18	336:20,20	273:8	essence 238:18
distinction 258:19	dollar 262:25	electronic 334:15	essentially 249:25
265:2 319:23	dollars 320:13	electronically 316:4	established 332:17
distinguish 330:20	doubt 226:25 253:1	elements 332:16	estimate 255:8 294:11
distinguishing 241:5	286:1,9 301:9 302:10	Email 222:15,20	294:13 300:19
<b>distribute</b> 228:15,24	302:15 304:17	Embarcadero 222:14	estimated 244:21
229:14 230:12	317:12 319:7,10	embarked 239:21	evaluated 253:8
232:16	324:10 327:16	emerged 233:12 235:9	evaluation 302:4,11
distributed 324:11	download 240:14	235:10	302:25
341:8	241:16 249:16	emergence 270:23	event 345:20
distribution 226:10	313:12 314:19 340:8	271:2,8 276:18	EXAMINATION
227:5,8 230:24 232:2	downloaded 316:5	emerging 275:15	220:3 224:1
233:13 238:19,22	335:24 341:20	emphasis 242:16	EXAMINATIONS
239:15,22 241:4,5,12	342:10	encouraging 249:21	220:1
242:1,23 243:25	downloading 341:24	249:23,25 250:7	examined 222:9
245:20 253:18 254:5	downloads 227:25	encrypted 340:10,11	223:22
255:2 258:8,24,24	296:9	340:25	<b>example</b> 243:14
259:12 260:5 281:17	draft 221:3 279:3	encryption 232:1	272:13 337:15
281:19 282:2 335:25	324:17,18 328:17	285:16 341:7	<b>exceeded</b> 245:4 262:13
341:19 342:7	329:17,22	encumbrances 274:4	<b>exchange</b> 231:1 255:2
<b>DISTRICT</b> 219:1,2	dramatically 225:19	274:7	255:11 277:25 278:5
divine 296:23	draw 229:8	ends 263:5 325:11	332:25
<b>divulge</b> 267:19	drawback 323:21	<b>engage</b> 314:12 315:2	exchanged 262:13
<b>DMT</b> 261:13,20 264:7	due 253:6	333:3	263:1 267:20
264:23 265:18 269:4	duly 222:8 223:22	enter 326:5,12	exclusive 245:19
269:13 303:8,12,20	345:4	entered 253:17 269:13	253:18
303:25 304:5	duties 305:14	326:5,11	excuse 256:20 269:18
document 224:9,13,16	E	entering 262:19	278:4 280:25 298:24
225:8 231:18 260:13		enterprise 257:2	321:19 340:10
260:19 261:12	earlier 304:21	entertainment 234:25	342:19
268:24 269:23 270:3	early 238:14 239:3	326:6,12,15 333:3	execute 259:7

		0.46	6 020.16
Executive 285:22	251:8 276:7 319:13	filings 245:11	foresee 230:16
286:24	319:22 334:12	<b>film</b> 243:7	foreseeable 279:14
exercise 276:20	341:11	films 243:21,25 254:23	280:7
exhausted 240:4	explains 231:19	final 236:16 238:17	form 220:10 270:20
<b>exhibit</b> 220:8,10,12,14	exposition 333:19	241:18 244:3 247:25	277:23
220:16,18,20,22,24	extending 274:24	248:23 251:14	format 271:6 340:10
221:3,4,6 224:6,9	extent 235:13 267:18	252:20 254:17	340:11,25
228:2 229:10 230:22	289:12,24 295:7	256:20 259:4,13,14	forth 264:5,15 293:4
232:22 237:19 240:2	309:23 315:5 330:19	284:20 318:15 320:2	307:11 314:5 329:21
244:12 250:13	extremely 248:11	321:11	forward 314:22
253:15 258:5 259:4	e-commerce 234:25	financial 247:7 265:15	found 233:14 238:20
259:13 260:14	266:3 277:3 299:1	280:12 283:25 286:2	four 266:9 325:7
265:24 268:8 269:12	326:6,12,15 333:3	299:1,4 329:12	fourth 247:4 256:25
269:18,18 274:23		Financing 225:10	266:13
277:15,18 286:22	F	228:4 237:21	frame 249:22 250:7
287:6,10 292:16	fact 292:5 303:7 316:1	finds 259:23	272:21 276:5,14,15
295:6 297:6,15 298:4	317:24,24 320:21	fine 269:2 340:21	276:21 317:22
298:10 301:15,17	factors 285:6	first 229:11 231:25	319:18 329:11,15
303:3 305:17,21	facts 336:4,15,24	237:8,24,25 240:2,13	335:17 336:22
308:12 312:11 314:5	337:5,11 338:4	240:17,17 250:14,19	Franchise 253:17,21
316:15,20 318:16	factual 296:19 335:1,6	251:9 252:1 262:22	253:24
321:19,21 322:5,8	335:14 338:21	266:9,10 268:11	Francisco 222:14
323:19 324:1,6,21	fail 332:23 333:3	270:7,25 281:9 283:5	free 232:2 310:24
326:21 327:2 328:3	fair 225:20 238:8	291:6 302:3 308:11	315:7 340:9,16,24
328:13 329:17	239:21 257:13,21	317:8 323:4,19	friends 340:9,16,25
334:25 338:15,22	260:3 302:4,11	327:18,21 337:18	front 260:25
339:3,6,13 342:14,18	304:11 313:7 333:13	338:2,2 339:18	full 240:3 337:9
342:23	fall 329:15 335:15	firsts 337:10	<b>full-time</b> 322:23
EXHIBITS 220:6	fan 340:3	five 283:15	functions 306:24
221:1	far 266:2 292:22	five-year 253:17	332:17
exist 238:22 239:22	fashion 228:16	fledgling 320:17	fund 245:4,18
266:25 267:12,17	faster 241:20	Floor 222:5,14,18	<b>Funding</b> 264:13
274:7	fault 238:8	focus 249:13	funds 249:12,19
existed 330:20 342:8	<b>Fay</b> 339:21 340:5	focused 228:6	further 223:22 253:6
existing 247:5 268:12	feature-length 240:14	focusing 331:12	312:18 343:7 345:18
284:13	February 252:21	follow 268:5 290:12	future 238:21 262:11
expansion 321:12	253:16	293:15 294:25	262:17 279:14 280:7
expect 273:2 279:13	<b>feel</b> 308:11 315:7	295:20 310:10	309:7,19
281:11 326:20	<b>FERRIER</b> 219:24	312:19 315:22	
expectations 243:20	222:6 345:2,25	319:22	G
expected 254:18	<b>fifth</b> 309:15	followed 282:21	<b>GE</b> 262:22 263:12
281:23	<b>figure</b> 295:6	following 263:7	264:7,23 265:5,18
experienced 279:11	file 278:4 342:9	follows 223:23	269:25 275:6,9,23
expired 269:9	filed 277:24	foreclosure 274:25	Gen 341:25
explain 230:2 248:24	files 316:5	foregoing 344:2 345:4	general 245:3 262:20
		0 0 - 1 - 1	
	I	ı	l .

800-869-9132

273:6,7 275:16	hacked 232:1	identification 220:6	337:14
generally 239:19	Hair 235:24 236:6	221:1 224:6 277:15	information 267:15,19
248:9 252:17 323:11	239:13,17 331:9	287:6 297:6 305:17	286:2 289:14 290:25
341:25	332:4,14,22 333:2	316:15 322:5 324:1	291:17 293:5,19
generate 298:4,10,12	335:20 336:5	326:21 328:3 339:3	294:15,20,20 295:4
334:3	Hair's 331:3,13 332:3	342:14	295:11,17 296:4
generated 278:10,15	333:8,14 334:1,20,21	identify 223:12	297:1 312:17 329:5
298:14,20	half 255:10	immediate 258:8	338:20 340:19,23
GE/SightSound	Hambrecht 250:12	implement 279:13	341:3 343:10
260:16	hand 301:13 324:22	important 248:2	infringed 273:11
give 296:24 313:7	handed 260:13 301:16	importantly 245:17	infringement 293:23
314:23,23 322:11	handle 288:10	251:25	infringes 272:2
324:17 327:6	hands 263:1	impressed 248:11	infringing 233:15,17
given 230:10 314:20	happened 243:14	impressive 337:17	271:13 272:7,10,14
317:24,24 320:19	hard 243:13	improper 293:18	313:13 314:13,18
345:12	head 296:18	295:3 310:14	315:3
<b>giving</b> 311:16	heading 225:9 237:20	inaccurate 243:16	ingredient 241:22
<b>go</b> 248:24 249:21,25	266:9 269:19 276:24	249:8 252:10 258:17	initial 230:11 241:20
250:7 271:1 312:18	285:9 324:23	270:17 280:14	initially 229:13 253:3
314:16 323:20	hear 314:14	341:11	innovations 321:13
325:23	held 246:1	inappropriate 310:25	337:8
goes 226:9 230:1 234:6	Henry 269:9	inception 228:5	inside 270:22
257:5 260:18 304:8	hereto 345:17	279:12 280:22	instruct 235:21 268:2
going 268:5 288:8	HIBDON 222:22	included 316:3 330:4	290:8 291:16 293:7
290:12 293:15	high 243:20	330:13,15 337:23,24	293:13 294:22
294:25 301:13	highly 272:10	includes 303:16 305:3	295:16 310:7 311:17
310:10 312:14,19	high-level 309:2,5,11	including 323:15	312:14 315:19
314:22 315:22	313:8 342:1	inclusion 300:9	instruction 268:5
325:25	high-speed 241:20	income 275:5,22	288:9 290:12 293:15
Goldman 247:5,6,15	242:2	inconsistent 276:2	293:18 294:25 295:3
Good 223:6	historic 337:10	increase 246:10	295:20,23 310:10,14
goofy 320:17	histories 283:6,16	320:20	311:13 312:19
governing 222:2	hold 238:25 242:5	increased 236:18	314:21,23 315:22,25
grant 272:11	271:14 273:24	237:1 257:1 incur 279:14	instructions 343:13 integration 239:20,23
grasp 258:9	276:10	incur 2/9:14 incurred 264:8	integration 239:20,23 intellectual 231:11
Gray 222:4,17 223:15	holder 271:6 holders 282:18	incurred 264:8 independent 243:7	233:15 241:9 249:14
greater 283:25 284:6	i	259:16 315:6	intend 279:12 295:20
greatly 236:18,25 gross 226:12,20	Holdings 255:9 269:4 holistic 313:10	259:16 315:6 INDEX 220:1	335:3
gross 226:12,20 252:22	Hollywood 240:14	indicated 310:24	intending 292:11
guess 268:25 286:8	Hotel 270:10 271:10	indication 336:18	intends 234:19
337:22	271:13	indicia 335:2	interact 322:22
guys 292:25		individual 233:5	interacted 239:13
5 th J 5 had 5 had 6 had 5	I	industry 228:15 238:1	interacting 330:10
H	idea 332:6,14	252:2 336:10 337:13	interactions 273:7
	1	1	1

298:18 329:11	<b>iTunes</b> 326:13	299:11,14 302:9	letter 221:3 274:23
interest 257:16 305:3	i.e 241:19	303:14 304:3,16,18	328:17,19,23 329:22
321:12	**************************************	305:16 309:11	let's 230:21 260:7,7,8
interested 257:19	J	316:13,25 318:13	292:12 312:22
345:20	James 222:13,18	320:9 322:11 323:23	335:13
interesting 337:17	223:14,16	324:9,25 325:2,19	level 262:11,17
Internet 229:15	james.batchelder@	326:1 327:5,7,23	license 231:10 233:17
230:13,24 240:17	222:20	330:8,19 333:1,5,11	234:24 268:8,12
241:20 242:2 245:19	james.diboise@apo	336:7,8 338:1,2	269:8 272:12 273:17
246:4 249:24,25	222:15	knowing 225:1	333:18
250:4 252:3,13	Jamie 312:23	knows 291:22 296:13	licensee 234:7
253:18 255:2 258:7	January 237:21,24	Kilows 251.22 250.15	licensees 234:25
258:21 320:17	Jen 322:20	L	298:18
	Jennifer 317:16	label 231:6,14	licenses 236:2 269:5
335:16 337:16,25	Jim 288:10	labels 228:24 230:23	licensing 230:14
338:12	job 306:24 322:23	231:10,19,25 232:15	236:11 241:9 243:11
interview 322:17,20	John 227:18	233:5 241:15 319:16	
339:14	journalists 317:22	lack 240:24 241:11	261:14 269:4 299:6 299:22 300:1,8,12,16
interviewed 279:1	July 325:17 326:6,13	language 279:20	300:22 301:5
invented 292:25 331:9	jump 328:5	large 273:9	ł
invention 331:3,13,15	June 242:12,15,21	largely 243:6 259:16	lien 274:12,17,25
332:3 333:8,14 334:1		Larger 284:13	lienholders 275:13
334:20,22 335:9,18	<b>justify</b> 249:12	late 246:1 259:5	Life 337:16,25 338:12
336:6	K		likelihood 320:20
inventory 338:10	keep 343:9	launch 226:10 227:7	limited 229:1
inverse 249:9	Kenyon 274:17,17,23	227:17 233:6	line 309:15 320:1
invest 279:12	274:23 275:20,20	launched 230:16	lines 325:7 332:23
invested 265:7 282:10	key 241:22	272:18	linkages 273:9
investment 278:23	kicks 231:17 263:7	launching 227:5	liquidity 251:5
279:2 297:25 298:3		Law 222:13,18	list 227:13 274:4 283:9
investor 247:16	kick-ass 339:21 kind 279:20	laws 222:1	285:4
investors 240:24	1	lawyer 262:14 275:11	listed 231:25 232:9
298:19	know 225:23,25 226:5	292:5	283:24 284:6,13,20
involve 295:8 332:15	226:17,23 230:4,19	lawyers 272:15 279:20	326:4
involved 246:20	231:22 232:7,20	280:7 285:6 287:22	lists 283:14,15
250:20 254:10 325:2	237:16 238:11	289:11 334:2	litany 337:19
involves 289:25	239:18 246:17	lead 261:23	litigation 331:2,8
involving 273:22	253:14 254:6,22	learned 323:6	live 321:6,22
IP 258:22	256:18 257:11 265:1	left 286:22	LLC 219:5 223:9
IPO 250:15 253:7	265:2 267:9,11	left-hand 266:2	255:10
issuance 237:13	271:15,25 272:9,25	298:25	LLP 222:13
250:20	273:2,7,14,19 274:15	legal 232:2 245:10	LodgeNet 273:10,11
issue 267:4	274:20 278:6,6,9	262:13 272:15	273:16,22
issued 255:1	279:25 285:20 288:7	<b>LePore</b> 220:8 224:11	long 323:16,18
issues 239:14,16,20	288:8,10 289:19	252:14 302:18,24	longer 267:17 283:5
251:12	292:8,20 294:3,10	305:8,12	283:15
	296:18,23 298:11		
	I	1	1

1. 1. 274.22 222 22	240.5	220.11 14 22 272.1 2	240.0
look 274:22 282:20	340:5	239:11,14,23 272:1,2	340:8
339:17	material 339:20 340:2	272:5,6,16 273:2,8	movies 252:2,13
looked 334:24	materialized 238:1	Microsoft's 285:14	254:13 258:7,21,25
looking 262:1 263:6	materials 340:4	middle 225:9 240:19	281:11,25
280:18 283:8 286:4	matter 223:8 260:14	247:3 252:16 319:21	movies-over-the-Int
299:21 308:22	266:11 272:3 286:14	323:4 330:1	259:7
325:12 327:10	288:23 293:24 336:1	Mike 291:11	movie's 340:11,25
looks 258:20 280:24	mean 262:18 263:15	million 244:15 246:20	MSNBC 273:8
loss 280:24	269:1 271:23 280:7	256:1 257:2,13,20	muddles 319:25
losses 279:12,14	291:2 296:16 307:15	299:23 300:13,19	multiple 273:9
lot 317:15	307:15 309:11	301:10	music 226:10 227:5,8
lunch 326:20	330:19 339:25	millions 320:13	227:24 228:6,24
	means 252:3 289:20	mind 311:3 320:21	229:15 230:12,25
M	291:2	330:23	232:16 233:3 238:1
<b>machine</b> 341:24	meant 252:13 271:24	minute 339:9 342:20	238:18 258:8,21,24
342:11	308:21 314:17	Miramax 243:14	327:12
maintaining 249:14	330:20 336:12 340:1	254:23 255:6,11	Company of the second s
major 228:15,24 244:4	media 285:14,15	330:10,13,22	N
248:12 250:19 316:8	317:15 321:24	misquoted 322:1	name 284:7
318:17 319:16,17	322:22 323:2 337:7,9	missing 241:22	Napster 220:17 300:4
making 289:11	338:8,11 339:15	mistake 260:10	300:7 306:3 314:18
management 248:24	343:4	misunderstand 308:20	Napster's 311:25
302:3,11,17 304:10	mediated 307:19	misunderstood 271:20	313:11
management's 247:18	mediation 288:12,17	313:24	narrow 243:16
Manager 285:15	288:18,21 307:6,7,13	mode 249:18	nascent 337:14
manner 325:11	307:14,15,18 308:1,8	model 299:1,4	nature 335:10
March 225:12,13,21	meeting 227:18 311:8	modeling 299:5	navigate 291:24
225:21 254:18 342:8	meetings 228:14	moment 269:3 271:22	296:23
mark 260:7	278:23	275:13 316:24	NBC 246:4
marked 220:6 221:1	Mehta 328:18	monetary 263:12,15	<b>NBCI</b> 246:9,19
224:6,8 260:8,9,13	member 306:22	264:5,7,24 265:5,17	near 237:20
277:15,17 287:6,9	members 306:14,17	money 263:17 265:7	nearly 240:4
297:6,14 301:14,17	306:19	265:11 320:3 332:25	necessarily 292:8
305:17,21 316:15,19	memorandum 220:8	moneys 263:23	317:19
322:5,7 324:1,5	224:10 241:13 242:4	money's 340:10	necessary 249:11
326:21 327:2 328:3	252:14	months 244:21	271:8 315:10
328:12 339:3,5		Moore 269:9	need 244:22 248:24
342:14,17	memory 249:20,23	i e	286:13 312:25 343:9
market 255:25 302:4	mentioned 314:10	morning 223:6	needed 248:12 249:11
302:12 304:11	336:9 337:3,18	mortgage 238:21	262:13
marketing 245:9	merger 304:10	motion 242:23	negotiate 296:13
283:25	message 292:6	move 275:17	negotiating 261:19
marketplace 272:13	met 288:5	moved 242:17	307:11 314:6
marketplace 272.13 markets 248:3 270:9	method 234:9 249:16	mover 251:9	negotiation 287:24
<b>Masterson</b> 339:21	micro 233:4	movie 240:14,14,15,17	291:14 292:15
iviastersum 559.21	Microsoft 238:23	241:15 323:6 340:3,5	291.17 292.13
			1

		22622464224	200 7
306:11,24,25 313:4	230:7 232:6,12,19	236:2 246:19,21	308:7
negotiations 244:4	233:24 234:3,14	247:2 251:6 309:13	outsourcing 230:24
293:21 296:14 308:7	236:4,13 237:10,15	309:20 329:8,13	outstanding 275:18,18
negotiator 261:23	238:5,10 239:8,24	offered 231:10 233:16	overall 314:9
287:19 306:6	241:14 242:6 247:12	offering 240:20	owned 330:10
net 279:11,14 280:24	247:20 248:17 249:5	251:17 278:8 316:3	<b>o0o</b> 219:4 221:8
334:3	250:3,8 251:4 252:7	offerings 323:16	222:23 223:4,18,24
never 238:1 258:19	252:11 254:14	326:14	343:22
new 320:4 336:19	255:13,17 256:11,17	offers 231:20 233:22	
newborn 252:1	257:15,22 258:1,14	<b>offline</b> 312:23	P
night 263:1	258:18 259:20 260:4	<b>Oh</b> 256:4 307:19	page 220:3,7 221:2
nominal 303:9,13,21	260:20,24 263:14,18	308:21 327:21 338:1	225:7,8,9 228:2
nonobviousness 335:3	263:25 264:16,25	342:5	229:10,11 230:22
nonrevenue-generat	266:21 267:1,5	okay 263:2 264:3,21	231:18,18 232:22
277:3	268:17,22 269:10,14	265:14 277:11	233:11 234:17
non-Disney 330:20	269:24 270:4,14,18	278:12,17 280:23	236:16 237:18,19,19
Non-Exclusive 234:24	271:3 272:8 273:13	283:3 286:12 288:21	237:20 240:2,3,18,19
note 326:19	273:18,25 274:8,14	288:25 289:18	241:19 242:11
notice 334:24	274:19 275:10,24	292:24 296:10	244:12,13 245:25
notification 272:18	276:4,8,16 277:7	308:21 311:12	246:19,19 247:3,25
notwithstanding	278:1,13,19 279:18	315:24 325:14	248:23 250:13
248:25	280:1,5,15 281:16	327:25 336:15	251:15 252:16,16
<b>November</b> 220:18,24	282:1,6,12,16 283:21	341:11 342:13	253:2,15 255:21
303:13,21 317:6,10	284:4,10,17 288:6	ongoing 267:12	256:21 258:4 259:4
318:2 320:22 328:1	289:8 290:18 294:2	314:12	259:13 260:15,25
nuance 319:11	303:17 304:2 305:5	open 343:9	261:6,16,16,25 263:4
number 246:2 260:11	309:9,21 313:22	opening 225:14	263:5,7,7 265:21
289:7,10,19,21,23	315:4 318:3,8,12,23	operate 334:15	268:7 269:17 270:7
296:22 312:4,8 329:2	319:14 320:23 321:8	operating 249:15	270:25 274:3,22,22
329:3,9 338:25	321:25 324:12,24	269:20 270:8,19,20	276:23,24 277:2
numbers 263:5 294:6	325:18,22 328:20	271:1,6 283:5,16	279:7 280:11 281:3,5
294:9 295:9	331:4,16,23 332:7,18	operationally 258:24	282:20,22 283:2
numerous 228:14	333:4,10 334:13	operations 264:13	285:8,21 286:23,24
338:7	335:22	opinions 299:17,18	287:17 298:23
<b>N2K</b> 300:3	objective 335:2	opportunity 238:1,19	299:15,21 301:23,24
	obligated 265:12	258:8	303:3 304:9 306:4
0	obligation 263:17	opposed 281:25 321:6	308:15,23 318:15
oath 224:4	264:14	option 275:4,21	323:4,19 324:21
<b>object</b> 251:22 264:9	obligations 263:12,16	276:10,20	327:10,19,21 339:18
291:8,16 295:22	264:5,7,12,23,24	order 312:13	pages 261:7
333:4	265:5,15,17 275:18	organization 252:1	Palo 222:5,19 223:1
<b>Objection</b> 224:17,23	obviate 295:25	original 245:12,19	paragraph 225:15,17
225:4,22 226:1,6,16	occurred 238:23	253:9 258:20	226:9 228:3,13 230:1
226:22 227:1,9,15,23	239:23	originally 253:8	230:3 233:11 234:6
228:11,20 229:5,19	offer 231:7,15 234:23	outside 307:7,14,14	234:18,22 236:16
	•	•	•

229,14 17 220,2 6	332:22 333:2 335:21	226:12,21 227:8	point 230:18,21,22
238:14,17 239:3,6 240:19,21 241:18	336:5	240:20 276:18	231:17 232:23
240.19,21 241.18	pass 340:9,16,24	280:19,25 316:2	265:10 269:2 277:2
242.11 243.19 244:13,17,18 245:25	passed 276:5,14,21	345:16	296:17 297:8 320:10
244.13,17,18 243.23	292:2 326:19	periods 316:2	320:12 336:4 340:21
250:18 251:14,24	patent 232:10 234:8	perjury 344:1	points 229:11,12
252:17,20 253:2,16	234:24 236:18,25	permitting 230:25	240:18 255:20
253:24 254:17,23	237:4,7 238:20	person 345:8	336:17
256:21 258:4 259:4	245:10,11 262:11,18	personal 327:12	popular 284:20 285:1
259:14 264:5,6,22,23	267:4,12,21 272:15	personally 222:7	Porter 222:13 223:17
265:16,17 274:24	272:15 277:3 287:22	306:20	portfolio 262:12,18
•	303:8,13,15,21	Pesci 317:17 322:20	277:3 303:8,13,16,21
275:3 279:10 281:5,9 282:21 303:4 304:19	333:18,20 334:2,14	PETER 222:22	position 259:24 331:8
308:10 309:13,19	patents 234:9 263:23	phonetic 328:18	positive 337:9 338:8
311:21 312:11 313:2	266:3 270:9 272:17	phrase 225:14 256:21	338:11
314:4 315:2 318:15	275:15 299:1 303:22	285:4 303:10,20	possible 258:25
320:2 324:22 325:3,6	304:1 334:11	304:24,25 305:2	323:14 334:19
327:10,18,19,22,25	patents-in-suit 236:12	physical 335:25	post-money 257:2
330:1 339:18 340:7	266:10 272:3 273:12	Pi 240:15	post-fioney 257.2
342:23	273:17,23 277:6	picture 240:16	243:12
	303:16 309:7 331:10	pictures 242:24	potential 234:24
paragraphs 238:13 244:20	patent-in-suit 236:21	253:17,21,24 255:18	240:23 282:23
	1 -	pin 296:18	283:10 298:18,18
part 285:4 307:13 314:11	<b>pay</b> 256:14 257:7 262:22 263:17	piracy 335:16 336:18	PR 323:1
	313:11 340:8,12	336:21	practice 317:15
Partially 244:2 participate 262:10,17	341:1	Pittsburgh 220:20	practiced 333:8 334:1
302:16	payment 231:1 289:4	322:15	334:20 335:18
participated 288:17	289:5 293:3 311:20	place 271:6 311:1	practices 273:22
322:18	328:23	333:22,22 345:9	practicing 333:13
participating 288:21	PCs 241:20	plaintiff 219:6 222:12	precede 265:6
participating 268.21 participation 263:22	penalty 344:1	223:17	preceded 301:6,7
302:23 304:21	PENNSYLVANIA	plan 269:20 270:8,19	precisely 243:13
particular 237:6 340:2	219:2	270:20 271:1,6	predictable 332:16
particularized 306:15	pent-up 335:8,14,20	plans 234:23 326:5,12	prefer 232:16
particularly 237:7	336:6 338:18	plans 254.25 520.5,12 playback 341:9	Preliminary 269:20
329:2	people 308:4 320:3	played 341:23 342:11	premature 248:10
parties 268:13 285:10	336:1,19	players 228:15	preparation 270:2
291:20 294:16	percent 231:1 255:9	please 223:12 230:4	278:7,22
299:10,13 313:3,17	255:10 262:11,17	231:22 235:14 263:3	prepare 329:17
314:6 324:11 345:19	275:4,22 276:10	269:17 281:4 290:20	prepared 228:15
345:22	304:21	291:9 297:5 301:22	269:23 321:3,5,23
partner 246:2 273:6	percentage 255:5	306:13 307:5,10	preparing 240:5
partner 240.2 273.0 partnered 251:9	296:8	309:25 313:6 316:24	present 222:22 313:9
partners 298:19	perception 285:4	327:7,23 328:8	presumption 242:9
party 323:13 332:5,15	period 225:12,18,20	334:12 339:19	Pretty 332:12
party 525.15 552.5,15	period 223.12,10,20	001,12000,10	120003 332.12
	1	1	I

pursued 233:3 242:22 321:3,4,6,23 322:21 prevent 341:21,23 proof-of-concept 322:24 339:12,14 342:9 227:17 **purview** 272:15 340:22 302:22 prevented 342:10 proper 272:17 put 269:2 279:20 property 231:11 previously 222:8 R 233:16 241:9 249:14 285:6 340:21 223:21 227:11,16 R 222:18 p.m 343:21 proposal 250:19 263:22 321:2 **RACHEL** 219:24 Pre-1999 225:9 228:3 251:16 Q 222:6 345:2,25 price 257:1,13,21 proposals 228:14 raise 249:12 **quality** 246:12 262:2 307:11 proprietors 271:12 raised 247:17 249:1 ques 315:12 principal 287:19 prospectus 220:22 question 230:19 raising 242:16 244:14 306:6 324:11 244:22 235:16,19 236:5 principals 308:6 protections 235:22 242:8 250:2 253:6 ramifications 297:3 prior 243:11 322:19 prove 229:22 230:2 **rampant** 335:16 264:21 267:18,22,25 332:16 258:25 334:16 268:3 269:1 271:21 336:18,21 proven 323:21 **private** 251:13 range 224:9 260:15 271:23 279:23 280:8 provide 253:21 290:25 privilege 286:14 277:18 287:10 288:9 289:13,15,25 288:12 294:11 295:4 306:15 301:17 305:22 290:3,6 291:1,4,6,7 309:5 332:23 341:3 privileged 292:5,7 291:12,16 292:10,13 rate 245:4 **provided** 247:6 270:21 293:19 311:15 react 251:16 292:20 293:1,6,8,11 pro 313:12 309:19 322:20 323:1 294:18,23 295:8,11 reactions 248:9 **PROCEEDINGS** 339:13,14 342:25 295:14 296:7 297:9 read 227:4 230:3 343:3,3 345:16 223:5 231:21 239:7 243:4 297:10,12 298:6 process 266:16,17,23 provider 232:17 247:15 256:7 280:8 308:3 310:2,2,5,8 307:20 **provision** 262:2,6 299:24 316:24 317:2 311:4,23 312:12,15 263:6 289:3 293:4 produce 233:3 313:16,21,23 314:2 322:11 325:14 327:8 producers 243:7 295:6 308:14,18,23 327:22 339:9,11 315:7,11,13,16 product 235:22 290:9 309:3 313:18,18 330:22 331:5,12,14 340:23 342:20,22 295:18 314:7 reading 281:1 304:9 331:17,25 332:9,20 provisions 263:10 productions 243:6 304:24 341:14 342:2,3,7 products 228:16 270:21 reads 237:20 238:17 questioned 253:9 272:12,18 320:5 public 248:3,25 questions 291:9,10 240:3,23 241:19 249:21 250:1,7 251:2 profit 333:15 334:4 242:15,21 244:3 343:7,11 251:17 252:1 323:20 program 341:8 245:3,9,17 247:4 quick 277:9 286:13 programming 245:19 343:4 322:11 327:6 248:23 251:15 progress 244:5 266:14 **publicly** 251:10,12 252:20 258:5 268:11 quid 313:12 puppets 320:4,15,20 **prohibit** 312:12 270:7 279:10 281:9 quite 244:6 322:21 projecting 299:22 Purchase 260:17 283:9 302:3 303:7 quo 313:12 projections 220:14 261:1,9,13,20 262:2 read-through 327:6 quote 228:5,6 246:21 297:20,21 299:5,7,9 264:6 246:23 259:5 319:1 realize 226:11 purchasers 298:19 299:12 319:25 320:3,6 realized 226:20 300:4 **promote** 246:22 purpose 262:10,16 336:2 327:11 339:19,20,22 297:24 298:3,9 promotion 246:20 reason 225:2 226:25 342:23,24 343:2 **purposes** 262:14 promotional 245:10 quoted 317:25 323:5 231:25 232:9,15 pursuant 222:1 339:20 quotes 317:18 318:16 253:1 280:13 282:15 Proof 334:11 pursue 306:25

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

292-24-296-1-9	022.15.022.5.041.15		240.11.241.1
283:24 286:1,9	232:15 233:5 241:15	regret 327:15	340:11 341:1
296:13 301:9 302:10	260:6 277:12,14	rejecting 246:21	required 275:13
302:15,18,21 304:17	286:16,20 288:14,19	relate 251:1	requirement 276:20
317:8,12,14 319:7,10	290:24 292:12	related 245:10 261:4	279:19 313:11
324:10,15,18 326:17	293:17 295:2 298:5	334:5 345:21	requires 289:13
327:16	319:16 328:9,11	relations 343:4	research 253:10
reasonable 311:1	343:9,19 345:11	relationship 247:5	reserves 343:10
reasons 230:6,10,17	recordings 282:7,8	release 255:14	resigned 253:8
231:19,21,23 250:15	334:16	released 323:12	resources 240:4
293:18	reduced 242:16	relies 336:16,25 338:5	283:25
recall 224:14,18	345:10	338:13,21	respect 243:24
233:25 234:4 243:11	reference 244:14	reluctant 323:12	respectful 294:19
243:17 247:2 248:18	266:13,22 271:10	rely 335:3 337:5	respond 291:8
248:20 253:23 255:7	277:6 304:20 329:25	remainder 231:17	response 290:3 291:1
257:16 262:24,25	335:6	remained 246:11	responsibilities
267:14 269:1 278:7	referenced 239:12	remains 303:22 304:1	305:15
278:20 287:5 294:8	263:24 264:6 269:6	remember 243:13	responsibility 302:23
299:8 300:3,5 301:4	303:16,18 304:21	254:9 278:2,6 320:10	rest 230:1,3
316:10,23 317:3,11	311:20 317:5 337:1	320:19 321:3 324:8	restate 236:5
318:24 319:6 321:17		325:24 328:21 329:3	restrictions 294:15
	338:3,18	I	result 247:17 293:6
322:10,16 325:4	references 246:4	329:7,10,11,12 338:2	
327:5,14 329:24	253:24 254:23 262:5	339:8 341:5	309:24
335:12 338:23 339:8	265:6 266:13 309:13	REMEMBERED	resulted 276:12
343:1	referencing 271:21	222:1	307:20 312:7 321:5
recaptured 265:11,16	referred 236:6 300:7	rental 281:11,24	resulting 232:1
recapturing 265:6	referring 227:22	repeat 298:6	results 331:1,14,20
receive 244:6 255:6	236:21 238:13 239:1	report 305:9	332:3
received 238:20	274:24 275:9 323:10	REPORTED 219:24	reveal 235:15 267:22
250:19 275:5,22	331:7 335:15 336:21	reporter 222:6 224:7	289:14 290:2 295:10
receiving 253:25	340:15	277:16 287:7 297:7	310:20 315:11
Recess 277:13 286:17	refers 227:4 248:8	305:18,19 316:14,16	revealing 235:25
328:10	275:3 277:2 280:22	316:18 322:6 324:2,4	236:9 310:23 311:16
recitations 286:5	328:23	326:22 328:4 339:1,4	311:24
recognition 284:7	reflect 290:24 322:17	341:4 342:15 345:1,3	revelation 289:25
335:10 337:22 338:7	reflected 257:6 258:23	345:16	296:20 336:1
recognize 277:20,22	reflecting 257:1	repositioned 259:7	revenue 226:12,20
287:12,14 297:19	refrain 309:25	repositioning 323:5	252:22 281:10,24
305:24 306:1 321:12	refuses 297:2	represent 223:13	296:9 299:6,22 300:1
328:14,16	regard 294:1 313:19	255:10 298:17	300:4,4,7,9,12,16,22
recognized 252:22	regarding 224:11	represented 247:7	301:5,9 312:1,3
336:19 337:15	239:14 294:16	261:21 313:11	313:12
recollection 249:9	305:12	representing 223:17	reversed 236:1,10
254:4 276:17	registers 251:17	307:22 308:4	review 278:17 299:7
record 226:4 227:14	registers 231.17	requested 345:14,15	345:14
230:23 231:6,14,19	249:10 277:23	require 267:19 315:8	re-ask 271:22
230.23 231.0,14,19	247.10 4/1.43	require 201.19 313.0	10-asn 2/1.22
			1

800-869-9132

re-emerging 275:15	242:17,22 286:19,22	securing 247:16	316:5
re-engage 313:13	291:12 292:3,17	Securities 277:25	selling 227:24 257:13
314:17	295:5 296:10 308:25	278:5	257:17,19,21
re-examination 235:9	310:11 312:20	see 225:8,11,14 226:13	send 328:19
235:11 270:23 271:2	323:20 340:8 343:6	228:7,17 229:2,16,24	sent 299:9,12
271:8 275:16 276:19	343:18	231:2,12 232:3,25	sentence 228:4,7,13
re-start 314:18	<b>Sanders</b> 296:20	233:7,18 234:11,17	229:12,22 231:9
right 265:25 276:10	saying 226:4 292:21	234:20 235:2 236:19	234:22 236:17
283:8 291:12 296:12	320:19 321:4 323:5	237:19,22 238:2,15	237:25 238:17 239:2
308:22 310:18	327:14 341:14	238:24,25 240:6,21	239:6 240:3,23
312:22 314:14,20	says 225:17 228:13,23	241:1,23 242:13,25	241:18 242:15,21
330:6 335:12 336:11	231:9 233:11 234:22	243:8,22 244:8,13,16	243:19 244:3 245:3,9
337:12 343:6,10	244:20 246:9 248:9	244:24 245:5,13,21	245:17 248:1,23
rights 237:5 242:23	250:14 253:5 254:11	246:5,14,24 247:9,19	250:14,19 251:14
243:25 249:14 255:2	255:1 256:21,25	248:4,14 249:2	252:20 253:5 254:17
285:15 294:19	259:23 266:3,16	250:16,22 251:18	255:23 256:7,25
333:20 334:14	285:13 298:25	252:4,18,23 253:11	258:5 259:5,14,23
right-hand 280:18	304:25 323:4,20	253:19 254:1,19,24	268:11 270:7,25
ripe 241:16	339:19 340:7	255:3 256:2,7,23	271:20 281:9 283:8,9
risk 285:6	<b>Schedule</b> 274:4 334:25	257:3,8 258:10 259:9	285:13 302:3 304:8,9
role 261:19 270:2	Schwartz 274:12	259:17 260:1 261:10	321:11 325:6,12,15
278:22,24 287:23	Schwartz's 274:10	262:7 266:4,15 268:9	325:25 326:3 340:24
288:1,4,22 306:11,13	scope 343:11	268:14 269:21	sentences 228:23
306:16,18,24 307:2,8	Scott 219:13 221:3	270:11 274:5,23	243:4 247:15 248:8
room 307:16,17	222:7 223:8,19	275:1,7 276:22 277:4	<b>September</b> 243:4,11
Ropes 222:4,17 223:14	242:17 286:18,19	279:15 280:20 281:7	244:14
roughly 233:22 254:10	343:18	281:13 282:20,25	series 255:20 282:21
257:2	se 337:15	283:12,17 285:11,17	322:21
round 329:2	second 225:8 228:3	285:24 287:2 298:24	serious 320:16
route 296:23	230:21 232:9 234:22	299:2 300:14,20	service 232:17 316:3
royalty 231:1	236:18,21,25 238:20	302:1,6 303:3,10,23	services 272:19
Rule 334:24	238:25 244:13,18	304:13,19,22 309:15	session 288:12,17,22
rules 292:25	253:5,16 271:14	311:1 318:20 319:3	set 264:5,15 283:5
S	274:24 277:2 283:24	320:6 321:14 323:8	293:3 305:14 314:5
Sachs 247:5	291:7 301:24 336:9	325:8 327:19 328:25	329:21
salary 286:6,25	342:23	330:2 335:4 339:23	settlement 220:12,16
sale 240:13 262:10,16	secondary 335:2	340:13,18	269:6 287:15,24
281:11,25 309:13,14	Secondly 251:24	seeing 224:14 317:3	288:23 292:15 293:4
309:20,20	seconds 328:5	327:5	293:21 294:17 295:9
sales 293:22 294:4,7	second-to-last 234:18	seeking 296:4 319:23	296:22 301:5 305:23
314:19	245:25 256:20	seen 224:13 260:19	306:2 307:1,12
San 222:14	339:18	297:17 301:19	311:20 312:13
Sander 219:13 221:3	Section 263:12	316:22 322:9 324:7	313:10 314:9,11
222:7 223:8,19 224:3	secure 242:23 343:10	327:4 339:7 sell 230:25 243:21	settlements 333:18
	secured 243:25	SCH 230.23 243.21	severely 229:1
	l		l

share 251:13 257:1	336:24 337:5,13	sock 320:4,15,20	stamped 316:20 324:6
309:2	338:5,13,21 339:15	software 285:9,15	342:18
shared 267:16	341:21	sold 240:17	stand 275:14 319:17
shareholders 261:22	SightSound's 241:12	solely 228:6	standard 317:15
shares 250:20	274:18 283:20 284:2	solicited 302:23	start 292:12 341:17
shopping 259:25	284:9,16,23 287:19	somebody 317:19	342:6
shorthand 222:6	297:21 300:1,16,22	soon 246:13	starting 325:6
266:7 345:2,8	305:3 323:1,16	sorry 256:4 283:1	state 223:12
show 249:11 334:16	333:13 337:10 341:7	300:4,25 308:20	stated 227:16 263:22
334:19,21	341:19 342:7 343:4	319:20 327:21	293:19 321:2 345:9
shows 233:4	SightSound.com	332:12 340:21 342:5	statement 225:20
Showtime 243:15	230:25 233:16	space 326:15	226:5,15 227:4
246:4,9	234:19,23 236:1	spanning 277:18	236:24 243:24
sic 232:22 296:21	248:11 250:21	spans 260:14 301:17	258:12 272:14
side 288:2 291:13	SightSound.com's	speak 286:13 308:6	279:23 342:5
296:20 307:3,8,23	232:10 234:8	special 233:3	statements 321:18,20
308:5,5	SightSound/DMT	specific 234:9 246:22	<b>STATES</b> 219:1
sights 337:17	304:20 305:4	276:18 313:18,24	stay 244:1
Sightset's 274:18	sign 229:13 230:11	specifically 246:3	<b>Staying</b> 228:2 229:10
SightSound 219:5	signals 281:25 332:24	247:7 294:8 324:8	242:11 244:3 258:4
220:12,16 223:8	332:24	327:14 330:9 336:7	stenographic 260:6
224:16,21 231:6,14	signatories 294:21	speculate 280:6	298:5
231:20 233:22	signature 261:15,17	Speed 230:14	step 335:13
236:10 237:7 239:15	287:17 306:4 344:7	spend 265:12	STI-13184 221:3
239:21 246:21	signed 243:18 288:25	spending 320:3,13	328:13
249:21,22 250:2,7	308:12 311:10	split 262:20	STI-13552 220:11
253:7,22 256:9,14	significantly 245:11	spoken 317:6	277:19
257:14,21 258:20	283:24	spread 337:17	STI-13634 220:24
261:14,21 263:13	Signing 260:16	SST-10196 260:15	327:3
264:13 267:21	Silvio 328:18	<b>SST-11096</b> 220:9	stock 220:8 224:11,21
268:11,20,23,24	similar 318:19	224:9	250:21 251:5,12
269:3,19 271:12,18	Similarly 336:17	SST-17135 220:23	255:1
272:1,5,6,17 273:10	sir 256:7 305:24	324:6	store 259:15 326:13
273:11,16 274:13	sit 225:1 243:17 269:5	SST-20567 220:17	straight 288:14
275:5,14,22 277:24	286:10 322:3 324:16	305:22	strategic 246:2 287:25
278:4 281:22 282:10	325:4 330:23 336:7	<b>SST-21917</b> 301:18	strategies 226:11
283:20 284:2,9,16,23	337:2 338:9,23	SST-25429 220:21	227:6,7,13
287:15 289:4 290:14	site 227:17 282:3	322:8	strategy 227:17,18,19
291:3,4,13,25 292:14	339:21 340:3	SST-25454 220:19	227:21 230:11,16,24
293:2,20 294:16	situation 312:9	316:20	232:24 233:2,6
297:2,10 298:3,9,25	SK 278:4	SST-7870 220:13	238:22 239:22 241:4
302:10 306:2,7 316:2	slightly 243:5	287:10	241:6,6,8,10,12
316:8,11,12 322:23	smart 241:21	stage 259:6 323:12	242:1 258:22,23
329:13 331:2,8,13	smiling 290:23	stake 253:25 254:3,7	259:8 279:13 281:17
332:2 334:7 336:15	sober 320:16	254:12 255:5	281:19 307:1 323:15

323:17	summer-of-1999	220:12,16 223:9	third 232:15 240:23
strike 263:3 301:21	244:1	261:14,21 268:12	268:13 282:22 283:4
structured 322:21	Sundance 240:16,16	269:4 285:14 287:16	285:10 299:9,13
studio 248:12 250:19	Super 320:14	298:25 306:3	323:13 324:11
251:9 316:8	supervision 345:11	technology 241:19	327:10 337:3
studios 241:16 244:4	support 336:4 338:14	telecommunication	third-party 232:16
251:16 318:18	supposed 270:20	332:23	thought 271:21 308:21
319:16,17 323:7	sure 280:3 288:15	<b>Telephone</b> 222:15,19	320:16 340:22 342:5
studio-produced	312:24 313:20,23	tell 236:1,10 292:10	threats 233:14
244:6	314:24 319:22 322:3	296:4,11 311:5,7	three 222:14 231:22
subheading 228:3	327:8 339:11 342:4	345:4	240:18 244:20 247:4
237:24	sustain 253:7	tenants 259:25	266:10 338:17
subject 270:9 288:12	sworn 222:9 223:22	terminology 265:8	three-quarters 281:4
294:15 304:6 331:10	345:4	terms 289:1 296:19	three-year 226:12,21
subjects 273:2	system 239:23 248:11	308:12 312:13	till 336:23
submitted 278:8	249:15 316:4,9,12	329:21,22	time 223:11 225:18,21
321:24	318:19 333:21,22	testified 223:22	227:7 241:13 242:3
Subscribed 344:2	334:7,20 335:19	testimony 235:24	243:16 244:7 246:13
subsequent 313:13	341:7,19,21 342:8	236:8 345:9,12	246:20 248:21
subsidiaries 330:1,23	systems 239:19 333:25	Thank 260:11 261:5	249:13,21 250:7
subsidiary 330:11,16	334:3,6 341:9	266:17 287:8 324:3	251:3 252:13 256:10
substantial 249:12,19	<b>S-1</b> 220:10 277:23	326:23 327:9 338:24	257:12,20 258:5
275:12 282:24	278:4	342:16 343:8,15,16	266:23 268:16,21,23
283:10,14,19 284:1,8	270.1	Thanks 305:20 339:2	269:12,13 270:21
284:15,22	T	thereabouts 257:13,20	271:22,23 272:21
substantially 281:10	table 285:23,24 286:2	thereof 222:4	276:5,14,14,15,18,21
281:24	take 231:6,14,19 260:8	thereto 345:22	279:17,24 280:14
success 334:8	273:16 274:12,17	thing 331:9 332:12	281:15 298:1 299:7
successes 338:8	277:9 297:4 302:24	things 275:4 317:18	317:16,22 319:18
successful 228:25	316:24 328:6,7 339:9	319:8 320:1,15,15	320:11 325:11 326:6
229:23 230:2 247:16	340:1 342:20	323:6,10 335:10	329:11,15 330:9
253:7 326:14 333:9	taken 277:13 286:17	think 225:2 236:6	335:17 336:22 338:9
successfully 233:6	328:10 345:7	239:17 250:25 255:9	343:7 345:8
successive 340:12	takes 331:8	256:12 257:23,25	timely 233:5
341:1	talk 258:21 312:22	265:8,10 267:6	times 220:20 258:23
sue 308:19,24 313:2	talked 263:11 264:3	272:16 277:8 279:6	260:10 322:15
314:4	316:1 338:17	279:19 288:18 289:9	timing 248:10 301:4
sued 309:6	talking 265:8 271:19	293:17,19 297:3	326:16
suggest 314:18 317:25	291:24,25 340:22	302:18 310:13	titled 224:10 260:16
suing 313:12	taxes 256:14	311:10,15 312:16	260:25 261:9 268:8
sum 262:13	team 306:10,14,17,19	313:7 314:8 318:6	274:4 280:12 285:22
summarize 290:20	306:22	320:9 323:25 335:23	301:24 305:22
<b>summary</b> 280:12	technical 239:14,16	337:2,12 338:9,16,16	308:18,23 324:21
285:23 301:25	283:25	340:19 342:1	titles 243:5,6 254:5,10
summer 242:18	Technologies 219:5	thinking 296:21	259:16
		*sper	
			•

	TDX 041.01	225.7.242.4.242.2	272.6
today 235:6 243:17	TVs 241:21	335:7 342:4 343:2	venture 273:6
269:5 295:5 324:16	two 229:11 238:13	understandings	version 342:10
325:4 336:7,23 337:2	243:4 244:21 247:3	299:18	versus 223:9 243:12
338:9,23	247:15 250:15 270:9	understood 289:1	251:12
<b>Today's</b> 223:10	291:19 292:4,7	308:12	viability 258:6
told 306:21	301:14 318:16 320:1	undertake 264:23	video 235:1 236:2,11
top 232:22 237:20	twofold 249:13	undertook 224:21	238:21 239:14,22
240:3 250:13 259:14	two-page 316:20	265:18 302:24	270:10 271:10,13
266:3 278:11 285:9	typewriting 345:10	305:12	282:7,11 285:15
285:22	typical 322:21	unexpected 331:1,14	316:5 332:24 334:16
<b>Topic</b> 335:1,1 338:15	TI	331:20	Videographer 222:22
338:22	U	<b>Unfortunately 237:25</b>	223:6 277:12,14
topics 343:12	unadjusted 304:12	UNITED 219:1	286:15,18 328:9,11
total 326:1	305:1	University 222:4,18	343:17
traded 251:10,12	unbundled 314:8	unknown 243:6	VIDEOTAPED
<b>Trading</b> 244:15	uncertain 303:22	259:16	219:12
transaction 254:18	304:1	unpredictable 332:4	Vietnam 327:12
275:21	unclear 292:19	unsure 325:21	view 257:12,20
transcript 345:14	uncomfortable 331:21	<b>upfront</b> 328:23	viewers 340:7,12
transitions 246:18	331:24	upper 298:25	341:1
tread-water 249:18	underneath 255:23	use 222:2 231:10	violate 312:13
tremendous 238:18	understand 224:3	233:15 249:12,18	Virtual 240:10
337:7	230:19 235:17	265:8 320:14,16	<b>Volume</b> 219:14 223:7
trial 240:5,10	239:19 242:7 260:23	332:16	286:19,19 343:18
trigger 270:22	261:12 265:2,10	useful 336:19	vs 219:7
triggered 276:19	267:23 280:9 289:16	utilized 324:19	
true 232:5 291:15	290:4 292:17 293:9		W
302:19 323:24 344:2	295:12 296:17	V STORY	waive 296:3
345:11	307:25 309:10 310:3	valid 232:10 234:10	Walt 330:11
truth 345:5,5,5	311:3 312:3 315:14	validity 303:22,25	want 286:23 296:2
try 271:5 295:24	319:20 331:5,17,25	valuable 237:8 335:24	309:2 314:22,24
332:23 333:3	332:8,19,20	valuation 220:8	328:7 341:13 342:3
trying 264:4 291:23	understanding 224:15	224:11,22 246:10	wanted 249:18 258:2
296:2 306:23 311:1	224:20 251:11	251:11 253:10	280:7 298:17 319:1
turn 225:7 230:21	262:12,15 264:8	256:15 305:9,12	334:15
237:18 261:6,15,25	265:7 267:8,10	value 225:18 236:18	wanting 249:17
263:4 265:21 268:7	275:12,17,20 276:3,9	237:1,4 257:2 262:11	wasn't 259:1
269:17 274:3 276:23	285:3 298:2,13,16,21	262:18,21 263:23	way 241:21 242:3
279:7 280:11 281:3	299:17 301:21	276:24 302:4,12	257:23 280:3 281:4
285:8,21 298:23	303:15 305:2 309:3,8	303:9,13,21 304:11	282:22 283:4 310:15
301:23 303:2 308:10	309:18,23 310:17,19	304:12,25 305:1	312:16 333:8 336:2,2
308:14 324:20	310:21 311:5,9,10,14	313:17 314:6 336:19	336:19,20 345:20
334:23,25 335:13	311:15,16 312:5	Variety 220:18 317:6	website 258:21
<b>Turning</b> 240:2 244:12	315:1,5,10,17 329:5	317:9 318:1 320:22	Wednesday 219:16
253:15 259:13	330:5,15 333:25	various 272:18 337:7	222:3 223:2
	T	1	•

went 249:10 307:11	281:17 282:2,7,13,17	written 302:19 321:23	<b>\$8,773,250</b> 280:25
321:3 332:4,22 333:2	283:22 284:11,18	wrong 288:13 314:16	
335:20 336:5	286:14 287:8 289:9	wrote 252:14	0
weren't 302:19	289:17,21 290:5,7,24	WIULC 252.14	097 225:8
WESTERN 219:2	291:4,6 293:10,12	X	
	294:4,18,22 295:13	X 345:14	1
we're 307:15			1 223:7 255:9 286:15
wholly 330:10	295:15 297:9,11	Y	1st 252:21 280:19,22
widely 285:2	298:6 303:18 304:3	Yahoo 337:16,25	1-448408 219:25
widespread 335:8	305:6 307:19,24	338:12	10 258:7 259:2 275:4
willing 294:17	308:2 309:10 310:4,6	yeah 260:8 268:25	275:22 276:10 300:5
Willingness 282:17	310:16 311:25	299:19 327:21	300:10
win 233:4	312:21 313:10,23	329:10 336:14	<b>10:17</b> 277:12
<b>Windows</b> 285:14,15	314:1 315:15,18	338:16 341:2,18	<b>10:1</b> 7 277.12 <b>10:26</b> 277:14
withdraw 230:20	318:4,9,13,24 319:15	year 259:24 299:21	<b>10:39</b> 286:16
withdrawn 230:7	320:24 321:9 322:1	, ·	10:43 286:20
238:5 278:8	324:3,13,25 325:19	337:16,18 341:20	10:43 280:20
within-entitled 345:6	325:23 326:23	years 258:7 259:2	
witness 222:8,9,12	328:21 330:8 331:5	286:6	10424 260:15
223:17,21 224:18,24	331:17,24 332:8,19	yesterday 269:9 316:1	1062 220:15 297:16
225:5,23 226:2,7,17	333:5,11 334:14	332:5 334:24	1063 298:24 299:15,21
226:23 227:2,10,16	335:23 343:8,16	yield 333:14	<b>1067</b> 220:15 297:16
227:24 228:21 229:6	344:7 345:3,12	77	<b>11:52</b> 328:9
229:20 230:8 232:7	won 337:13	Z	<b>11:55</b> 328:11
232:13,20 233:25	word 252:17 271:5	zero 262:13 300:18,24	<b>1101</b> 244:13 246:1
234:4,15 235:18,20	303:4 325:7,10	<b>Zune</b> 272:12	<b>1102</b> 246:19 247:3
236:5,14 237:16	327:19	\$	248:23
238:6,11 239:4,9,25	words 238:14 240:20		1103 250:13 251:15,24
241:15 242:7 247:13	331:22	\$1 262:5,23 263:2,11	252:16 253:2
247:21 248:18 249:6	word's 339:21	264:6,22 265:16	<b>1104</b> 253:15 255:21
250:4,9 251:5 252:8	work 230:17 235:22	<b>\$1,028</b> 304:12 305:1	256:21 258:4 259:4
252:12 254:15	290:9 295:17	\$1.50 257:1	<b>11097</b> 228:2 229:10
	worked 239:13 250:9	<b>\$10</b> 244:15	230:22
255:14,18 256:5,12 256:18 257:16,23	world 318:17 334:17	\$10,000 252:22	<b>11098</b> 231:18 232:22
•		<b>\$100</b> 286:25	233:11 234:17
258:2,15,19 259:21	334:19,21	<b>\$161.8</b> 299:23	236:16
260:5,21,25 263:15	worldwide 253:18	<b>\$187,500</b> 286:25	11099 237:19
263:19 264:1,10,17	world's 240:13	<b>\$3,100,000</b> 311:20	11100 240:2,18 241:19
265:1 266:22 267:2,6	worth 313:4	<b>\$3,300,000</b> 289:4	242:11 244:3
267:24 268:1,18,23	wouldn't 230:17	290:16 293:3 295:6	<b>11105</b> 220:9 224:10
269:15,25 270:5,15	WR 250:12	<b>\$3.3</b> 301:10	<b>12</b> 255:18 300:6
270:19 271:4,16	wrapped 313:14	\$300 256:1	12th 224:12
272:9 273:14,19	WRH 253:5,9 255:24	<b>\$50</b> 257:2,13,20	<b>12:12:26:343:19,21</b>
274:1,9,15,20 275:11	256:9	<b>\$600</b> 226:12,20	<b>12:20</b> 345.19,21 <b>12:30</b> 326:20
275:25 276:5,9,17	writer 340:17	\$7 246:20	<b>12:30</b> 320.20 <b>125</b> 260:12,14 269:18
277:8,11 278:2,20	writing 294:9 317:19	<b>\$8,160,000</b> 328:24	1
279:19 280:6,16	324:22 325:2	<b>\$8,773,000</b> 280:25	274:23
		. , ,	13 263:7 326:25
	-	-	

800-869-9132

<b>T</b>			
13th 240:5,10	224:12,21 242:4	324:21 326:24	<b>415.471.3281</b> 222:15
<b>13195</b> 221:3 328:13	244:23 252:21	<b>213</b> 220:24 326:21	<b>455</b> 316:21
<b>13633</b> 220:11 277:19	253:16 254:18	327:2	<b>48879</b> 221:5 339:6
13636 220:24	278:11 279:24	<b>214</b> 221:3 328:3,13	<b>48881</b> 221:5
14 263:4	281:22 285:1 300:3	329:17	<b>48882</b> 221:6 342:18
16,500,000 250:20	317:7,10 318:2	<b>215</b> 221:4 339:1,3,6,13	<b>48884</b> 221:6
163 298:24	320:22 322:15	<b>216</b> 221:6 342:14,18	40004 221.0
17181 220:23 324:6	323:17 325:17 326:7	342:23	5
1	326:13 328:1 329:15	<b>21942</b> 301:18	5 308:10,14,23 309:13
<b>177</b> 334:25 338:15,22 <b>19</b> 219:16 222:3 223:2	330:25 337:16,18	<b>21942</b> 301.18 <b>224</b> 220:4,9	309:19 313:2,18
19219.10 222.3 223.2 19th 223:10	341:6,20 342:8	<b>229</b> 261:16	314:4 315:2
	<b>2002</b> 333:23	<b>230</b> 265:22	<b>50</b> 262:11,17 304:21
1900 222:4,18	<b>2004</b> 272:23 298:20	<b>230</b> 203.22 <b>233</b> 268:8	<b>556</b> 279:8
199 261:7			<b>558</b> 280:12
1993 333:7	300:19,23 301:8,10	<b>25</b> 232:22	<b>561</b> 281:4
<b>1995</b> 226:11,19 227:6	301:11	25th 253:16	<b>562</b> 282:20 283:2
252:21 258:20	<b>2005</b> 300:12,17 303:9	<b>250</b> 255:25	<b>564</b> 285:8
279:12 280:19,22	303:13,21	<b>25430</b> 220:21 322:8	<b>569</b> 308:15,23
333:22 334:7	2007 286:25	<b>25455</b> 220:19	<b>573</b> 306:4
1996 225:13,21	<b>2010</b> 302:5,13 321:19	<b>263</b> 269:19 270:7,25	<b>599</b> 285:22 286:23
1997 286:6	<b>2011</b> 299:21 300:2,5,9	<b>277</b> 220:11	399 283.22 280.23
1998 225:13,21 226:11	<b>2012</b> 219:16 222:3	<b>279</b> 274:3	6
226:19 227:6,19,21	223:2,10	280 274:22	6 261:25 308:21
228:5 236:17,25	2013 344:4	<b>287</b> 220:13	6th 222:4,18
256:22 258:13	203 262:1	<b>29</b> 252:21	<b>636</b> 327:3,10
333:23 336:23	<b>205</b> 220:8 224:6,9	<b>290</b> 276:23	650.617.4018 222:19
<b>1999</b> 237:21 238:14	228:2 229:10 230:22	<b>297</b> 220:15	6948 219:24 345:25
239:3 242:12,15	237:19 240:2 244:12	3	
243:5,12 246:1 259:2	250:13 253:15 258:5	30 231:1	7
259:5,15 280:19	259:4,13	30(b)(6) 219:12	7th 222:14
286:24	<b>20573</b> 220:17 305:22	334:24 343:12	<b>7.2</b> 263:6
2	<b>206</b> 220:10 277:15,18	305 220:17	<b>7.2</b> C 263:10,24 264:5
<b>2</b> 219:14 223:7 260:14	286:22	31st 254:18 259:15	264:15,19,23 265:6
	<b>207</b> 220:12 287:6,10		265:16
268:8 286:18,19,19	292:16 295:6	280:19 302:5,13	<b>7175</b> 324:21
289:3 293:4 295:6	<b>208</b> 220:14 297:6,15	316 220:19	<b>7876</b> 220:13 287:11
311:21 343:17,18	298:4,10	<b>322</b> 220:21	
<b>2.1</b> 274:4	<b>209</b> 220:16 305:17,19	<b>324</b> 220:23	8
<b>2.2</b> 262:2 263:12 264:6	305:20,21 308:12	<b>326</b> 220:24	8 312:11 335:1,1
264:22 265:17	312:11 314:5	<b>328</b> 221:3	338:15,22
2.4 300:19	<b>210</b> 220:18 316:14,15	339 221:5	<b>8.5</b> 300:13
2:11cv01292-DWA	316:18,20 318:16	<b>342</b> 221:6	81 339:6
219:7	321:11,21	<b>35</b> 254:11,11,13	<b>83</b> 342:18
<b>20</b> 269:18	<b>211</b> 220:20 263:5	<b>36</b> 301:15,17 303:3	<b>84</b> 342:19
20th 278:10	322:5,8 323:19	4	<b>875</b> 287:17
<b>2000</b> 220:19,21,24	<b>212</b> 220:22 324:1,4,6	4/12/00 220:9	
		I AMI OU MMO.	
E			

800-869-9132

9			
9:03 222:3 223:3,11			
90 328:5			
<b>919</b> 301:24 303:3			
304:9			
94111 222:14			
<b>94303</b> 222:5,19			
<b>95</b> 335:19			
<b>98</b> 240:10 286:7			
335:19			
<b>99</b> 243:12 286:7			
		,	
	Į .		Į.

800-869-9132