

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

Patent of SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Patent Owner.

CBM2013-00020, U.S. Patent No. 5,191,573

**PATENT OWNER SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
A. The Invention of the '573 Patent	1
B. SightSound and the Advent of Digital Media Distribution	4
C. SightSound Technologies' Acquisition of the Patents and the Initiation of Litigation	6
III. THE CLAIMS	9
IV. THE PROSECUTION HISTORY	11
A. Petitioner's Characterization of the '573 Patent's Claims and Prosecution History is Not Consistent with Either	11
B. Prosecution Overview	13
1. Third-Party-Initiated <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination	13
2. Appeal to the Board	17
3. Original Prosecution	20
V. APPLE LACKS STANDING BECAUSE THE SIGHTSOUND PATENTS ARE NOT COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENTS	23
A. The SightSound Patents Have No Relation To A "Financial Product or Service"	25
B. SightSound's Patents Claim A Technological Invention	33
1. SightSound's Patents Claim The Technical Feature Of Transmitting And Storing Digital Audio And Video Signals	35

2.	SightSound Provided A Technical Solution To The Technical Problems Associated with Prior Art Distribution of Media	37
VI.	CONCLUSION	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)	23, 24
<i>Chrysler Corp. v. Brown</i> , 441 U.S. 281 (1979)	25
<i>Diamond v. Diehr</i> , 450 U.S. 175 (1981)	34
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	20, 34
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)	34
<i>Merck & Co. v. Kessler</i> , 80 F.3d 1543 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	25
<i>SightSound.com, Inc. v. N2K, Inc.</i> , 185 F. Supp. 2d 445 (W.D. Pa. 2002)	7
<i>SightSound.com, Inc. v. N2K, Inc.</i> , 391 F. Supp. 2d 321 (W.D. Pa. 2005)	7
<i>State St. Bank & Tr. Co. v. Signature Fin. Grp.</i> , 149 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	23, 24
Decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board	
<i>Bloomberg, Inc. v. Markets-Alert PTY LTD</i> , No. CBM2013-00005, Paper No. 18 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 29, 2013)	32
<i>CRS Advanced Techs., Inc v. Frontline Techs., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00005, Paper No. 17 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 23, 2013)	31
<i>Interthinx, Inc. v. Corelogic Solutions, Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00007, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 31, 2013)	31
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00002, Paper No. 10 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 25, 2013)	31, 35, 36, 37
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 12, 2013)	31
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00010, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 25, 2013)	31

<i>MeridianLink, Inc. v. DH Holdings, LLC</i> , No. CBM2013-00008, Paper No. 20 (P.T.A.B. June 24, 2013)	32
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00001, Paper No. 36 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2013)	23, 27, 31, 32, 35
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , No. CBM2012-00001, Paper No. 70 (P.T.A.B. June 11, 2013)	36

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C.	
§ 102	17
§ 103(a)	17
§ 112	17
§ 120	17
America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	
§ 18	1
§ 18(a)(1)(B)	23
§ 18(d)(1)	23, 25, 33

Federal Regulations

37 C.F.R.	
§ 1.510	8
§ 41.37	18
§ 42.207(a)	1
§ 42.301(b)	33, 35, 37, 39
§ 42.304(a)	23, 24, 25
77 Fed. Reg.	
48,734, 48,735 (Aug. 14, 2012)	26, 37
48,734, 48,736 (Aug. 14, 2012)	27, 32, 35, 39
48,734, 48,737 (Aug. 14, 2012)	34
48,734, 48,738–39 (Aug. 14, 2012)	26
48,734, 48,744 (Aug. 14, 2012)	27
48,756, 48,763–64 (Aug. 14, 2012)	27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.