Paper 101 Entered: May 23, 2014

# RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC Patent Owner

Cases CBM2013-00020 and CBM2013-00023 Patents 5,191,573 and 5,966,440

Oral Hearing Held: May 6, 2014

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

DOCKET

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN, ESQUIRE Ropes & Gray, LLP One Metro Center, 700 12th Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005-3948

#### ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER (Cont.):

JAMES R. BATCHELDER, ESQUIRE Ropes & Gray, LLP 1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor East Palo Alto, California 94303-2284

CHING-LEE FUKUDA, ESQUIRE Ropes & Gray, LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704

#### ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

DOCKE.

DAVID R. MARSH, ESQUIRE Arnold & Porter, LLP 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206

JENNIFER A. SKLENAR Arnold & Porter, LLP 777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5844

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, May 6, 2014, commencing at 10:10 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	
3	JUDGE ARBES: Good morning, everyone. This is the oral hearing
4	in Case CBM2013-00020 involving Patent 5,191,573, and Case CBM2013-
5	00023 involving Patent 5,966,440.
6	Can counsel please state your names for the record?
7	MR. BAUGHMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Steve Baughman
8	from Ropes & Gray for the Petitioner.
9	MR. BATCHELDER: James Batchelder from Ropes & Gray for the
10	Petitioner.
11	MS. FUKUDA: And Ching-Lee Fukuda from Ropes & Gray for the
12	Petitioner.
13	MR. MARSH: And David Marsh, Arnold & Porter, for the Patentee.
14	MS. SKLENAR: Jennifer Sklenar, Arnold & Porter, for the Patentee.
15	JUDGE ARBES: Thank you.
16	Per the Board's trial hearing order in these two cases, each party will
17	have 60 minutes of total time to present arguments. The order of the
18	presentations will be the Petitioner will go first and present its case as to the
19	challenged claims in both cases, the Patent Owner then will respond to the
20	Petitioner's presentation, and then the Petitioner may use any remaining time
21	that's reserved, if at all, to respond to the Patent Owner's presentation.
22	One reminder before we begin here today is, to ensure that the
23	transcript is clear and because we have one Judge in the Dallas office, please
24	try to remember to refer to your demonstratives by slide number.
19 20 21 22 23	challenged claims in both cases, the Patent Owner then will respond to the Petitioner's presentation, and then the Petitioner may use any remaining time that's reserved, if at all, to respond to the Patent Owner's presentation. One reminder before we begin here today is, to ensure that the transcript is clear and because we have one Judge in the Dallas office, please

DOCKET

1	And counsel, do you have copies of the demonstratives for the
2	court reporter today?
3	MR. BATCHELDER: I do, Your Honor.
4	Your Honor, would you like copies as well, hard copies?
5	JUDGE ARBES: If you have them, yes, please, sir.
6	Okay. Counsel for the Petitioner, you may proceed. And would you
7	like to reserve time for rebuttal?
8	MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we actually
9	would like to reserve 25 minutes for rebuttal if we may.
10	May it please the Board. Again, my name is Steve Baughman for
11	Petitioner, Apple Inc. With me at counsel table are Mr. Batchelder and
12	Ms. Fukuda. We have Cindy Wheeler, Senior Counsel for Apple in the
13	courtroom with us as well.
14	And I would like to give the Board just a brief overview to begin with,
15	what we plan to address today. There are three topics we plan to address
16	along with, of course, any questions the Board may have. The first, the
17	background of the art and the copies on its disclosures. In addition, the
18	secondary considerations of non-obviousness and expert evidence. My
19	colleagues, Mr. Batchelder and Ms. Fukuda, will generally address
20	CompuSonics' disclosures and expert evidence, and as they arise, I will
21	address certain topics relating to secondary consideration. And with that, I
22	would like to turn the podium over to Mr. Batchelder if I may.
23	MR. BATCHELDER: Good morning again. Judge Braden, can you
24	see and hear me okay?
25	JUDGE BRADEN: Yes, I can. Thank you.

5

DOCKET

### Cases CBM2013-00020 and CBM2013-00023 Patents 5,191,573 and 5,966,440

MR. BATCHELDER: Thank you. What I would like to do is begin by talking about the claims and then move from there to a couple of high level questions: Is there anything novel or inventive about the components of the patent, the teaching that can be used to practice the claims? And then is there anything novel or inventive or unpredictable about what the patent says is to be done with those components as to how they are to be used?

7 So if we could start with slide 2 in Petitioner's deck. Now, we've got 8 here the Claim 1 from the 573 patent on the left and Claim 1 from the 441 on 9 the right, and we have done some color coding to show some commonalities. 10 But as you can see on the left, the 573 Claim 1 is pretty simple. Transferring 11 money electronically is highlighted in the green at the top, and then in the 12 blue you need to connect two memories with a telecommunication line, 13 transmit a signal across those lines, and then at the bottom in yellow you 14 need to store the transmitted signal. That is what SightSound says the 15 claims require. Again, that is pretty simple stuff.

16 And again, we've used color coding on the right to show the 17 commonality. The forming a connection is really the same; that is in light 18 blue. The green, again, we have transferred money electronically on the left. 19 On the right it's just looking at the other side of that, charging a fee and 20 charging an account with the same general idea. And then again, there is 21 storing. And the only twist on the 440 storing is that it is not a tape or CD. 22 And then the one thing added there on the right is, in red, the playing, but of course that was done all over the arch. 23

So those are the elements of the claims. They are simple, very highlevel concepts.

6

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.