#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Hair United States Patent No.: 5,191,573 Formerly Application No.: 586,391 Issue Date: March 2, 1993 Filing Date: September 18, 1990 Former Group Art Unit: 369 Former Examiner: Hoa Nguyen Attorney Docket No.: 104677-5005-802 Customer No. 28120

Petitioner: Apple Inc.

For: Method for Transmitting a Desired Digital Video or Audio Signal

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

### DECLARATION OF ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,191,573 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304

I, Roberto J. Gonzalez, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1746:

- 1. I am a paralegal at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.
- 2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified

Covered Business Method Patent Review proceeding that is being requested at the

United States Patent and Trademark Office by Apple, Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37

C.F.R. § 42.304. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based

on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1101 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,191,573 to Arthur Hair, with its November 30, 2010 Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, which are records of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

4. Exhibit 1102 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 5,191,573 to Arthur Hair, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Exhibit 1103 hereto is a true and correct copy of the reexamination file history for Application No. 90/007,402, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1104 hereto is a true and correct copy of the transcript of December 11, 2012 Deposition of Arthur Hair in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, which was received from the Merrill Corporation and certified by Rachel Ferrier, CSR No. 6948.

7. Exhibit 1105 hereto are a true and correct copies of the transcripts of December 18, 2012 and December 19, 2012 Deposition of Scott Sander in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, which was received from the Merrill Corporation and certified by Rachel Ferrier, CSR No. 6948.

8. Exhibit 1109 hereto is a true and correct copy of the World Patent Application WO85/02310, filed on November 14, 1984 by SoftNet Inc., and published on May 23, 1985, which was downloaded from the World Intellectual Property Organization's PATENTSCOPE search page to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1110 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 3,718,906 to Robert W. Lightner, which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

10. Exhibit 1111 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 3,990,710 to Robert M. Hughes, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made. 11. Exhibit 1118 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 4,682,248 to David M. Schwartz, which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

12. Exhibit 1123 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Acceptance of the trademark "Synth-Bank," registered on March 17, 1987 with Registration No. 1433349, which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.Exhibit 1134 hereto is a true and correct copy of Special Master's Report and Recommendation on Claim Construction in SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Claim Construction Special Master, Gregory L. Bradley and dated November 19, 2012, which was downloaded from the PACER website and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 142) to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

13. Exhibit 1135 hereto is a true and correct copy of an Order regarding Claim Construction in SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Senior Judge, Donetta W. Ambrose on February 13, 2013, which was downloaded from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records ("PACER") website and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 175) to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

14. Exhibit 1136 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 5,675,734 to Arthur Hair, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

15. Exhibit 1141 hereto is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the Markman Hearing held on Friday, April 20, 2001 in the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania before Honorable Kenneth J. Senson, U.S. Magistrate Judge in SightSound.Com Inc. v. N2K, Inc., No. 98-118, which was produced by counsel for SightSound Techs., LLC, the law firm of Arnold & Porter LLC, to counsel for Apple Inc., Ropes & Gray LLP, during discovery relating to SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania. The document, as produced, bears identification numbers SST-029141 to SST-029293, and bears the certification of Virginia Pease, Official Reporter. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.