#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Hair	S	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 5,966,440	S	104677-5005-804
Formerly Application No.: 08/471,96	4 §	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: October 12, 1999	S	
Filing Date: June 6, 1995	S	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2785	S	
Former Examiner: Hoa T. Nguyen	S	

For: System and Method for Transmitting Desired Digital Video or Digital Audio Signals

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

# PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,966,440 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner, Apple Inc. ("Petitioner" and the real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1, 64, and 95 of U.S. Patent No. 5,966,440 ("the '440 Patent'"), issued to Arthur R. Hair and currently assigned to SightSound LLC ("SightSound," also referred to as "Applicant," "Patent Owner," or "Patentee"). Petitioner hereby asserts that it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the reasons set forth herein and



Covered Business Method Patent Review United States Patent No. 5,966,440

respectfully requests review of, and judgment against, claims 1, 64, and 95 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.<sup>1</sup>

¹ As discussed in Section I, *infra*, Petitioner has concurrently filed a Petition seeking covered business method review of the '440 Patent requesting judgment against these same claims under § 101 for claiming patent-ineligible subject matter and for obviousness-type double patenting. Petitioner has additionally filed Petitions seeking covered business method reviews of the '573 Patent requesting judgment against claims in that patent under §§ 101 and 112 in one Petition, and under §§ 102 and 103 in a second concurrent Petition. Petitioner notes that the Director, pursuant to Rule 325(c), may determine at the proper time that merger of these proceedings, or at minimum coordination of proceedings involving the same patent, is appropriate.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTI	TRODUCTION1				
II.	OVE	VERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION				
III.	PETI	TION	ER HAS STANDING	9		
	A.	The '	440 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	9		
	B.		oner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged Infringement	15		
IV.	LIKE	ELY TI	W OF SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR WHICH IT IS MORE HAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS			
	•		95) OF THE '440 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE			
V.	BAC		OUND INFORMATION FOR THE '440 PATENT			
	A.	The '	140 Patent and Its Prosecution History	16		
		1.	The '440 Patent Family	16		
		2.	File History of the Parent '573 Patent	16		
		3.	File History of the '440 Patent	20		
	B.	Reexa	umination History of the '440 Patent and Related Patents	26		
		1.	Reexamination of the Parent '573 Patent	26		
		2.	Reexamination of the '440 Patent	30		
VI.	REQ THA	UEST T AT 1	D EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF ED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS ITABLE	25		
	A.		Construction			
	B.		Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under § 102 and/or § 103	41		
		1.	The Challenged Claims Are Anticipated By the CompuSonics System and Are Invalid Under § 102	41		
		2.	The Challenged Claims Are At Minimum Rendered Obvious by Synth-Bank, Standing Alone or In Light of Additional References, and Are Invalid Under § 103	64		
VII.	CON	ICLUS:	ION			



EXHIBITS		
Exhibit 1301	United States Patent 5,966,440	
Exhibit 1302	United States Patent 5,966,440 File History	
Exhibit 1303	Application No. 90/007,407 ('440 Patent Reexamination)	
Exhibit 1304	United States Patent No. 5,191,573	
Exhibit 1305	United States Patent No. 5,191,573 File History	
Exhibit 1306	Application No. 90/007,402 ('573 Patent Reexamination)	
Exhibit 1307	Deposition Transcript of Arthur Hair, dated Dec. 11, 2012 SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-1292 (W.D. Pa.)	
Exhibit 1308	Deposition of Scott Sander, dated Dec. 18-19, 2012 SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-1292 (W.D. Pa.)	
Exhibit 1309	"Joint Telerecording Push: CompuSonics, AT&T Link," Billboard (Oct. 5, 1985)	
Exhibit 1310	David Needle, "From the News Desk: Audio/digital interface for the IBM PC?," <i>InfoWorld</i> , vol. 6, no. 23, p. 9, June 4, 1984	
Exhibit 1311	Larry Israelite, "Home Computing: Scenarios for Success," <i>Billboard</i> , Dec. 15, 1984	
Exhibit 1312	International Patent Application WO85/02310, filed on November 14,1984, and published on May 23,1985 ("Softnet")	
Exhibit 1313	United States Patent No. 3,718,906 filed on June 1, 1971, issued on February 27,1973 ("Lightner")	
Exhibit 1314	United States Patent No. 3,990,710 filed on March 1, 1971, issued on November 9, 1976 ("Hughes")	
Exhibit 1315	Image titled, "CompuSonics Digital Audio Telecommunication System"	
Exhibit 1316	7/16/84 CompuSonics Letter from David Schwartz to Shareholders	
Exhibit 1317	Hyun Heinz Sohn, "A High Speed Telecommunications Interface for Digital Audio Transmission and Reception," presented at the 76th AES Convention, October 8-11, 1984	



EXHIBITS	
Exhibit 1318	10/10/85 CompuSonics Letter from David Schwartz to Shareholders
Exhibit 1319	CompuSonics Video Application Notes – CSX Digital Signal Processing (1986)
Exhibit 1320	Image titled, "CompuSonics Digital Audio Software Production/ Distribution"
Exhibit 1321	Excerpts of Lecture at Stanford by D. Schwartz and J. Stautner, 1987 (video)
Exhibit 1322	Bryan Bell, "Synth-Bank: The Ultimate Patch Library," <i>Electronic Musician</i> (Sept. 1986)
Exhibit 1323	United States Patent No. 4,682,248 filed on September 17, 1985, issued on July 21, 1987 ("Schwartz Patent")
Exhibit 1324	"The Search for the Digital Recorder," Fortune, Nov. 12, 1984
Exhibit 1325	2/22/1986 Agreement between Synth-Bank and Artist
Exhibit 1326	3/17/1987 United States Patent & Trademark Office Notice of Acceptance and Renewal, Serial No. 73/568543
Exhibit 1327	"SynthBank Bulletin Board," Keyboard Magazine (March 1987)
Exhibit 1328	"Inside Macintosh," Volumes I, II, and III, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. (1985)
Exhibit 1329	Craig Partridge, "The Technical Development of Internet Email," BBN Technologies
Exhibit 1330	United States Patent No. 4,124,773 filed on November 26, 1976, issued on November 7, 1978 ("Elkins")
Exhibit 1331	United States Patent No. 4,667,088 filed on November 1, 1982, issued on May 19, 1987 ("Kramer et al.")
Exhibit 1332	United States Patent No. 4,528,643 filed on January 10, 1983, issued on July 9, 1985 ("Freeny")
Exhibit 1333	Photo of CompuSonic equipment
Exhibit 1334	Declaration of Dr. J. Kelly In Support of Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
Exhibit 1335	Declaration of David Schwartz In Support of Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

