

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE, INC.,

*Petitioner,*

v.

Patent of SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

*Patent Owner.*

---

CBM2013-00021, U.S. Patent No. 5,966,440

---

**PATENT OWNER SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S  
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
A. The Invention of the '440 Patent	1
B. SightSound and the Advent of Digital Media Distribution	4
C. SightSound Technologies' Acquisition of the Patents and the Initiation of Litigation	6
III. THE CLAIMS	9
IV. THE PROSECUTION HISTORY	11
A. Prosecution History of the '440 Patent Lineage	12
B. '440 Reexamination Prosecution History	24
V. APPLE LACKS STANDING BECAUSE THE SIGHTSOUND PATENTS ARE NOT COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENTS	28
A. The SightSound Patents Have No Relation To A "Financial Product or Service"	31
B. SightSound's Patents Claim A Technological Invention	39
1. SightSound's Patents Claim The Technical Feature Of Transmitting And Storing Digital Audio And Video Signals	41
2. SightSound Provided A Technical Solution To The Technical Problems Associated with Prior Art Distribution of Media	43
VI. CONCLUSION	45

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)	29
<i>Chrysler Corp. v. Brown</i> , 441 U.S. 281 (1979)	31
<i>Diamond v. Diehr</i> , 450 U.S. 175 (1981)	40
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550, U.S. 398 (2007)	40
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)	40
<i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Kessler</i> , 80 F.3d 1543 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	31
<i>SightSound.com, Inc. v. N2K, Inc.</i> , 185 F. Supp. 2d 445 (W.D. Pa. 2002)	7
<i>SightSound.com, Inc. v. N2K, Inc.</i> , 391 F. Supp. 2d 321 (W.D. Pa. 2005)	7
<i>State St. Bank &amp; Tr. Co. v. Signature Fin. Grp.</i> , 149 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	29
<b>Decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board</b>	
<i>Bloomberg, Inc. v. Markets-Alert PTY LTD</i> , No. CBM2013-00005, Paper No. 18 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 29, 2013)	37
<i>CRS Advanced Techs., Inc v. Frontline Techs., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00005, Paper No. 17 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 23, 2013)	37
<i>Interthinx, Inc. v. Corelogic Solutions, Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00007, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 31, 2013)	37
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00002, Paper No. 10 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 25, 2013)	37, 41, 42

<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 12, 2013)	37
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00010, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 25, 2013)	37
<i>MeridianLink, Inc. v. DH Holdings, LLC</i> , No. CBM2013-00008, Paper No. 20 (P.T.A.B. June 24, 2013)	38
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00001, Paper No. 36 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2013)	29, 33, 37, 38, 41
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00001, Paper No. 70 (P.T.A.B. June 11, 2013)	42

### Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C.	
§ 102	17, 26
§ 103(a)	27
§ 112	18, 19, 20, 21, 27
America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	
§ 18	1
§ 18(a)(1)(B)	29
§ 18(d)(1)	29, 30, 31, 39

### Federal Regulations

37 C.F.R.	
§ 1.510	8
§ 42.207(a)	1
§ 42.301(b)	39, 41, 42, 45
§ 42.304(a)	29, 30
§ 42.304(b)	31

77 Fed. Reg.	
48,734, 48,735 (Aug. 14, 2012)	31, 43
48,734, 48,736 (Aug. 14, 2012)	33, 38, 41, 45
48,734, 48,737 (Aug. 14, 2012)	40
48,734, 48,738–39 (Aug. 14, 2012)	32
48,734, 48,744 (Aug. 14, 2012)	32
48,756, 48,763–64 (Aug. 14, 2012)	33

### Legislative Materials

157 Cong. Rec.	
S1053 (daily ed. Mar. 1, 2011)	32
S1363 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	29, 34
S1364 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	34, 43
S1379 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	35, 36, 37
H4428–29 (daily ed. June 22, 2011)	32
H4497 (daily ed. June 23, 2011)	34, 35, 36
S5432 (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)	31, 32, 34
S5441 (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)	31, 35
<i>America Invents Act: Hearings on H.R. 1249 Before the Subcomm. On Intellectual Property, Competition and the Internet of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 112th Cong. 56-58 (2011)</i>	34
H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt. 1 (2011)	29, 39
Patent Quality Improvement Act of 2013, S. 866, 113th Cong. § 2(2) (2013)	35

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.